

CONTENTS

| | |
|---|----|
| INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| 1. HIGH LEVEL PROBLEM STATEMENT | 1 |
| 2. IDENTIFICATION OF DELIVERY PARTNERS | XX |
| 3. LINKING OUTPUTS TO OUTCOME 10 | XX |
| 3.1 Output 1: Enhanced quality and quantity of water resources | XX |
| 3.2 Output 2: Reduced greenhouse gas emissions, climate change impacts and improved air/atmospheric quality | XX |
| 3.3 Output 3: Sustainable environmental management | XX |
| 3.4 Output 4: Protected biodiversity. | XX |
| 4. ACTIONS NEEDED TO ACHIEVE EACH OUTPUT | XX |
| 4.1 Output 1: enhanced quality and quantity of water resources | XX |
| 4.2 Output 2: reduced greenhouse gas emissions, climate change impacts and improved air/atmospheric quality | XX |
| 4.3 Output 3: sustainable environmental management | XX |
| 4.4 Output 4: protected biodiversity. | XX |
| 5. INDICATORS, BASELINES AND TARGETS FOR OUTCOME. | XX |
| 6. SYNOPSIS OF KEY ACTIVITIES | XX |
| 7. RISKS, CONSTRAINTS AND MITIGATION STRATEGIES | XX |
| 8. GOVERNANCE AND REPORTING ARRANGEMENTS | XX |
| 9. SIGNATORIES & IMPLEMENTING PARTNERS | XX |
| APPENDIX A: RESULTS CHAIN | XX |
| APPENDIX B: HIGH LEVEL IMPLEMENTATION PLAN BY SUB-OUTPUT | XX |
| APPENDIX C: PROVINCIAL DELIVERABLES | XX |
| KWAZULU-NATAL | |
| FREE STATE | |
| WESTERN CAPE | |
| MPUMALANGA | |
| LIMPOPO | |
| NORTH WEST | |

For further information, please contact:

Department of Environmental Affairs
Private Bag X447
Pretoria 0001
Republic of South Africa

Email: mtshangela@environment.gov.za
dnteo@environment.gov.za

Web site: <http://www.environment.gov.za>

INTRODUCTION

Government has agreed on 12 outcomes as a key focus of work between now and 2014. Each outcome has a limited number of measurable outputs with targets. Each output is linked to a set of activities that will help achieve the targets and contribute to the outcome. Each of the 12 outcomes has a delivery agreement which in most cases involve all spheres of government and a range of partners outside government. Combined, these agreements reflect governments delivery and implementation plans for its foremost priorities.

This delivery agreement is a negotiated charter which reflects the commitment of the key partners involved in the direct delivery process to working together to undertake activities effectively and on time to produce the mutually agreed-upon outputs which in turn will contribute to achieving outcome 10.

The delivery agreement provides detail to the outputs, targets, indicators and key activities to achieve outcome 10, identifies required inputs and clarifies the roles and responsibilities of the various delivery partners. It spells out who will do what, by when and with what resources. The outcomes apply to the whole of government and are long term. While the delivery agreement may contain longer term outputs and targets, it also includes outputs and associated targets that are realisable in the next 4 years.

It also considers other critical factors impacting on the achievement of outcome 10, such as the legislative and regulatory regime, the institutional environment and decision-making processes and rights, the resources needed and re-allocation of resources where appropriate.

The normal budgeting process will continue to determine the allocations to Departments. These Delivery Agreements will be an important input into the budgeting process for 2011/2012 and the final budget allocations will affect the order of priorities and phasing of the implementation of this Delivery Agreement. For 2012/13 and subsequently, the annual revisions to the Delivery Agreement will be timed to link with the budget process so that the revised Delivery Agreement is signed off after the budget is signed off.

This Delivery Agreement will be reviewed annually in the light of learning by doing and monitoring and evaluation (M&E) findings. Accordingly it will be refined over time and become more inclusive of the relevant delivery partners.



1. HIGH LEVEL PROBLEM STATEMENT

Section 24 of the Constitution stipulates that all South Africans have a right to an environment that is not harmful to their health or well-being and to have the environment protected for the benefit of present and future generations. The Constitution compels us to take reasonable steps to prevent pollution and ecological degradation, promote conservation and secure ecologically sustainable development and use of natural resources. Given the Constitutional imperative the vision is: ***a South Africa where environmental assets and natural resources are valued, protected and continually enhanced.***

As with the rest of the world, South Africa is not immune to the global environmental crisis. The country faces a number of current and emerging issues related to climate change, requiring intensive mobilisation to effectively respond to these challenges. Sustainable development and efforts to mitigate climate change and/or adapt to its impacts, in general, have a mutually beneficial relationship. Efforts to address climate change have co-benefits that contribute to sustainable development goals, and development that is sustainable, creates conditions that facilitate and enhance efforts to address climate change. Due to the nature of its impacts on environmental, social and economic systems Climate Change can no longer be regarded as an environmental challenge but rather a sustainable development challenge.

South Africa needs to respond to declining groundwater reserves, water quality and the integrity of our ecosystems in the context of growing demand for water. The country has a rich diversity of

natural assets and is considered one of the world's most bio-diverse countries. Although South Africa makes up just 2% of the global land area it is home to almost 10% of the world's plants and 7% of reptiles, birds and mammals. Sadly much of our terrestrial ecosystems and over 80 percent of our river systems are threatened. South Africa ranks among the world's 20 biggest greenhouse gas emitters and it is the highest emitter within the African Continent. Unaddressed, these issues could seriously undermine South Africa's ability to pursue a sustainable development path. Spatial planning and spatial development decisions are still fragmented and there is still a need to address competing land uses and ensure that industry and infrastructure development programmes ensures the long term sustainability of natural systems and the environment.

This summary suggests the need to address four critical problems:

1. Water is unsustainably used and the quality and quantity of water resources is in decline;
2. Reduce green house gas emissions, prepare strategies to cope with projected climate change impacts and reverse the rising trend in relation to the release of pollutants into the atmosphere;
3. Proper and better management of our environment; and
4. Protection of our biodiversity.

In addressing the imperatives for sound environmental management and protection of natural assets, the following inter alia; pieces of legislation were enacted to give effect to the constitutional rights of South Africans:

ACTS OF PARLIAMENT

- **The National Environmental Management Act (NEMA), 1998**, (Act No. 107 of 1998), which establishes the concepts of participatory, cooperative and developmental governance in environmental management. It establishes principles for environmental management and provides for structures to facilitate these;
- **The National Environmental Management Amendment Act, 2003** (Act No. 46 of 2003), which deals with compliance and enforcement and provides for environmental management inspectors (EMIs);
- **The National Environmental Management Amendment Act, 2004** (Act No. 8 of 2004), which streamlines the process of regulating and administering the impact assessment process. Chapter 5 of the act lays down procedures with which the Minister or MEC, as the case may be, must comply before listing or delisting an activity;
- **The National Environmental Management: Protected Areas Amendment Act, 2009** (Act 15 of 2009), which provides for the assignment of national parks, special parks and heritage sites to South African National Parks; makes provision for flight corridors and permission of the management authority to fly over a special national park, national park or heritage site; and provides for the winding up and dissolution of South African National Parks;
- **The National Environment Laws Amendment Act, 2008** (Act No. 44 of 2008), which amends the National Environmental

Management Act, 1998, so as to clarify an uncertainty in the act; authorises the Minister of Water Affairs and Forestry to designate persons as environmental management inspectors; provides for environmental management inspectors to be regarded as peace officers as contemplated in the Criminal Procedure Act, 1977; and amends the National Environmental Management: Air Quality Act, 2004, so as to substitute Schedule 1 to that Act;

- **The National Environmental Management Amendment Act, 2008** (Act No. 62 of 2008), which empowers the Minister of Minerals and Energy to implement environmental matters in terms of the National Environmental Management Act, 1998, in so far as it relates to prospecting, mining, exploration or related activities; aligns environmental requirements in the Mineral and Petroleum Resources Development Act (MPRDA), Act 28 2002, with NEMA (1998), by providing for the use of one environmental system and by providing for environmental management programmes; and further regulates environmental authorisations;
- **The National Environment Laws Amendment Act, 2009** (Act No. 14 of 2009), which amends the Atmospheric Pollution Prevention Act, 1965, so as to adjust the penalties provided for in the said act, the Environment Conservation Act, 1989, so as to adjust the penalties provided for in the said act, the National Environmental Management: Air Quality Act, 2004, so as to provide for a processing fee to review a license, and to include directors or senior managers in a juristic person for the criteria for a fit and proper person;



HIGH LEVEL PROBLEM STATEMENT (continued)

- **The World Heritage Convention Act, 1999** (Act No. 49 of 1999), which provides for the cultural and environmental protection and sustainable development of, and related activities in a world heritage site;
- **The National Environmental Management: Biodiversity Act, 2004** (Act No. 10 of 2004), which significantly reforms South Africa's laws regulating biodiversity. It sets out the mechanisms for managing and conserving South Africa's biodiversity and its components; protecting species and ecosystems that warrant national protection; the sustainable use of indigenous biological resources; the fair and equitable sharing of benefits arising from bioprospecting, including indigenous biological resources; and the establishment of the South African National Biodiversity Institute;
- **National Environmental Management: Protected Areas Act, 2003** (Act No. 57 of 2003), which provides for the protection and conservation of ecologically viable areas. It further provides for the establishment of a national register of protected areas and the proclamation and management of these areas;
- **The National Environmental Management: Protected Areas Amendment Act, 2004** (Act No. 31 of 2004), which provides for a national system of protected areas in South Africa as part of a strategy to manage and conserve the country's biodiversity. A significant part of this act is that the state is appointed as the trustee of protected areas in the country;
- **The National Environmental Management: Air Quality Act, 2004** (Act No. 39 of 2004), which reforms the law regulating air

quality in order to protect the environment by providing reasonable measures for the prevention of pollution and ecological degradation and for securing ecologically sustainable development; and provides for national norms and standards regulating air quality monitoring;

- **The National Environmental Management: Integrated Coastal Management Act, 2008** (Act No. 24 of 2008), which establishes a system of integrated coastal and estuarine management in the Republic; ensures that development and the use of natural resources within the coastal zone is socially and economically justifiable and ecologically sustainable; determines the responsibilities of organs of state in relation to coastal areas; controls dumping at sea and pollution in the coastal zone; and gives effect to South Africa's international obligations in relation to coastal matters;
- **The National Environmental Management: Waste Act, 2008** (Act No. 59 of 2008), which reforms the law regulating waste management in order to protect health and the environment by providing reasonable measures for the prevention of pollution; provides for national norms and standards for regulating the management of waste by all spheres of government; and provides for the licensing and control of waste management activities;
- **South African Weather Service Act, 2001** (Act No. 8 of 2001), which established the South African Weather Service; determined its objects, functions and method of work, the manner in which it is to be managed; and governs and regulates its staff matters and financial affairs;

- **Sea Shores Act, 1935**, (Act No. 21 of 1935), which declares the President to be the owner of the sea-shore and the sea within South Africa's territorial water and regulate the granting of rights and alienation thereof.
- **Sea Birds and Seals Protection Act, 1973** (Act No. 46 of 1973), which provides for control over certain islands and rocks for the protection and conservation of seabirds and seals;
- **Dumping at Sea Control Act, 1980** (Act No. 73 of 1980), which regulates the control of dumping substances at sea;
- **Sea Fishery Act, 1988** (Act No. 12 of 1988). Most of the powers in terms of this Act had been transferred to the Minister of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries. The Minister only retains powers in terms of section 38 of the Act;
- **Antarctic Treaties Act, 1996** (Act No. 60 of 1996), which provides for the implementation of certain treaties relating to Antarctica. The treaty is primarily concerned with the regulation of activities in Antarctica, including territorial claims, research and strict environmental protection in general and the protection of certain identified species such as seals;
- **Marine Living Resources Act, 1998** (Act No. 18 of 1998), which deal with the long-term sustainable utilisation of marine living resources. Most of the powers and functions in terms of this Act had been transferred to the Minister of Agriculture Forestry and Fisheries. The Minister of Water and Environmental Affairs only retained functions pertaining to the Marine Protected Areas, certain regulatory powers that relates to the protection of the marine environment;

- **Prince Edward Islands Act, 1948** (Act No. 43 of 1948), which provide for the confirmation of the annexation to the Union of South Africa of the Prince Edward Islands, and for the administration, government and control of the said islands;
- **The Minerals and Petroleum Resources Development Act, 2002** (Act 28 of 2002), which inter alia aims to ensure ecologically sustainable development of mineral and petroleum resources and to promote economic and social development;
- **National Water Act, 1998** (Act No. 36 of 1998), which provides that the National Government is the public trustee of the National's water resources and acting through the Minister of Water & Environmental Affairs, has the power to regulate the use, flow and control of all water in the Republic; and
- **Water Services Act, 1997** (Act No 108 of 1997) Section 156, read in conjunction with Part B of Schedule 4 of the Constitution of the Republic of South Africa (Act 108 of 1996) vests the executive authority and responsibility to support and strengthen the capacity of municipalities to manage their own affairs, to exercise their powers and to perform their functions.

REGULATIONS

ENVIRONMENT CONSERVATION ACT, 1989 (ACT NO. 73 OF 1989)

- **Waste Tyre Regulations, 2008**, which regulate the management of waste tyres by providing for the regulatory mechanisms.



HIGH LEVEL PROBLEM STATEMENT (continued)

NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT ACT, 1998 (ACT NO. 107 OF 1998)

- Environment Impact Assessment (EIA) Regulations, which regulate procedures and criteria, as contemplated in Chapter 5 of NEMA, for the submission, processing, consideration and decision of applications for environmental authorisations of activities and for matters pertaining thereto. The Minister has just published draft revised EIA Regulations, under section 24(5) of the NEMA, 1998, for public comment;
- Regulations controlling the use of vehicles in the coastal zone: The original regulations were made in 2001 and were amended in 2004. The amended regulations centre on imposing a general duty of care on persons using 4x4 vehicles in the coastal zone, as well as a general prohibition on the use of 4x4 vehicles in the coastal zone unless it is a permissible use.

NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT: PROTECTED AREAS ACT, 2003 (ACT NO. 57 OF 2003)

- Regulations for the proper Administration of the Knysna Protected Environment.

NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT: BIODIVERSITY ACT, 2004 (ACT NO. 10 OF 2004)

- Threatened or Protected Species (TOPS) Regulations, which further regulate the permit system set out in Chapter 7 of the Biodiversity Act. Previously South Africa used to have provincial ordinances for the different provinces, and these are the first national regulations. The aim is to make TOPS the only regulations in South Africa for indigenous species;

- Regulations for bioprospecting, access and benefit-sharing: While the Biodiversity Act was promulgated in 2004, the regulations relating to Chapter 6 (Bioprospecting, Access and Benefit-sharing) and Chapter 7 (Permit System) came into force on 1 April 2008. These regulations further regulate the permit system set out in Chapter 7 of the Biodiversity Act in so far as that system applies to bioprospecting involving any indigenous biological resources; set out the contents of, and the requirements and criteria for benefit-sharing and material transfer agreements; and protect the interest of stakeholders.

SEA SHORE ACT, 1935 (ACT NO. 21 OF 1935)

- General regulations for the management of the seashore including the removal of sand, rock etc from the seashore and the charging of fees.

DUMPING AT SEA CONTROL ACT, 1980 (ACT NO. 73 OF 1980)

- Provides for the process for permitting dumping activities at sea.

ANTARCTIC TREATIES ACT, 1996 (ACT NO. 60 OF 1996)

- Prohibits the catching of any Antarctic marine living resource protected by conventions without a permit. This is both an environmental and fisheries regulation.

MARINE LIVING RESOURCES ACT, 1998 (ACT NO. 18 OF 1998)

- Regulations for the management of the Table Mountain Marine Protected Area, which provides for zonation and control of activities in the marine protected area;
- Regulations for the management of the Aliwal Shoal Marine Protected Area, which provides for zonation and control of activities in the marine protected area;

- Regulations for the management of the Pondoland Marine Protected Area, which provides for zonation and control of activities in the marine protected area;
- Regulations for the management of the Bird Island Marine Protected Area, which provides for zonation and control of activities in the marine protected area;
- Regulations for the management of the Still Bay Marine Protected Area, which provides for zonation and control of activities in the marine protected area;
- Regulations to manage boat-based whale-watching and protection of turtles, which promotes the economic growth of the boat-based whale-watching industry and to redress past racial and gender discrimination in this industry; provides for control of the boat-based viewing of whales and dolphins, so that these activities may take place in a manner that does not threaten the safety of individuals or the wellbeing of the whales and dolphins; and provides for control over the viewing of turtles to protect and minimise any adverse impact on turtles;
- Regulations for the management of white shark cage diving, which promotes the economic growth of the white shark industry and redresses past racial and gender discrimination in this industry; provides for control over diving to view white sharks or the boat-based viewing of white sharks, so that these activities may take place in a manner that does not threaten the safety of divers or the wellbeing of the white sharks; and provides for control over the number of white shark cage diving operations in order to manage any adverse impact on white shark behaviour and to protect white sharks;

- **National Water Act, 1998 (Act No. 36 of 1998)**, Regulation have been published to ensure that South Africa's water resources are protected used developed, conserved, management and controlled in a sustainable and equitable manner for the benefit of all persons; and
- **Water Services Act, 1997 (Act No 108 of 1997)**, Regulations have been published which ensure that the rights of access to basic water supply and basic sanitation by setting national standards and norms.



2. IDENTIFICATION OF DELIVERY PARTNERS

The Management of the environment and protection of natural resources is a concurrent function. The monitoring and coordination of implementation of deliverables as outlined in the delivery agreement annexes is coordinated through the Intergovernmental Relations intergovernmental mechanism (MINTECH/MINMEC) extended to include key departments, public entities and other partners that contribute to the achievement of outputs. The executive Implementation Forum (extended MINMEC) and technical Implementation Forum (Headcom/extended MINTECH) are therefore used. The MINTECH working groups are aligned per output to coordinate the output activities and report to the technical Implementation Forum that makes recommendations to the executive Implementation Forum.

The key partners that contribute to the achievement of outcome 10 are identified per output. These partners are drawn from national, provincial departments, local government and public entities. The following tables reflect partners contributing to each of the outputs, and it should be noted that while care was taken to ensure that all key implementing partners are reflected, the list should not be viewed as exhaustive.

OUTPUT 1: ENHANCED QUALITY AND QUANTITY OF WATER RESOURCES

Coordinating Departments: Departments of Water Affairs; Rural Development and Land Reform; Cooperative Governance and Traditional Affairs; Human Settlements.

Core Departments: Environmental Affairs (Conservation and pollution management); Energy (Industrial water quality and quantity); Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries (Sector growth

strategy); Mineral Resources (Mining and water quality & quantity); Science and Technology (Diversification of water resources technology and innovation); Trade and Industry (Industrial water quality and quantity); Public Works; Treasury; Economic Development (Water quantity to grow economy).

Public Entities: SALGA; Cities Network; SAWS; CSIR; WRC; ARC; SANBI.

OUTPUT 2: REDUCED GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS, CLIMATE CHANGE IMPACTS AND IMPROVED AIR/ATMOSPHERIC QUALITY

Coordinating Departments: Departments of Environmental Affairs; Economic Development; Transport; Energy; Science and Technology; Trade and Industry; Treasury.

Core Departments: Water Affairs; 9 Provincial Environment Departments (including representation from Provincial Rural Development and Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries); Rural Development and Land Reform; Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries; Human Settlements (Health impacts); Cooperative Governance and Traditional Affairs (Coordination with local government on Air/atmospheric quality); Science and Technology (Climate change, green industries technology and innovation); Trade and Industry (Industrial Air/atmospheric quality, Green Industries); StatsSA; Health (Health impacts); Extended MINTECH Working Group 2; Air Quality Officer's Forum and extended Intergovernmental Committee on Climate Change (IGCCC).

Public Entities: SALGA; Cities Network; SANBI; Council of Geo-Science; SAWS; CSIR; WRC; ARC; SANERI; National Centre for Carbon Capture and Storage; National Energy Efficiency Agency (NEEA).

OUTPUT 3: SUSTAINABLE ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT

Coordinating Departments: Department of Environmental Affairs; Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries; Mineral Resources; Cooperative Governance and Traditional Affairs; Public Works; Rural Development and Land Reform.

Core Departments: Water Affairs; 9 Provincial Environment Departments (including representation from Provincial Rural Development and Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries); Energy; Rural Development and Land Reform; Human Settlements; Science and Technology; Trade and Industry; Economic Development; Treasury; Health; Transport; Social Development; Sport and Recreation (Environmental awareness); Arts and Culture (Environmental awareness); Basic Education and Higher Education (Environmental Education); Extended MINTECH Working Group 3.

Public Entities: SALGA; Cities Network; SANBI; SANPARKS; World Heritage Management authorities; Provincial conservation agencies; SAWS; CSIR; WRC; ARC.

OUTPUT 4: PROTECTED BIODIVERSITY

Coordinating Departments: Department of Environmental Affairs; Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries; National Treasury.

Core Departments: Water Affairs; 9 Provincial Environment Departments (including representation from Provincial Rural Development and Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries); Rural Development and Land Reform; Science and Technology (Biotechnology); Cooperative Governance and Traditional Affairs; Economic Development; Extended MINTECH Working Group 1; StatsSA.

Public Entities: SALGA; Cities Network; SANBI; SANPARKS; World Heritage Management authorities; Provincial conservation agencies; CSIR; ARC.



3. LINKING OUTPUTS TO OUTCOME 10

To ensure that Environmental assets and natural resources are well protected and continually enhanced, the key partners will focus on the following four key outputs and related sub-outputs:

| OUTPUTS | SUB-OUTPUTS |
|--|---|
| 1. Enhanced quality and quantity of water resources | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Water demand Water resource protection Regulation of water quality |
| 2. Reduced greenhouse gas emissions, climate change & improved air/atmospheric quality | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Reduction of Emission of CO₂ Reduction of Atmospheric pollutants Renewable Energy Deployment Adapting to the impacts of climate change Energy Efficiency |
| 3. Sustainable environmental | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Restoration & rehabilitation of management degraded ecosystems Deforestation & forest management Less and better managed waste Management of environmental impacts from mining and related activities Sustainable land use management |
| 4. Protected biodiversity | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Expansion of the conservation estate Reduced climate change impacts on biodiversity Protected ecosystem & species Valuing the ecosystem services Protection of agricultural land |

A NUMBER OF OUTCOME 10 SIGNATORIES WILL ALSO BE CONTRIBUTING TO THE REALISATION OF THE FOLLOWING OUTCOMES:

Outcome 8: Sustainable Human Settlements and Improved Quality of Household Life;

Outcome 9: Responsive, Accountable, Effective and Efficient Local Government System;

Outcome 4: Decent Employment through Inclusive Economic Growth;

Outcome 11: Create a better South Africa and contribute to a better and safer Africa and World; and

Outcome 7: Vibrant, equitable and sustainable rural communities with food security for all.

3.1 OUTPUT 1: ENHANCED QUALITY AND QUANTITY OF WATER RESOURCES

Water demand is expected to rise by 52% over the next 30 years while supply of water is likely to decline if current trends due to leakage from old and poorly maintained municipal infrastructure and the loss of wetlands persist. This would make the prospect of water shortage a frightening reality in the near future. To enable more efficient management of our water resources, the following sub-outputs are critical:

a) Water demand: Reduction of water loss from distribution networks from current levels of approximately 30% to 15% by 2014 coupled with encouraging users to save water;

b) Water resource protection: To preserve groundwater reserves and prevent further loss of wetlands, the number of wetlands

rehabilitated should increase from 95 to 100 per year. Furthermore, action needs to be taken to increase the number of wetlands under formal protection from the current level of 19 as well ensuring that the number of rivers with healthy ecosystems increases significantly; and

c) Regulation of water quality: % of water works plants assessed and monitored from 94% to 100% by 2014 and % waste water treatment works (WWTW) assessed and monitored from 53% to 100% by 2014.

3.2 OUTPUT 2: REDUCED GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS, CLIMATE CHANGE IMPACTS AND IMPROVED AIR/ATMOSPHERIC QUALITY

Climate change is considered to be amongst, if not the, most serious threat to humanity and sustainable development with adverse impacts expected on food and water security, economic activity, human health, physical infrastructure and natural resources. These impacts will seriously undermine efforts to achieve sustainable development and the attainment of Millennium Development Goals particularly in developing countries which are both the most vulnerable, and the least equipped to deal with climate change. Conversely, addressing climate change by mitigating greenhouse gas emissions and building resilient communities will make a major contribution towards achieving a sustainable society.

The following sub-outputs are critical:

a) Reduction of Emission of CO₂: To mitigate the catastrophic impacts of climate change it is imperative that through the

necessary financial support and capacity building from the international climate change regime; we reduce total CO₂ emissions by 34% from the “Business As Usual” scenario by 2020 and 42% by 2025;

b) Reduction of Atmospheric pollutants: In order to ensure the progressive realisation of everyone’s Right to air that is not harmful to health and well-being, it is imperative that there is a progressive reduction in atmospheric pollutants to levels that result in full compliance with Ambient Air Quality Standards;

c) Renewable Energy Deployment: To begin reducing South Africa’s footprint with regard to greenhouse gas emission, the percentage of power generation from renewable sources should increase from 2 000GW/hours to 10 000GW/hours by 2014;

d) Adapting to the impacts of climate change: To better cope with the unpredictable and severe impacts of climate change, adaptation plans for key sectors of the economy must be developed (Water, Forestry, Biodiversity, Tourism, Agriculture, Human Settlements, Land & Social Development, Fisheries development, Rural livelihoods); and

e) Energy Efficiency: Mobilise the public, business and other players to act responsibly and save energy both as collectives and in their individual capacity, including through a mandatory national energy efficiency programme. Industrial and commercial buildings have particular potential for efficiency improvements. The Energy Efficiency will improve from current baseline to 12% by 2014.



3.3 OUTPUT 3: SUSTAINABLE ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT

The environment plays an essential role in determining future opportunities and constraints for growth and development. The past development has emphasised exploitation and optimisation of South Africa's mineral and natural resources with little concern for long-term environmental impacts and sustainability. It has largely ignored constraints arising from the finite character of non-renewable natural resources and the ecological cycles that sustain renewable natural resources.

The following sub-outputs are critical:

- a) **Restoration & Rehabilitation of degraded ecosystems:** The hectares of land rehabilitated per year should increase from 800 000ha to 3 200mha by 2014 and 160 rural development sites by 2014 in order to contribute to ecosystem resilience;
- b) **Deforestation & forest management:** Net deforestation to be maintained at not more than 5% woodlands by 2020 and protection of indigenous forest assets be transferred to appropriate conservation and relevant agencies by 2014;
- c) **Less and better managed waste:** Solid waste management and minimisation through improved collection and disposal and recycling by ensuring that the percentage of households with basic waste collection should increase from 64% to 75% by 2014; percentage of landfill sites with permits increased to 80% by 2015 and that 25% percent of municipal waste gets diverted from landfill sites for recycling by 2012;
- d) **Management of environmental impacts from mining and related activities:** Whilst the Mine Rehabilitation Fund and the

current regime around rehabilitation and closure should ensure rehabilitation and environmentally responsible closure of mining operations, a specific challenge relates to such rehabilitation and closure when it comes to abandoned, derelict and ownerless mines, accordingly the target for the sector to ensure the rehabilitation and closure in accordance with an approved EMP of mines classified as abandoned, derelict and ownerless per annum. In addition, the sector will ensure that new mining operations are limited in agreed areas of high environmental importance and that environmental impacts of mining operations (new and current) are assessed, mitigated and managed through an aligned, integrated and coordinated regulatory system.

New and existing mining operations are required to consider South Africa's comprehensive range of legislation applying the social and economic sustainability of the operation including: the conservation of agricultural resources; the interim protection and restitution of land rights to those dispossessed of their land; informal as well as communal land rights; the preferential procurement framework; employment equity and skills development as well as legislation applying to competition.

A total of nine derelict and ownerless mine sites were rehabilitated during the 6 year period of the implementation of the MPRDA. The 2014 target includes finalising the strategy for rehabilitation of derelict and ownerless mines, continuing research to accurately delineate the quantum of the environmental challenge and its inherent liability and design the permanent solutions that are adequately responsive to the

challenge, while at the same time the DMR synchronously prioritises and implements, where possible (with a limited budget) rehabilitation measure aimed at stabilising these mining operations to present continuing pollution of air, water and soil; and

- e) **Sustainable land use management:** Integration of environmental considerations with spatial planning remains a major challenge to achieving sustainable development. Ensure greater alignment of sustainability criteria in all levels of integrated and spatial planning, as well as in project formulation.

3.4 OUTPUT 4: PROTECTED BIODIVERSITY

Keeping our biodiversity intact is vital for sustainable economic growth and development. This ensures ongoing provision of ecosystem services such as the production of clean air, clean water through good catchment management and prevention of erosion and carbon storage to counteract global warming. Consideration should be given to limit further loss of natural habitat in threatened ecosystems by more deliberate preservation and conservation of protected areas. In this regard the following sub-outputs are critical:

- a) **Expansion of the conservation estate:** Land protection and rehabilitation by increasing the percentage of land mass under conservation from 6% to 9% and the percentage of coastline with partial protection to increase from 12% to 14%;
- b) **Reduced climate change impacts on biodiversity:** To develop climate change adaptation frameworks for major biomes & aquatic ecosystems (desert, succulent karoo, fynbos, nama

karoo, grassland, savanna, forest and Albany thicket) & aquatic (freshwater, estuaries, marine and coastal ecosystems);

- c) **Protected ecosystem & species:** Consistent with the draft National Biodiversity Framework, the percentage of coastline prohibiting fishing and any form of harvesting that are detrimental to the benthic environment are prohibited should be maintained at 9%, and clear targets set for the number of kilometres of coast, rivers and lakes to be cleaned and rehabilitated.

To preserve our biodiversity and protect ecosystems and species the number of species under formal protection should increase and the proportion of species threatened with extinction should decline from current levels of 6,5%;

- d) **Valuing the ecosystem services:** Inadequate understanding of the value for ecosystem and biodiversity services means that the benefits we derive from these goods (often public in nature) are usually neglected or undervalued in decision-making. This in turn leads to actions that not only result in biodiversity loss, but also impact on human well-being; and
- e) **Protection of agricultural land:** To protect 81% of high potential agricultural land.



4. ACTIONS NEEDED TO ACHIEVE EACH OUTPUT

4.1 OUTPUT 1: ENHANCED QUALITY AND QUANTITY OF WATER RESOURCES

Historically, investment by the Department of Water Affairs (DWA) in securing water supplies took the form of dams, reservoirs and accompanying infrastructure. Most of the best dam sites have been developed and there is currently very little potential in this regard apart from some parts of KwaZulu-Natal and the Eastern Cape. With the emerging findings of the reconciliation strategies and potential water shortages in South Africa's largest urban centres, the Department must consider other viable water supplies to serve the varying needs of each water-reliant sector. Apart from traditional augmentation schemes, other water supply options include effluent re-use, desalination and inter-basin transfers. Demand-supply options include water loss control and water use efficiency.

The deterioration in water quality threatens to undermine water for growth and development in South Africa. The cause of this is not the lack of appropriate tools for measurement and intervention, but rather a systematic eroding of management through poor institutional arrangements, insufficient capacity, convoluted decision-making requirements, lack of delegated authority and accountability, and poor access to the use of incentives, disincentives and regulation to address water quality problems. Water quality is highly variable in rivers, wetlands, estuaries and groundwater reserves, and with uneven focus and measurement on these different systems (the strongest focus

being on dams). The major threats to water quality in aquatic environments are:

- (a) mine drainage;
- (b) eutrophication;
- (c) municipal sewage effluent;
- (d) salinisation;
- (e) agrichemicals;
- (f) toxic organic pollutants (including Persistent Organic Pollutants, endocrine disruptors and cyan bacterial toxins);
- (g) climate change;
- (h) water abstraction; and
- (i) invasive alien plants.

Impact on aquatic ecosystems and loss of wetlands raised public concerns about the status of the quality of the country's water resources. Steps have been undertaken to strengthen its compliance enforcement and monitoring as a way of clamping down on water use behaviour that have a detrimental impact on our water resources. It has also identified that a key challenge to sustained and healthy water supplies is the poor maintenance of waste water treatment works (WWTW) and the Department will work closely with core departments to ensure that adequate funding is provided for the purposes of WWTW rehabilitation and construction. Lastly, the Department will take rapid and effective action to address the threat the mine drainage (including AMD) poses to the immediate and long-term integrity of our water quality.

4.1.1 OUTPUT 1: SUB-OUTPUT 1: WATER DEMAND

What will need to be done differently?

South Africa can no longer afford water losses and therefore it is imperative that the focus on water conservation and water demand management must be strengthened, especially as there is a greater return on investment through water loss control and water use efficiency. The Department of Water Affairs will prioritise the establishment of the water demand funding facilitation unit to provide support to municipalities in their effort to introduce water conservation and demand management. WC/WDM measures must be implemented and properly maintained on a sustainable basis. The cost of implementing WC/WDM measures is often less than maintenance costs. The use of the latest technologies for different sectors including retrofitting (agriculture, mining, industry, energy and domestic) is critical. For example, providing incentives to irrigators must also be emphasised in order to implement more efficient irrigation systems through: linking water tariffs to assurance of supply; balancing weirs; removal of alien vegetation; irrigation pipelining and volumetric scheduling and pricing. Improve efficiency of effluent treatment plants (reverse osmosis). In order to augment water supply, the following will be considered: further impoundments; desalination of sea water and ground water; water distribution networks will have to be improved; water purification and re-use must be intensified; and, leakages from existing systems will be a major focus.

4.1.2 OUTPUT 1: SUB-OUTPUT 2: WATER RESOURCE PROTECTION

What will need to be done differently?

Human societies rely on numerous services from inland water courses, in particular wetlands. Biodiversity underpins those services and the protection of these ecosystem services has relevance to human health, sustainable development, climate change, poverty reduction and the attainment of various Millennium Development Goals. The overall continuing loss of wetlands and the biodiversity of inland water ecosystems and the rapid increasing pressures from the drivers of change in these ecosystems have serious implications on the associated critical water related services. Such implications have significant bearing on water quality and supply for both ecosystems and the people. Furthermore, are impacts on the capacity of these systems in the mitigation of hydrological extremes, resulting in significant escalation in economic, social and environmental costs.

The formal protection, restoration and rehabilitation of wetlands need to be strengthened through improvements in land-use planning, land and development management policies as well as operational and regulatory means at various scales (National, Provincial and local levels). The adoption of ecosystem based approaches and aggressive implementation of the open space planning and management programmes will add impetus in the protection of these systems and associated services, especially at a local government level. Such implementation will require



ACTIONS NEEDED TO ACHIEVE EACH OUTPUT (continued)

integrated approaches and the involvement of multiple sectors particularly those dealing with human settlements, development and planning.

The River Health Reports will be used for assessing the pattern of water quality. Generally water quality is good in the upland regions and deteriorates downstream, particularly in areas affected by mining and urban development. Because of the longitudinal nature of rivers, poor water quality may extend far downstream of the source of pollutants.

The implementation of resource directed measures (i.e. ecological water requirements/Reserve, the classification of water resources and the setting of resource quality objectives as part of water use authorisations) also indicated a need for the massification of natural resource management programmes such as *Working for Water*, *Working for Wetlands*, *Working on Fire*, *Working for Woodlands* and *Working for Energy* as these are key components of the management of water quantity and quality in South Africa. Compliance monitoring and enforcement should be improved through the use of legislation, incentives, disincentives, advocacy and research. Other specific interventions include:

- Commit to implementing the Ecological Water Requirements/Reserve;
- Empower water managers to understand the water balance, for water use license applications;
- Streamline and synchronise procedures for Reserve determinations, to facilitate the provision of Ecological Water

Requirements and RHP information at numerous nodes within a catchment;

- Invest in capacity and data that will enable sufficiently considered decisions to be taken; and
- As a specific target, it is recommended that the necessary investments are made in at least two catchments/sub-catchments, where resource directed measures, environmental planning and implementation are undertaken, to demonstrate the value to water for growth and development of securing these across the country.

4.1.3 OUTPUT 1: SUB-OUTPUT 3: REGULATION OF WATER QUALITY

What will need to be done differently?

The Department of Water Affairs, as the regulator of the water sector, will strengthen the enforcement of its regulations. From an institutional perspective, it will enhance and capacitate its compliance, monitoring and enforcement unit, which will ensure enforcement of its regulations and take action against non-compliance and infringements such as illegal abstractions from water resources. It also undertakes to improve the monitoring of both raw and drinking water quality and build on public awareness campaigns such as the Blue Drop and Green Drop initiatives. It will address the current threats to water service and water quality standards by ensuring overall refurbishment and maintenance of water infrastructure in general. The Department also requires the development of co-operative institutional capacity across the

water sector to implement a regulatory framework for reducing unlawful water use and levels of pollution in South African rivers, groundwater and estuaries.

A primary thrust is to emphasise the life-sustaining importance of water as a scarce resource and to focus attention on the fact that, unless the continuous judicious use and effective management of our water resources is taken on board by every stakeholder, water availability threatens to become a constraint on growth and development. Our water management policies and legislation provide for participative water governance and a spectrum of water management and water services institutions is envisaged for the delegation of powers and responsibilities to relevant levels. Although many of these institutions have already been established, the processes for establishing these institutions and instigating shared water management in conjunction with them are complex. DWA has embarked on a process of institutional and organisational re-alignment as well as review of its existing water legislation. Effective water management largely depends on the establishment and commissioning of a resolute institutional framework for water management, with clear roles and responsibilities, both in terms of water resource management and water services. The DWA has already initiated a programme that will create the required regulatory framework. It will be essential that institutions enhance their co-operation to affect redress, minimise duplications, and maximize efficiencies. The water sector will have to work together to ensure that these institutions have the required capacity to deliver upon their mandates.

Co-operative planning in the water sector: Within the water sector, the DWA is aiming to ensure better interaction between planning initiatives around water resource management and water services, thereby progressing South African water management towards integrated water resource management.

The roles and responsibilities for the spectrum of role-players in water resource management and water services need to be more clearly defined. An example is the development of firm roles and responsibilities for water services authorities with regard to water sources within their areas of jurisdiction.

Co-operative planning in other sectors/departments: There is a distinct need for institutions beyond the water sector to take due cognisance of constraints originating from the country's scarce water resources in planning and development decisions. To make this possible, the Department strives to re-package the concepts and information regarding water management in a more user-friendly way to simplify its use and understanding.

Acid Mine drainage: The establishment of a Public Private Partnership has been proposed by the Department of Water Affairs and in principle been accepted by representatives from the Mining Houses as well as from the other Departments represented in the Government Task Team (GTT). Although other options have been considered, this option is the most preferred, bringing both the government and mining operators together towards managing the AMD challenge. The option will enable both the current and new mining operators to continue with mining, and to contribute to the processing of effluent. Both government and the mine owners will



ACTIONS NEEDED TO ACHIEVE EACH OUTPUT (continued)

contribute to the capital infrastructure requirements for both processing and pumping.

The PPP model should involve the identification of a State Agency (in existence or to be established) which will oversee the pumping and treating of acid mine drainage (AMD). This model will also ensure collaboration and joint operation among all the stakeholders, including current and new mines and government. All stakeholders will have specific roles and responsibilities towards ensuring environmental protection, sustainability and effective management of AMD. The end use of the treated AMD will be determined by the mentioned studies. It is necessary to explore scientific innovations for the optimal re-use and recycling of polluted waste water.

Output 1 Evaluation of the legislative environment

The second National Water Resources Strategy (NWRS) is being developed and will be published:

- Reviewing the National Water Act and Water Services Act; and
- Development of Integrated Water Resource Plan.

Output 1 Evaluation of the existing regulatory framework

Reviewing the existing regulatory environment and investigating options for the establishment of an economic regulator for the water sector.

Output 1 Evaluation of the existing institutional arrangements

DWA has embarked on a process of institutional and organisational re-alignment as well as review of its existing water legislation.

Output 1 Evaluation of the management systems, processes and skills

There is a need to strengthen and support the Water learning academy in terms of learnerships, internships, internal and external training and continuous professional development for engineering and science professionals. In order to respond to the skills challenge DWA has also coordinated a multi-stakeholder initiative aimed at the development and implementation of a funded, co-ordinated, resourced skills development and training programme for the water sector through the Water Sector Leadership Group's Skills Task Team. Through this Task Team the Department has developed a draft framework for a Coordinated Response to Skills and Human Resource Development needs in the water sector which identifies the need for a water sector skills intelligence facility that will not only be able track the nature, scope and location of the skills needs across the entire water cycle chain, but to also have the capacity to analyse these patterns and provide for the necessary intelligence to influence the education, training and skills development responses of the Department and the water sector as a whole in the future.

Output 1 Funding framework

The current water pricing strategy and the funding model for the development of infrastructure is underway.

4.2 OUTPUT 2: REDUCED GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS, CLIMATE CHANGE IMPACTS AND IMPROVED AIR/ATMOSPHERIC QUALITY

4.2.1 OUTPUT 2: SUB-OUTPUT 1: REDUCTION OF EMISSION OF CO₂

What will need to be done differently?

On the eve of the UN climate negotiations in Copenhagen (December 2009), South Africa announced that it will undertake mitigation actions which will result in a deviation below the current emissions baseline of around 34% by 2020 and by around 42% by 2025, on provision that the necessary finance, technology and capacity building support is received. This call for an accelerated pace in implementing the listed Nationally Appropriate Mitigation Actions, these are:

Energy use and supply

- **Improved efficiency in industry:** Mandatory improvements in aggressive industrial energy efficiency, meeting the existing goal of final energy demand reduction of 15% by 2015 and sustained efficiency beyond. This includes the current planned Eskom DSM programme, but meeting the 15% target requires further action, given current levels of ambition as expressed in the IEP;
- **Efficient commercial building and public buildings:** Mandatory improvements in aggressive efficiency measures in new commercial and public buildings, with new build to higher standards and retro-fitting existing buildings, meeting the existing goal of final energy demand reduction of 15% by 2015 and sustained efficiency beyond;
- **A switch from electricity to gas for domestic use:** LPG gas for cooking and space heating;

- **Sustainable housing development:** Development of housing in more efficient, comfortable and cleaner way, meeting the existing goal of final energy demand reduction of 10% by 2015 and sustained efficiency beyond;
- **Initial lower CO₂ electricity supply:** Renewable energy technologies and nuclear power; and
- **Enhanced lower CO₂ electricity supply:** Earlier renewable and nuclear technologies.

Transport and liquid fuels

- **Sustainable transport development:** Passengers shift from private car to public transport, freight from road to rail, and from domestic air to intercity rail/bus. Accelerate the improvement in efficiency of the vehicle fleet;
- **Advanced transport options:** Use of hybrid vehicles to replace petrol cars; development and greater penetration of electric vehicles, encourage use of smaller vehicles; and
- **Liquid fuel supply options:** Incentives for biofuels and carbon tax including on synfuel production, which results in no further coal-to-liquid plants.

Non-energy emissions

- **Reducing industrial process emissions:** CCS on new synfuel plants, PFC capture in existing aluminium plant, methane capture at existing synfuel plants and coal mines, clinker reduction in cement;
- **Waste minimisation:** Waste minimisation and composting;



ACTIONS NEEDED TO ACHIEVE EACH OUTPUT (continued)

- **Improved agriculture:** Reduced tillage, manure management and improved enteric fermentation; and
- **Emission reductions in LULUCF:** Reduction in fire episodes in savannah and afforestation of commercial forests.

4.2.2 OUTPUT 2: SUB-OUTPUT 2: REDUCTION OF ATMOSPHERIC POLLUTANTS

What will need to be done differently?

The partners aim to significantly reduce the number of municipalities with poor ambient air quality over the medium term period. Guidelines on air quality management planning are in place.

On 1 April 2010, the National Environmental Management: Air Quality Act (Act No. 39 of 2004, “the AQA”) came into full effect and, at the same time, the Atmospheric Pollution Prevention Act (Act No. 45 of 1965, “The APPA”) was repealed. This event marked the final APPA-AQA transition – a transition from an outdated “emissions control” approach to an “outcomes” or “objectives-orientated” approach. In this regard, the AQA and its implementation plan, the 2007 National Framework for Air Quality Management in South Africa, heralds a very different approach to air quality management in that all air quality management interventions are aimed at achieving the same desired outcome or objective, namely, ambient air quality that is not harmful to health and well being (i.e. the “air quality” component of the Constitution’s S.24 Environmental Right).

Furthermore, another extremely important difference is that progress in respect of achieving this desired outcome is measurable, reportable and verifiable as: (i) Ambient air that is not harmful to health and well-being is defined by National Ambient Air Quality Standards set in terms of the AQA; (ii) A National Ambient Air Quality Monitoring Network (NAAQMN) consisting of over 90 air quality monitoring stations has been established and this network is measuring and reporting quality controlled and quality assured ambient air quality data; and (iii) Data from the NAAQMN is being reported to the South African Air Quality Information System (SAAQIS) where it is compared to air quality standards and is reported publicly via the internet.

The final significant way in which air quality is being managed differently is that local authorities are now fully empowered to implement their Constitutional “air pollution” control function. As an example of this, the AQA makes the Metropolitan and District Municipalities the Licensing Authorities in respect of Atmospheric Emission Licenses for all significant industrial sources of air pollution.

The mine health and safety summit of 2003 committed all stakeholder to achieve a zero levels of silica exposure by 2013. To this effect, the DMR developed legislative provisions as follow:

Regulation 9.2 (1) of the Mine Health and Safety Act (MHSA) state that the employer must ensure the occupational exposure to health hazards of employees is maintained below the limit of which the limit for particulates (dust) less or equal

1/10 of the OEL (Occupational Exposure Limit) which is 0,1 mg/m³;

Regulations 9.2.(7) of the Mine Health and Safety Act, the employer must submit reports containing information on airborne pollutants (dust etc) which is one of the aspect of Occupational Hygiene measurements to the Regional Principal Inspector of Mines on forms prescribed by Chapter 21 of the MHSA. This report must be submitted within 60 days from the end of the relevant reporting period; and

Regulations 9.2.3 of the MHSA The employer must engage a competent person to report on Occupational Hygiene risk assessment and Occupational hazards that may cause illness or adverse health effects to persons.

The health impacts of mining tailing dumps on communities proximal to mine operations are being quantified through a research project approved during the 2010/11 financial. This project is currently focused on at data collection (stage 1) with the intention of completing the research by 2013 and implementing recommendations immediately thereafter.

Output 2 – Sub-output 2: Evaluation of the legislative environment

As described above, the legislative environment for air quality management has changed dramatically over the last few years. However, in order to exploit the full potential of the AQA, various regulations are still envisaged over the next few years. Most

important of these are likely to be regulations in respect of cost recovery for Atmospheric Emission Licensing services. Furthermore, it is also expected that there are likely to be substantial changes to municipal air quality management by-laws over the next few years as municipalities take on their full air quality management responsibilities.

Finally, there remains one very significant source of air pollution that is not effectively covered by the new approach to air quality management, namely, air pollution from mines and mining operations. Law reform in this area must be investigated over the next few years especially as air pollution from mines and mining operations is significant in all of the National Air Pollution Control Priority Areas identified under the AQA.

Output 2 – Sub-output 2: Evaluation of the existing regulatory framework

Given that the devolution of regulatory air quality management authority to the local spheres of government is a relatively recent event, it is still too early to do a meaningful evaluation of this new regulatory framework. However, anecdotal evidence appears to confirm that many municipalities are effectively rising to the challenge and many, especially Metros, are already delivering a better quality service than the national department has rendered since 1965.

Output 2 – Sub-output 2: Evaluation of the existing institutional arrangements

One of the most significant air quality governance changes that has come about with the APPA-AQA transition are the intergovernmental



ACTIONS NEEDED TO ACHIEVE EACH OUTPUT (continued)

coordination and cooperation institutional arrangements. In contrast to the APPA era that was marked by intergovernmental and jurisdictional conflict, jealousies and turf-battles, the AQA has brought in a new era of efficient and effective air quality cooperative governance through: (i) The Quarterly National-Provincial Air Quality Officer's Forum (the air quality component of the MINTECH: Environment Working Group II – now also considered to be the “delivery forum” for this, and all other air quality related, sub-outputs); (ii) The Quarterly Provincial-Municipal Air Quality Officer's Forums; and (iii) The Annual National Air Quality Governance Lekgotla – the premier intergovernmental air quality governance coordination and cooperation event.

This notwithstanding, as mentioned above, given that the devolution of regulatory air quality management authority to the local spheres of government is a relatively recent event, it is still too early to do a meaningful evaluation of, for example, institutional arrangements within municipalities. However, although anecdotal evidence appears to indicate that, at least in some cases, new municipal air quality management institutional arrangements are effective or, at least, adequate, this is not the case nation-wide. However, as provided for in the AQA, provinces have taken on the air quality management responsibilities of municipalities with little, if any, capacity. In terms of the department's strategic plan, this situation will be reviewed in the form of an air quality governance capacity audit over the next few years.

Output 2 – Sub-output 2: Evaluation of the management systems, processes and skills

The Further Education and Training (FET) Colleges play a key role

in fulfilling artisanal skills for environmental management. There is a need to implement agreement with education institutions in respect of formal post-graduate training as well as informal skills enhancement of the priority areas including:

- air quality managers
- artisans and renewable energy technicians
- Atmospheric scientists, forecasters
- Landscape architectures and GIS specialists
- Engineers and conservation scientists
- Planners and data managers

It is still too early to assess the air quality management systems, processes and skills of the new Licensing Authorities at this stage. However, the national department has been very proactive over the APPA-AQA transition period in providing manuals, templates, standard formats and training in respect of air quality management systems and processes with a view to building air quality governance skills and expertise. The department also has an ongoing dialogue with tertiary education institutions in respect of formal post-graduate air quality management training.

However, air quality management is a relatively new discipline and, as a result, well-qualified and experienced air quality managers are currently scarce. However, this scarcity should be considered with the understanding that, from 1965 to 2010, only 6 – 10 officials were involved, full-time, in air quality management in South Africa. Thus, although there may be a current scarcity of skilled air quality managers now, it is likely that with the interventions already in place or planned for air

quality management training, this scarcity will be addressed over the next few years.

Output 2 – Sub-output 2: Funding framework

There are indications from all spheres of government that there is never enough budget for air quality management. However, in the absence of any meaningful calculation of “what is enough”, the department is working on 'cost recovery' mechanisms for, for example, Atmospheric Emission Licensing services. However, the department is also involved in the development of various 'cost-benefit' analyses around the concept of “an investment in air quality management is an investment in public health” that may be used by Licensing Authorities in their bids for funding. Finally, the department is also exploring new and novel ways of implementing the “polluter-pays” principle to ensure adequate funding in respect of air quality governance.

4.2.3 OUTPUT 2: SUB-OUTPUT 3: RENEWABLE ENERGY DEPLOYMENT

What will need to be done differently?

Energy security has become a huge challenge in South Africa since approval of the existing policy with very slim reserve margin in the electricity sector. Electricity price has increased dramatically making renewable energy more and more competitive. The 2012 Government's commitment to universal access to electricity has become more and more challenging and therefore innovative approaches for widening access to modern energy including renewable energy become imperative.

The World Summit on Sustainable Development held in Johannesburg in 2002 placed the spotlight on renewable energy.

Renewable energy resources are naturally occurring, non-depletable sources of energy such as solar, wind, biomass and hydro. Less than 1% of the 200 000 GWh of electricity generated annually in South Africa originates from renewable energy sources. Renewable energy is becoming a readily tradable commodity worldwide. Electricity produced by renewable energy Independent Power Producers will be fed into the national electricity grid or to specific users through stand-alone power plants connected to localised or mini-grids. Growing numbers of South African industries and exporters, like counterparts elsewhere in the world, need to align themselves with green practices in order to make their products and services more acceptable in many international markets.

Output 2 – Sub-output 2: Evaluation of the legislative environment

The Integrated Resource Plan 2 is being developed under the Department of Energy's Electricity Regulation Act which plans the future energy mix for South Africa including a greater capacity for energy from renewable energy sources. The Energy Act 2008 provides the overall framework for the deployment of various forms of energy including renewable energy. The New Generation Capacity Regulations of 2009 provides the regulatory, institutional and funding framework for the implementation of renewable energy through the Renewable Energy feed-in Tariff (REFIT).



ACTIONS NEEDED TO ACHIEVE EACH OUTPUT (continued)

Output 2 – Sub-output 2: Evaluation of the existing regulatory framework

The Department of Energy's Renewable Energy White Paper of 2003 sets a target of 10 000 GigaWatt hour (GWh) by 2013 to be produced from renewable energy source. The Renewable Energy Summit of 2009 resolved to review the Renewable Energy White Paper Policy to assess if the target, objectives and deliverables are being achieved, and also to determine whether the policy direction remains appropriate. The review of Renewable Energy White Paper Policy will set targets for the medium term and the long term, for renewable contribution into the energy mix. The policy will be reviewed and revised in the context of energy security, climate change, energy poverty, approved South African Integrated Resource Plan, Electricity Regulations on New Generation Capacity, Renewable Energy Feed-In-Tariff and the Standard Power Purchase Agreement for IPPs.

Output 2 – Sub-output 2: Evaluation of the existing institutional arrangements

The New Generation Capacity Regulations provides for new institutions for the procurement and purchase of renewable energy including a new Independent Systems and Market Operator (ISMO) that will approve grid connections and power purchase agreements from renewable energy power producers which has been the major blockage preventing the deployment of renewable energy to date.

Output 2 – Sub-output 2: Evaluation of the management systems, processes and skills

The deployment of government's one million solar heater programme will seek to improve the skills shortage in this sector. Skills shortage is also being addressed by SANERI and various universities and institutions in the country which provides expert training in the field of renewable energy.

Output 2, sub-output 2: Funding framework

The REFIT will be funded through the fiscus via Eskom which will effect a pass-through to consumers. Eskom currently provides a subsidy for SWHs.

The Clean Technology Fund provides funding for SA's first commercial wind farm, CSP, industrial energy efficiency, SWH and efficient transport systems. Other incentives and funding on a smaller scale is provided by the DoE and DTI.

4.2.4 OUTPUT 2: SUB-OUTPUT 4: ADAPTING TO THE IMPACTS OF CLIMATE CHANGE

What will need to be done differently?

The impacts of climate change to a number of sectors of the economy are not well documented, evidence being in the coverage of the sectors in the baseline work for the Long Term Mitigation Scenarios (LTMS). For example, the impacts of climate change on human health are understood in terms of (i) physical aspects such as heat and cold stress; (ii) disease and vector spread such as malaria, schistosomiasis, haemorrhagic fevers; (iii) social and nutrition related diseases, such as TB, AIDS, etc are not well understood.

In addition to that, the impacts of climate change on Human Settlements in South Africa have not been comprehensively documented to cover all dimensions to include infrastructure, local economic development, and land use planning implications including disaster preparedness. An understanding of infrastructure deficit and future infrastructure needs; climate change impact on local economy of municipalities; and spatial planning adjustments emanating from climate change need to be understood.

The impacts of climate change on Tourism, the South African economy and Financial Services with particular reference to insurance services has not received as much attention as the biophysical aspects of climate change.

Understanding of vulnerability and adaptive capacity of selected sectors in the South African economy, and the ability of the country achieve its growth targets to meet social and economic targets and development.

4.2.5 OUTPUT 2: SUB-OUTPUT 5: ENERGY EFFICIENCY

Output 2 Evaluation of the legislative environment

The 2007 National Framework for Air Quality Management in South Africa was updated and amended. Focus will continue to be on raising awareness regarding air quality in densely populated peri-urban settlements i.e. cleaner fires campaign.

Output 2 Evaluation of the existing regulatory framework

Although the National Climate Change Response Strategy for South Africa published in September 2004 was government's first

formal provision of policy direction for national climate change responses, this strategy was developed in the context of the policies in place at the time and not within the context of a specific climate change policy.

The initiation of a dedicated climate change response policy development process took place at the National Climate Change Conference held in Midrand, Johannesburg, in October 2005. This conference resulted in a detailed conference statement that, importantly, rejected climate change denialism and, among others, provided a detailed list of climate change interventions to be implemented by government, business and industry, scientists and non-governmental organisations. In terms of the government commitments, two of these set the scene for the development of a dedicated climate change policy for South Africa.

The first was the acknowledgment that climate change's causes and impacts are cross-cutting and have implications for all elements of South Africa's society, economy and environment and, hence, government committed itself to the participatory development of a comprehensive, integrated, coherent and cohesive National Climate Change Response Policy.

The second was the acknowledgement of the importance of a continued science-policy dialogue in the policy development process and, with this, a decision to "initiate a detailed scenario building process to map out how South Africa can meet its Article 2 commitment to greenhouse gas stabilisation whilst ensuring its focus on poverty alleviation and job creation."



ACTIONS NEEDED TO ACHIEVE EACH OUTPUT (continued)

In response to this latter decision, in March 2006 Cabinet mandated a national process of building scenarios of possible greenhouse gas emission futures, informed by the best available research and information, to define not only South Africa's position on future commitments under international treaties, but also to shape the nation's climate change policy for the longer-term future. In line with the Cabinet mandate, the Long-Term Mitigation Scenario (LTMS) process was launched in mid-2006.

The focus of the LTMS process, as the name suggests, was mitigation (i.e. reducing emissions of greenhouse gases). The then Department of Environmental Affairs and Tourism (DEAT), as the focal point for climate change in South Africa, convened and managed the process, which was overseen by the Inter-Ministerial Committee on Climate Change. DEAT appointed the Energy Research Centre at the University of Cape Town to project manage the entire process and they, in turn, convened and contracted the process specialists and set up the personnel for four focussed Research Support Units. The LTMS Scenario Building Team was established in late 2006 to carry out the technical aspects of the process. The Scenario Building Team was made up of individual stakeholders from government, industry, labour, civil society, as well as other relevant players. The products of the LTMS were signed-off by the Scenario Building Team in November 2007.

The LTMS process and its products were well received by all stakeholders and are regarded as being robust and broadly supported. It was also clear that there was consensus that the

results had been achieved through a sound technical methodology and extensive stakeholder involvement.

In July 2008, following a discussion around various developments in the climate change field, including the LTMS findings, Cabinet approved a climate change policy development process and associated development timeframes and also provided 6 broad policy themes to focus the development of the policy. In summary, the policy development plan required a high-profile launch of the process, the production and publication of a Green Paper by April 2010 and a final draft policy to be submitted by the end of 2010.

Output 2 Evaluation of the existing institutional arrangements

For Climate change, the current mechanisms include:

The Inter-Ministerial Committee on Climate Change

The strategic, multi-faceted and cross-cutting nature of climate change response activities necessitate the formation of a coordination committee at Executive (Cabinet) level, which will ensure coordination of actions and alignment of all actions with national policies and legislation. To this end, an Inter-Ministerial Committee on Climate Change shall exercise oversight over all aspects of the implementation of this policy;

FOSAD Clusters

The national climate change response actions shall be guided by the relevant FOSAD clusters based on the different elements of their mandate. The Economic Sectors and Employment Cluster shall continue to provide strategic leadership on all

climate change issues that have a strong bearing of economic growth and employment creation, the Infrastructure cluster shall continue to provide strategic leadership on all infrastructure related aspects of this policy and the International Cooperation Cluster shall continue to provide strategic leadership on international engagements as they relate to climate change;

Intergovernmental Committee on Climate Change (IGCCC)

Chapter 3 of the Constitution enjoins government agencies to operate in accordance with the principles of cooperative government and intergovernmental relations that it sets out.

These include that: *“All spheres of government and all organs of state within each sphere must... co operate with one another in mutual trust and good faith by: (i) fostering friendly relations; (ii) assisting and supporting one another; (iii) informing one another of, and consulting one another on, matters of common interest; (iv) co ordinating their actions and legislation with one another; (v) adhering to agreed procedures; and (vi) avoiding legal proceedings against one another.* Thus, the exchange of information, consultation, agreement, assistance and support are key features of cooperative government. In order to operationalise cooperative governance in the area of climate change, the Intergovernmental Committee on Climate Change (IGCCC) has been established to foster the exchange of information, consultation, agreement, assistance and support among the spheres of government with respect to climate change and government's response to climate change;

Provincial and Local Government cooperation

Climate Change impacts on all levels of Government, and a vertical cooperation mechanism is required to ensure enhanced government coordination and policy alignment. The Ministerial political (MINMEC) and technical (MINTECH) structures as set up through the Intergovernmental Relations Act (IGR) facilitate a high level of policy and strategy coherence between the three spheres of government, and should be used to guide Climate Change work across the 3 spheres. Several technical working groups meet regularly to discuss and advise on issues of biodiversity and heritage, impact management, pollution and waste management, and planning and reporting and a working group that deals with cross-cutting issues (i.e. Working Group 3) would coordinate climate change response. These working groups feed into the MINTECH and ultimately to MINMEC. South African Local Government Association (SALGA) as a body mandated to coordinate local government action will continue to coordinate vulnerability and risk assessments in key municipalities and ensure the integration of climate adaptation and mitigation actions into Integrated Development Plans as well as massively up-scaled public education, awareness, media and information on climate change; and

Partnering with Stakeholders

The National Committee on Climate Change (NCCC) has been set up to ensure consultation with stakeholders from key sectors impacted by and/or impacting on climate change. The Committee advises on matters relating to national



ACTIONS NEEDED TO ACHIEVE EACH OUTPUT (continued)

responsibilities with respect to climate change, and in particular in relation to the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change and the Kyoto protocol and the implementation of climate change related activities.

The following institutional arrangements are considered necessary for the implementation of the national climate change policy:

- Executive national coordination;
- An organisation for Research, Development and Innovation coordination;
- An organisation for coordinating national adaptation actions;
- An organisation for low-carbon power generation coordination;
- An organisation for measuring, reporting and verifying climate change responses;
- An organisation for facilitating and promoting the use of the clean development mechanism or similar carbon trading and off-set schemes; and
- An organisation for auditing Government policies, strategies and legislation to ensure alignment.

However, with the implementation of the policy, and as the transition to a climate resilient and low-carbon economy and society evolves, it may be appropriate to adjust these institutional arrangements accordingly.

4.3 OUTPUT 3: SUSTAINABLE ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT

4.3.1 OUTPUT 3: SUB-OUTPUT 1: RESTORATION & REHABILITATION OF DEGRADED ECOSYSTEMS

What will need to be done differently?

South Africa is dominated by very shallow sandy soils with severe inherent limitations from an agricultural point of view. Only 3% of our land is considered high potential land. If we use the international norm of 0.4 hectares of arable land to feed a person, then South Africa's 14 million hectares would feed at most 35 million people.

The new emphasis on agriculture as an employment generator and the re-prioritisation of land reform is directly threatened by soil degradation and the negative impact of the over-use of oil-derived chemical inputs, erosion and inappropriate irrigation policies.

As soil degradation becomes an increasing obstacle to growth in the agricultural sector, there is an opportunity to develop a national capacity in soils analysis coupled to investment in know-how (e.g. organic farming, bio-dynamic farming and bio-mimicry) that could result in reduced dependency on oil-based and expensive chemical inputs. Restoring and rehabilitating our natural resources can stimulate rural economies, create rural and urban jobs, support carbon sinks and help maintain critical ecosystem services vital to the economy.

Effective planning and management is needed to balance social, economic and environmental pressures. For instance the

development of guidelines and information resources to support the built and natural environments to design in and incorporate sustainability criteria into land rehabilitation programmes. Mitigation options such as restoring degraded biomes need to be considered, to prevent desertification, soil degradation, and loss of food security while improving water retention. Sustainable land use management is required to reduce the country's overall carbon balance.

Soil degradation causes increased incidence of desertification. Over 0,7 million hectares of land is degraded and left bare by soil erosion, however over 91% of South Africa comprises of dry lands making it susceptible to desertification. This reduces the land's ability to withstand climate variations, which in turn impacts on a community's and ecosystems' ability to adapt to climate change. A sustainable approach will need to include the following key elements:

- *Community-Based Natural Resource Management* in which stakeholders from different backgrounds share common problems and devise solutions. It is this grass roots approach that is driving the Landcare programme and has been a major reason for its success;
- *Partnerships* between the public, community and private sector;
- *Local Action* through local economic development and employment creation in which local Landcare groups have access to technical information and advice;
- *Food Security* including greater productivity and poverty relief;

- *Integrated & Innovative Approaches* to natural resource management in which the causes of environmental and resource degradation are addressed rather than the symptoms; and
- *Redress* through assisting resource-poor communities from rural areas and addressing the needs of former disadvantaged groups.

4.3.2 OUTPUT 3: SUB-OUTPUT 2: DEFORESTATION & FOREST MANAGEMENT

What will need to be done differently?

Forestry has a marked impact on the natural environment and affects biodiversity, water and soil resources and air quality. Apart from the obvious transformation of the natural landscape and resultant loss of biodiversity (such as in biodiversity rich grassland habitats), the exotic tree species planted commercially for forestry are known to consume vast volumes of water. This has a severe impact on available surface and groundwater resources. Programmes such as Forest and Forest Products Certification, development and implementation of systems, procedures, and tools such as Principles, Criteria, Indicators and Standards for Sustainable Forest Management, and conducting Strategic Environmental Impact Assessments before developing new plantations should be implemented

4.3.3 OUTPUT 3: SUB-OUTPUT 3: LESS AND BETTER MANAGED WASTE

What will need to be done differently?

In terms of Pollution and Waste Management, the sector aims to protect the environment so that all the people of South Africa can



ACTIONS NEEDED TO ACHIEVE EACH OUTPUT (continued)

live and work in a safe and healthy environment. The key issues include fiscal mechanisms, permitting of landfill sites, support to local government interventions and implementing the new Waste Management Act.

The efficacy and sustainability of delivery of solid waste services is constrained by severe fiscal challenges. Municipal capital and operating expenditures are much lower than the required levels, and operating deficits continue to expand. The structure of capital financing for waste services is not optimal, with reliance on grant financing, subsidy leakage to non-poor consumers, and user charge revenues that are too low. The municipal solid waste sector is in general facing a serious fiscal situation, with operating deficits ballooning to the point at which the sustainability of service delivery will be threatened. In this context, the need to expand delivery solid waste services sector requires greater efficiency of fiscal mechanisms and a clear strategy to improve operating efficiencies, secure financial sustainability of waste services delivery, and boost municipal revenues. As a first step, municipalities will need to undertake full cost accounting for waste services, in order to understand the long term capital and operating costs of the service, and to be able to properly evaluate different options for levels of service and extension of services to unserved areas. Secondly municipalities will need to develop and implement cost reflective tariffs in order to correctly price waste service provision. Once financial sustainability and operational efficiency have been achieved in waste service provision, further amendments to tariff structuring to promote waste minimisation can be considered.

To support the requirement for increased capital investment in the waste sector a Solid Waste Project Development Fund will need to be established, to ensure that capital expenditures in the sector increase, that a robust pipeline of municipal projects is created, and that an appropriate capital financing mix is developed.

A proportion of MIG funding needs to be earmarked for bulk solid waste infrastructure during the annual MTEC. This earmarked portion will support infrastructure in instances where MIG funding cannot be utilised, for example trucks and other infrastructure that are used for ongoing service delivery in small to medium sized municipalities.

The situation with unpermitted waste disposal sites is dire and needs to be corrected. All waste disposal sites must be permitted in order to improve operations on the sites as well as protect communities. Financial resources as indicated above must be made available in order to undertake the necessary authorisation processes for the licensing of waste disposal sites. The capacity of Municipalities for integrated waste management planning and operating landfill sites must be improved. DEA will train landfill managers across the country every year in order to deal with the capacity challenge. Furthermore, DEA will assist municipalities in the preparation of Integrated Waste Management Plans.

South Africa has historically utilised land filling as the main option for dealing with waste. This approach must change and the focus now must be on waste minimisation. Realistic and defensible targets and measures for recovery reuse and recycling of waste will be included in Industry Waste Management Plans.

Targets for recovery, reuse and recycling of waste for all the main waste categories will be developed progressively over five years, in line with the development and implementation of IndWMPs as per section 30(2) of the Waste Act. The IndWMP for the paper and packaging industry will set clear targets for the recovery, reuse and recycling of metal beverage cans, paper, plastic and glass, for which there are accurate baseline statistics. In relation to other waste streams, the initial focus of IndWMPs for these sectors will be to establish accurate baseline data. Furthermore, as already indicated, the current pricing of waste disposal must be reviewed in order to build in incentives for waste minimisation by consumers. Further economic instruments to promote waste minimisation will be considered by government once the pricing of waste services and disposal has been addressed.

The partnerships to promote the development of the recycling industry, particularly for the recycling of plastic bags, by supporting Buyisa-e-bag, though ensuring compliance with and enforcement of the plastic bag regulations and promoting awareness about the need to recycle.

4.3.4 OUTPUT 3: SUB-OUTPUT 4: MANAGEMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS FROM MINING AND RELATED ACTIVITIES:

What will need to be done differently?

The Minerals Petroleum Resources development Act is progressive in its provisions for rehabilitation and especially in establishing the Mine Rehabilitation Fund through contributions of holders of mining related permissions, permits and rights. The effectiveness however of dealing with old order rights (mine closure related to

these) and rehabilitation and closure of derelict (abandoned) and ownerless mines can be questioned. A strategy to address rehabilitation and closure of historic mines that have caused and continue to cause environmental degradation accordingly needs to be developed and its implementation expedited.

The inability of the current spatial planning and land use management system to integrate mineral development has resulted in the latter occurring in areas where it permanently sterilised areas of high agricultural potential or impacted severely on sensitive and prioritised ecosystems. Mineral development priority areas should with equal standing “compete” in a spatial planning and land use management system with other policy imperatives such as biodiversity protection, food security, water security, etc. The inclusion of mineral development in the spatial planning and land use management system and identification of agreed “mining restriction areas” is accordingly an important step in doing things differently towards achieving the desired outcome.

Lastly, regulation of environmental management of mining is largely fragmented and takes place in an uncoordinated manner not conducive to cooperative governance resulting in duplication in regulatory requirements and sometimes conflicting decisions. There is a need to correct firstly through alignment and integration of regulatory processes and secondly through implementation of intergovernmental forums at and between the appropriate levels of government. Such integrated and coordinated approach to the assessment of impacts, the desirability of the activity within the specific context and the appropriate mitigation/management measures to be



ACTIONS NEEDED TO ACHIEVE EACH OUTPUT (continued)

implemented will enable informed decisions on cumulative impacts and would ensure joint enforcement again resulting in minimising impacts.

The sector will ensure the optimisation of social and economic benefits from mining, the effective long-term management of environmental, social and health impacts (including dealing with the legacy of old mining sites), the extension of participation in mining, the strengthening of governance and institutions, effective beneficiation and ongoing research and technological innovation.

4.3.5 SUB-OUTPUT 5: SUSTAINABLE LAND USE MANAGEMENT

What will need to be done differently?

Regulation and management of land use and land use patterns are largely fragmented and uncoordinated. Competing and often conflicting mandates of government departments at all spheres result in decisions made on land use at site level that is neither informed by cumulative impact or benefit, nor promoting ecological, social or economic sustainability. The Highveld region of Mpumalanga where endangered grasslands and wetlands also constitute high potential agricultural land and are underpaid by rich, shallow coal fields serves as an excellent example of the need to follow an integrated, sustainability focused approach when regulating and managing all land uses and land use patterns. Given climate change imperatives, food and water security and energy demands of the country, it is impossible to at site level decide which of these land uses should be given preference and therefore a different, far more strategic approach is required. Spatial and develop planning must be:

- (a) Integrated and coordinated (governance system);
- (b) Informed by environmental considerations (geology, geomorphology, hydrology, ecology, meteorology, etc) both in terms of opportunities, constraints and levels of acceptable/desired change; and
- (c) Binding on all land use activities including conservation, recreation, urbanisation, energy development, infrastructure development, forestry, agriculture and mining. It cannot only serve as a guide but must determine appropriate and sustainable land use and land use patterns.

Whilst (a) and (c) above are assumed to be addressed through the presidency's work to revitalise the development of Land Use Management legislation, this sub-output concentrate on ensuring that legally binding integrated Spatial Development Plans are environmentally informed and implemented to ensure sustainable use of undeveloped land.

Output 3 Evaluation of the legislative environment

The legislative environment is one of the key challenges to overcome. A recent audit of legislation regulating natural resources and environmental management conducted by the Department of Environmental Affairs established that no less than 80 National or Provincial Acts or Regulations regulates natural resources and by implication land use. The work done by the presidency in revitalising the development of a Spatial Planning and Land Use Management Act identified similar constraints. This legislative review process should be optimised to derive at a legislative framework where spatial and integrated development

plans are agreed to by sector departments and sector based regulatory functions related to any form of land use implemented within this framework.

The development of environmental management frameworks (EMF) in terms of Section 24 of the National Environmental Management Act and the integration of these EMFs into SDFs through the Land Use Management Bill could form a good basis for ensuring that environmental considerations inform spatial plans which in turn inform land use patterns. The DFA and Municipal Systems Act currently require that an SEA be conducted to inform SDFs but very little guidance is provided on what this required and to the satisfaction of whom.

Availability and accessibility of data to inform EMFs and SDFs and the trade-offs to be made on land use is also a challenge that would need to be overcome through an enabling legislative environment. The protection of data on mineral deposits through the Mineral petroleum Resources development and the Council of Geo Sciences Act for example restricts the ability to develop spatial plans also cognisant of mineral development imperatives the unfortunate consequence is that the presence of a priority mineral often overrides other land use options. An equally difficult obstacle is the often crude nature of biodiversity data resulting in what could be viewed as unjustified sterilisation of land for uses other than biodiversity protection.

The necessary legislative changes should however be made to expand the use of NEMA's EMFs or SEAs to also address the spatial information requirements stemming from other acts such as Bio-regional plans, Conservation Plans, Catchment Management

Plans, Integrated Coastal Management Plans, Priority Area Management Plans, Mineral development priority areas, etc. If not possible to integrate these into EMFs there must be an agreement on the hierarchy of the plans – for example should an EMF inform a bio-regional plan or the other way around. How can the information obtained through these processes be integrated to inform decision-making on sustainable land use and land use patterns.

Output 3 Evaluation of the existing regulatory framework

Regulation of land use is sector based and not executed within a strategic framework with clear sustainability targets. As is the case for the legislative environment, regulatory functions associated with sustainable land use and land use patterns are fragmented, uncoordinated and unable to address sustainability. It is essential that regulatory requirements be as far as possible aligned and implemented in a coordinated manner.

Output 3 Evaluation of the existing institutional arrangements

Spatial and integrated development planning is the responsibility of local government and to an extent, provincial – demands placed on these plans and accordingly on municipalities do not only stem from the Development Facilitation Act, the Municipal Systems Act and in the Western Cape the Land Use Planning Ordinances but also from sector legislation such as the Water Act, the NEM Waste Act, the NEM Air Quality Act, the NEM Integrated Coastal Management Act, etc. Apart from the substantial financial burden on municipalities, satisfying all these masters through different processes (only integration through stapling



ACTIONS NEEDED TO ACHIEVE EACH OUTPUT (continued)

different plans together) of approval, monitoring and reporting is counterproductive both in terms of service delivery and in terms of integrated environmental management towards sustainable land use. Currently IDPs and SDFs are developed by municipalities with very little involvement of the relevant provincial and national departments and a “mass consideration and approval” process is followed at the end of the development process where relevant national and provincial departments convene for a week or two to consider all SDFs and IDPs. In order to optimise the instrument, these arrangements would need to be fundamentally reassessed. National and provincial sector departments should share the responsibility of developing sector elements of SDFs and the integration thereof. The institutional arrangement currently followed for some environmental management frameworks could be considered. These EMFs are developed at the cost of the national or provincial environmental department but in partnership (through an MOU) with the relevant municipality. The municipality then superimpose its development/growth plans on this environmental information and trade-offs are negotiated. The result is a SDF that is agreed to between the environmental authority and the municipality and the “approval” process thus facilitated.

Institutional arrangements on monitoring implementation of and compliance with SDFs are either non-existing or totally ineffective. This will need to be addressed if the environmentally informed SDF non-existing or totally ineffective. This will need to be addressed if the environmentally informed SDF.

Output 3 Evaluation of the management systems, processes and skills

There is a need for educational institutions to align curriculum with the current conservation imperatives. To integrate the required skills for implementation, we need to enhance collaboration with accreditation institutions and relevant SETAs. The Further Education and Training (FET) Colleges play a key role in fulfilling artisanal skills for waste management, large scale greening of open spaces- horticulturists, nurseries, open space planning engineers and planners.

Environmental Management Frameworks are relatively new and a strategy to in time role it out to all areas of the country is currently being developed.

The process for developing an EMF is prescribed in regulations and its success in terms of informing SDFs and land use patterns dependent on cooperative governance processes, negotiating memoranda of agreement and conflict resolution. Although growing, the skills base for developing EMFs and especially negotiating with the different role players is limited.

Monitoring and evaluation systems on the implementation and updating of EMFs still need to be developed.

Of great importance is the development of a GIS management system for the different layers of data and the integration thereof to form an EMF/SDF and to monitor transformation of land against. The State of Environment Reporting instrument could be considered.

Output 3 Funding framework

The national department currently has an allocation of R5 000 000 per annum for the development of EMFs whilst some provinces and even municipalities also have money set aside for either EMFs or SEAs. In addition funds have been allocated elsewhere the development of for example bio-regional plans, conservation plans, catchment management plans, etc. It would be important for all authorities that do some form of “environmental” mapping/spatial planning to get together to ensure that funds are consolidated to develop integrated environmental spatial plans (such as EMFs) that meet all their requirements but optimise funds, skills and other resources.

A substantial financial consideration in terms of Output 3 is the cost of rehabilitation and closure of abandoned, derelict and ownerless mines. The Department of Mineral Resources is estimate the cost.

4.4 OUTPUT 4: PROTECTED BIODIVERSITY

4.4.1 SUB-OUTPUT 1: EXPANSION OF THE CONSERVATION ESTATE

What will need to be done differently?

- Rationalisation of governance processes, reporting and institutions nationally and provincially for management effectiveness.
- Create alternative financing mechanisms for expanding the conservation estate including stewardship, co-management and contractual park arrangements.

- Introduce monetary and fiscal incentives for conservation.
- Create new strategic partnerships to support technological and appropriate skills.

4.4.2 SUB-OUTPUT 2: REDUCED CLIMATE CHANGE IMPACTS ON BIODIVERSITY

What will need to be done differently?

Integrate climate change considerations into existing biodiversity management plans/programmes for climate change adaptation.

4.4.3 SUB-OUTPUT 3: PROTECTED ECOSYSTEM & SPECIES

What will need to be done differently?

- Enhance management of threatened species through strengthened partnerships with stakeholders, particularly landowners and conservation agencies, engaged in species and ecosystem management. This will ensure the development of a much greater number of species and ecosystem management plans.
- Facilitate the development of the biodiversity sector research strategy to better understand and monitor status and trends of ecosystems and species requiring protection.
- Establish a national mechanism to improve the science policy interface in order to facilitate improved decision making and policy support
- Increase public awareness on environmental particularly on threatened species and ecosystems.
- Developing innovative financial mechanisms for protection of threatened ecosystems and species.



ACTIONS NEEDED TO ACHIEVE EACH OUTPUT (continued)

4.4.4 SUB-OUTPUT 4: VALUING THE ECOSYSTEM SERVICES

What will need to be done differently?

- Include quantification of the value of ecosystem goods and services in environmental decision making.
- Establish mechanism to reflect the value of biodiversity in South Africa's national resource accounts.

4.4.5 SUB-OUTPUT 5: PROTECTION OF AGRICULTURAL LAND

What will need to be done differently?

Protection of high potential agricultural land: Start measuring and ensure protection of 81% by 2014.

Output 4 Evaluation of the legislative environment

The partners will ensure the effective implementation of the National Environmental Management Biodiversity Act (NEMBA) of 2004, National Environmental Management: Protected Areas Act of 2003 as well as key conventions such as the Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species (CITES) and the United Nations Convention on Biodiversity.

It is further important that the sector focus on setting up institutional capacity and systems for Implementation of integrated Coastal Management Act. The partners will continue to maintain South Africa's research presence in Antarctica, Prince Edward and Marion Islands. In this regard we are going to commence with the re-capitalisation of the vessel for these research programmes:

- National Forest Act needs to be integrated into biodiversity planning with respect to declaration of controlled areas;

- Alignment of National Biodiversity Act with relevant national environmental Legislation i.e. National Water Act;
- National guidelines for by-laws;
- National guidelines for biodiversity offsets;
- Guidelines for fiscal incentives;
- Guidelines for land use planning; and
- Policy science interface with society (evidence based science to inform policy).

Legislative changes required to achieve the output

- Implementation frameworks overlap – cross-sectoral and harmonising approach in minimising duplication.
- National Fire Act to be cross referenced to the Disaster Management Act.
- Review of Public Entities mandates to consider broader natural resource management.

Output 4 Evaluation of the existing regulatory framework

Regulations exist for the proper Administration of the Knysna Protected Environment.

Regulations controlling the use of vehicles in the coastal zone: The original regulations were made in 2001 and were amended in 2004. The amended regulations centre on imposing a general duty of care on persons using 4X4 vehicles in the coastal zone as well as a general prohibition on the use of 4X4 vehicles in the coastal zone unless it is a permissible use.

Threatened or Protected Species (TOPS) Regulations, which further regulate the permit system set out in Chapter 7 of the Biodiversity Act. Previously South Africa use to have provincial ordinance for the different provinces, and these are the first national regulations. The aim is to make TOPS the only regulations in South Africa for indigenous species.

Regulations for bioprospecting, access and benefit sharing: While the Biodiversity Act was promulgated in 2004, the regulations relating to Chapter 6 and Chapter 7 came into force on 1 April 2008.

General regulations for the management of the seashore including the removal of sand, rock etc from the seashore and the charging fees.

- Strengthen law reform process and law enforcement.
- Coordination at national level and other departments especially DAFF and DMR.
- Monitoring enforcement.
- Integrated permitting system developed.
- Prosecution of transgressors.
- Detection of illegal trade of species.

Output 4 Evaluation of the existing institutional arrangements

- National guidelines to establish bylaws to support provinces.
- Harmonise activities given human capacity, financial resource and focal on goals delivery vehicle like (WfW), PFMA. Treasury for funding.

- Planning instruments i.e. IDPs.
- Elevate issues to Political forums.
- Participate as critical sector into national planning processes i.e. spatial.

Output 4 Evaluation of the management systems, processes and skills

- Effective management of protected areas.
- Accountability.
- Synergies at high level.
- Educational institutions to align curriculum with current conservation imperatives.
- Research agenda.
- Retention of scarce skills by attractive incentives.
- OSD to cover forest ecologists and other technical expertise.

Output 4 Funding framework

- Creative funding mechanisms established.
- Sponsorships/Donor funds.
- Roll over of unutilised funds to other projects.



5. INDICATORS, BASELINES AND TARGETS FOR OUTCOME

Appendix A provide more detail on the indicators, baselines and targets for outcome 10 outputs/sub-outputs.

6. SYNOPSIS OF KEY ACTIVITIES

Appendix A provide more detail on each outcome 10 partner's contribution and commitments to outputs and sub outputs (i.e. roles and responsibilities are described).

7. RISKS, CONSTRAINTS AND MITIGATION STRATEGIES

Appendix A provides more detail on risks, constraints and mitigation strategies for each output.

8. GOVERNANCE AND REPORTING ARRANGEMENTS

Outcome 10 implementation is coordinated using the existing intergovernmental mechanism (MINTECH/MINMEC) extended to include key departments and public entities and other partners that contribute to the achievement of outputs.

MEETINGS SCHEDULE

| Output 2 | Output 3 | Output 4 |
|--|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Extended MINTECH Working Group 2, Air Quality Officer's Forum and extended Intergovernmental Committee on Climate Change (IGCCC) | Extended MINTECH Working Group 3 | Extended MINTECH Working Group 1 |
| 09 & 10 Sep 10 | 23 July 2010 16 Sep 2010 | 07 September 2010 |

Technical Implementation Forum (Headcom and Extended MINTECH):

09 July 2010

10 September 2010

05 November 2010

Executive Implementation Forum (Extended MINMEC):

08 July 2010

30 September 2010

02 December 2010



9. SIGNATORIES & IMPLEMENTING PARTNERS

| MINISTERS | MEC'S | PARTNERS |
|--|--|--|
| Minister of Cooperative Governance and Traditional Affairs | MEC for Agriculture, Rural development and Land Administration (Mpumalanga Province) | Council of Geo-Science |
| Minister of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries | MEC for Economic Development, Environment and Tourism (Limpopo Province) | South African Cities Network |
| Minister of Higher Education | MEC for Environmental Affairs and Nature Conservation (Northern Cape) | South African Local Government Association |
| Minister of Human Settlements | MEC for Agriculture, Land Reform and Rural Development (Northern Cape) | Cape Nature |
| Minister of Arts and Culture | MEC for Agriculture, Conservation, Environment and Rural Development (North West) | Agricultural Research Council |
| Minister of Trade and Industry | MEC for Agriculture and Rural Development (Gauteng Province) | Centre for Science and Industrial Research |
| Minister of Economic Development | MEC for Department of Agriculture (Limpopo) | Eastern Cape Parks Board |
| Minister of Energy | MEC for Finance, Economic Development and Environmental Affairs (Eastern Cape) | Indalo Yethu |
| Minister of Finance | MEC for Economic Development, Tourism and Environmental Affairs (Limpopo) | iSimangaliso Wetlands Park Authority |
| Minister of Mineral Resources | MEC for Department of Agriculture (Western Cape) | KwaZulu-Natal Wildlife |
| Minister of Rural Development and Land Reform | MEC for Department of Environmental Affairs & Development Planning (Western Cape) | Mpumalanga Tourism and Parks Agency |

| MINISTERS | MEC'S | PARTNERS |
|------------------------------------|--|---|
| Minister of Public Enterprises | MEC for Agriculture and Rural Development (Eastern Cape) | North West Parks and Tourism Board |
| Minister of Science and Technology | MEC for Agriculture, Environmental Affairs and Rural Development (Kwazulu Natal) | Limpopo Tourism and Parks Board |
| Minister of Transport | | South African Energy Research Institution |
| Minister of Public Works | | South African National Parks |
| | | South African Weather Service |
| | | Water Research Commission |
| | | South African Weather services |
| | | South African National Biodiversity Institute |



APPENDIX A: RESULTS CHAIN

| Results chain | Indicator | Baseline | Target | Monitoring Mechanisms (Means of verification, sources of information) | Assumptions/Risks | Responsibility | Key Activities |
|--|--|-----------------------------|--|---|--|----------------|---|
| OUTCOME 10: ENVIRONMENTAL ASSETS & NATURAL RESOURCES PROTECTED & CONTINUALLY ENHANCED | | | | | | | |
| OUTPUT 1: QUALITY AND QUANTITY OF WATER RESOURCES ENHANCED | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Rehabilitation and refurbishment of water resources infrastructure • Consultation and negotiation with key sectors • Implementation of technology transfer • Development of strategies • Provision of technical support to municipalities • Audit of state of rivers and dams • Monitoring and enforcement of standards in Waste Water Treatment Works/Water Treatment Works • Monitoring and enforcement of standards on waste discharge • Develop and implement integrated water conservation strategy that addresses wetlands, estuary and river ecosystem health • Water resources protection and conservation through inter alia clearing of alien invasive vegetation, waste discharge charges, water authorisation licenses • To improve waste water treatment capacity, it is critical to ensure significant upgrading of treatment plants • Water pricing • Water for Growth implementation to facilitate water supply |
| Sub-output 1.1 Water demand | | | | | | | |
| | 1.1.1 Curtail water losses at water distribution systems to an average % reduction (saving) and demand as determined for 7 large water supply systems. | 30% | 15% by 2014 | Assessments in accordance with water loss control policy | Assumption: Water resource level includes transfer/storage infrastructure and operating rules | DWA | Assess water losses in 14 big irrigation schemes/water user associations (WUAs) |
| | 1.1.2 Achieve water use efficiency through setting water consumption targets for all the sectors. | Nil | Agriculture, mining, industry, energy, domestic | Assessments in accordance with water conservation and demand management strategy sectors by 2014 | Assumption: Setting of these consumption targets for the different sectors will be done through average of representative samples. | DWA | Verify and validate unlawful water use and reduce the volume by 15 % |
| | 1.1.3 Diversification (a) Increase ground water use (b) Desalination and recycling strategies developed (c) # of town/cities supported on desalination projects | (a) 25% (b) Nil (c) 9 | (a) 30% by 2014 (b) Developed by 2011 (c) 12 towns by 2014 | a) Establish groundwater potential as per national groundwater strategy b) Desalination strategy developed and approved c) Assessment report on desalination requirements from different towns and cities | Groundwater potential exist, desalination as alternative source of supply viable linked to coastal cities and towns and inland to limited extend | DWA, DST | Development of a science and technology plan to support the output |



APPENDIX A: RESULTS CHAIN (continued)

| Results chain | Indicator | Baseline | Target | Monitoring Mechanisms (Means of verification, sources of information) | Assumptions/Risks | Responsibility | Key Activities |
|---|--|----------------------------|------------------------------|--|---------------------------------|----------------------|--|
| Sub-output 1.2 Water resource protection | | | | | | | |
| | 1.2.1 # number of wetlands rehabilitated per year | 75 | 100 per year | NEMBA, Working for Water and Working for Wetlands Programmes, Wetlands rehabilitated, National Water Act | Resources (Human and financial) | DWA, DEA, DAFF, MTPA | Implementation of working for wetlands and working for water programmes. |
| | 1.2.2 # wetlands under formal protection | 20 designated RAMSAR sites | 4 by 2014 | Register and declaration notices; compliance to water and environmental authorisations | Resources (Human and financial) | DWA, DEA, MTPA | Identification and categorisation of priority wetlands of national importance; Declaration process for formal protection. |
| | 1.2.3 # of major rivers with healthy eco-system meeting resource quality objectives | 8 | 16 by 2014 | Resource directed compliance and auditing | Resources (Human and financial) | DWA, MTPA | Eco-classification of water resource systems |
| Sub-output 1.3 Regulation of water quality | | | | | | | |
| | 1.3.1 # of water treatment works assessed. | 787 | 810 by 2014 | | | DWA | |
| | 1.3.2 % of water treatment works complying with enforcement measures. To meet drinking water quality standards | 97% | 99% by 2014 | On line Blue Drop System (BDS) allows water service institutions to access their regulatory performance reviews and provides credible drinking water quality information to the public | Resources (Human and financial) | DWA | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Subject all water service authorities (WSAs) to Blue & Green Drop Assessments and consultative audits Facilitate the implementation of World Health Organisation (WHO) best practices such as Water Safety plan at municipal level Implement the electronic Green Drop System (GDS) Implement Waste Risk Abatement programme Raise the profile and awareness of drinking water quality and waste water services through the Blue and Green Drop programmes |
| | 1.3.3 # of waste water treatment works (WWTW) assessed | 449 systems assessed | 700 systems assessed by 2014 | | | DWA | |
| | 1.3.4 % of waste water treatment works (WWTW) complying with enforcement measures to meet effluent standards | 40% | 80% by 2014 | Assessments in accordance with green drop program | Resources (Human and financial) | DWA | Subject Water Services Authorities to consultative Green Drop audits. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Advice on required improvements Raise the profile of Wastewater services through Green Drop Awareness. Implement the electronic Green Drop System (GDS). Implement Wastewater Risk Abatement programme |
| | 1.3.5 Estuarine water quality assessment | 30 | 60 by 2014 | Estuary monitoring program | Resources (Human and financial) | DWA | Estuarine management plans, design of monitoring programs |
| | 1.3.6 # of mines monitored for non-compliance in accordance with water license conditions. | 52 | 125 by 2014 | Set resource quality objectives as part of water use authorisation | Resources (Human and financial) | DWA, DMR | Compliance monitoring as per water use license conditions |
| | 1.3.7 % of mines complying with enforcement measures. | 14% | 80% by 2014 | Compulsory compliance audits | Resources (Human and financial) | DWA, DMR | Compliance auditing conducted for all mines in accordance with water license conditions |



APPENDIX A: RESULTS CHAIN (continued)

| Results chain | Indicator | Baseline | Target | Monitoring Mechanisms (Means of verification, sources of information) | Assumptions/Risks | Responsibility | Key Activities |
|--|--|--|--|--|---|---|--|
| OUTPUT 2: GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS REDUCED, CLIMATE CHANGE IMPACTS MITIGATED & AIR/ATMOSPHERIC QUALITY IMPROVED | | | | | | | |
| Sub-output 2.1 Reduced CO₂ emission | | | | | | | |
| | 2.1.1 Reduced Total emission of CO ₂ | (a) 435.240 m tons 3 (Latest Greenhouse Gas Inventory reflects annual emissions in 2000, Initial 2010 estimates are -540Mt) (b) SAAQIS operational | (a) 34% reduction of "Business as Usual" by 2020 and 42% by 2025 (b) 80% of government owned monitoring stations reporting to SAAQIS | Detailed GHG emission monitoring, reporting and verification information will be available by mid-2012 when the national greenhouse gas inventory component of the National Atmospheric Emissions Inventory project becomes fully operational (i.e. once the South African Air Quality Information System (SAAQIS) Phase II project is completed) | Although the target is based on the President's "undertaking" during the Copenhagen Climate Change COP in December 2009, and this, in turn, is informed by the research undertaken. as part of the Long-term Mitigation Scenarios (LTMS) project, as an energy-intensive, energy-inefficient and fossil-fuel-reliant country these targets are regarded as being very ambitious. As such, it is assumed that the country will be able to radically transform itself in a relatively short period of time and this is, of course, a very high risk assumption. | DoE-energy industry emissions (e.g. coal-fired electricity) (48% of total); The dti – industrial processes & product use emissions (-15%); DMR - fugitive emissions from fossil fuels (e.g. CH ₄ released during coal mining (-9%); DoT - emissions from transport (e.g. the use of petrol or diesel in cars and trucks) (-9%); DoE – energy production in manufacturing and construction industries (-9%) and other energy-related emissions (-3%) DAFF – agriculture, forestry and land use emissions (-6%); and DEA emissions from waste (-2%). | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Agreement on GHG mitigation targets for key sectors in line with Climate Change Policy; Implementation of Industrial Policy Action Plan (IPAP) and green economy plan and strategy development; Development of a science, technology and innovation plan to support the transition; Finalise White paper on Climate Change by the end of 2010, with related fiscal, legislative and regulatory package to be implemented by 2012, including GHG deviation from baseline numbers The South African Air Quality Information System (SAAQIS) phase II completed by mid-2012; GHGs identified as "Priority Pollutants" in terms of the Air Quality Act and mitigation plans submitted by end 2013; Integrated Resource Plan (IRP) for electricity production that supports a peak, plateau and decline emission trajectory by end 2010. |
| Sub-output 2.2 Atmospheric pollutants reduced | | | | | | | |
| | 2.2.1 Ambient SO ₂ and particulate (PM ₁₀) concentrations | Non-compliance with national ambient SO ₂ and PM ₁₀ standards in various air pollution hotspots (refer to list of specific municipalities) | 100% country-wide compliance with national Ambient Air Quality Standards by 2020 | Country-wide compliance with National Ambient Air Quality Standards is monitored by over 90 air quality monitoring stations and data is reported to-, and accessible through-, the South African Air Quality Information System (SAAQIS – saaqis.org.za). The "National Air Quality Indicator" is also under development for rollout in 2011. This indicator will provide an annual measure of overall air quality management progress towards the stated target | The most significant assumption is that air pollution caused by poverty, namely the use of dirty fuels by poor people who have no fuel choices, can be resolved through, for example, electrification programmes, free basic electricity supply and other poverty alleviation interventions. As residential dirty fuel burning is one of the most significant contributors to air quality non-compliance, but its resolution is not through air quality interventions per se, this is a relatively high risk assumption | DEA; Provinces and, most importantly, municipalities ("Air Pollution" is a municipal function in terms of the Constitution) SAWS | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The efficient and effective identification, development and implementation of Air Quality Management Plans for National Priority Areas (Vaal Triangle Airshed, Highveld and Waterberg); The development and rollout of a strategy to address air pollution in dense, low-income communities, especially air pollution from the burning of solid fuels (e.g. coal and wood); The review, revision and implementation of the National Vehicle Emission Control Strategy; The efficient and effective implementation of the new Atmospheric Emission Licensing system by the new Licensing Authorities (Provinces; Metros and District Municipalities); Growing and developing the National Ambient Air Quality Monitoring Network and the South African Air Quality Information System (SAAQIS); The development and rollout of strategies and action plans to address air pollution from non-industrial and/or non-point sources (e.g. veld fires, construction activities, un-surfaced haul roads, etc.) Global atmospheric watch-monitoring of trace gases |



APPENDIX A: RESULTS CHAIN (continued)

| Results chain | Indicator | Baseline | Target | Monitoring Mechanisms (Means of verification, sources of information) | Assumptions/Risks | Responsibility | Key Activities |
|---|---|--|--|---|---|---|--|
| Sub-output 2.2 Atmospheric pollutants reduced | | | | | | | |
| | 2.2.2 Mine Dust | Not determined | Zero exposure to silica occupational level at all mines by 2014 | | | DMR | Implement the minimum frequency of monitoring; Health impacts of mining tailing dumps on communities proximal to mine operations are being quantified through a research project approved; Finalising the strategy for rehabilitation of derelict and ownerless mines, continuing research to accurately delineate the quantum of the environmental challenge and its inherent liability |
| Sub-output 2.3 Renewable energy deployed | | | | | | | |
| | 2.3.1 % of power generation that is renewable | 2 000 GW/hour (1% of current consumption rate) | 10 000 Gw/hours by 2014 | | | DoE, DPE, DEA, DTI, DST | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Alignment and Implementation of Integrated Resource Plan Implementation of Industrial Policy Action Plan and green economy plan and strategy development Implementation of Renewable Energy Feed-In Tariff (REFIT) Finalisation of Renewable Energy White Paper Review |
| Sub-output 2.4 Identified climate impacts and adaptation framework | | | | | | | |
| | 2.4.1 Climate change impacts identified and adaptation frameworks integrated into national sectoral plans | 1 Sector | 12 Sectors by 2012 (Biodiversity, Forestry, Water, Coastal Management, Agriculture, Health, Tourism, Land & Rural development, Local government, Fisheries, Human settlements, Business/Insurance) | | | DEA, DWA, DAFF, Human Settlements, Tourism, DST, RDLR | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Climate adaptation sectors plans in place by 2012; Climate change adaptation plans rolled out to provincial and municipal sphere of government; |
| Sub-output 2.5 Efficient energy use | | | | | | | |
| | 2.5.1 % Energy Efficiency improvement | Current Baseline | 12% by 2015 | | | DoEnergy, DTI, | |
| OUTPUT 3: SUSTAINABLE ENVIRONMENT MANAGEMENT | | | | | | | |
| Sub-output 3.1 Degraded ecosystems rehabilitated & restored | | | | | | | |
| | 3.1.1 Rehabilitation of land affected by degradation | (a) 800 000 ha per year (b) Rehabilitation at all Comprehensive Rural Development Sites | (a) 3.2 mha by 2014 (b) 160 rural development sites by 2014 | NEMBA, UNCCD | Climate Change, Over utilisation, Farming Practices, Pollution, Resources | DAFF; Provinces; DWA; DEA | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Implement land care and habitat rehabilitation programmes Woodlands conservation programme Reforestation Rehabilitation of ecosystems to address increasing rates of deforestation, land degradation and dry land expansion and soil erosion |



APPENDIX A: RESULTS CHAIN (continued)

| Results chain | Indicator | Baseline | Target | Monitoring Mechanisms (Means of verification, sources of information) | Assumptions/Risks | Responsibility | Key Activities |
|--|---|---|--|---|-------------------|-----------------------------------|--|
| Sub-output 3.2 Deforestation & improved forest management | | | | | | | |
| | 3.2.1 Net deforestation | 1.2m ha | Not more than 5% woodlands by 2020 | | | DAFF, SANBI, Provinces | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Alien plants elimination and use as energy source (working for energy) and water preservation Deforestation – Enhance energy services in rural areas and thereby reduce rate of deforestation Reforestation (trees for carbon storage) – connect with climate change area Community based natural resource management |
| | 3.2.2 Indigenous forest assets transferred from DAFF to appropriate government conservation agencies | Nil | 50% | | | DAFF, Provinces | Indigenous forest as nature reserves |
| Sub-output 3.3 Less waste that is better managed | | | | | | | |
| | 3.3.1 % of households with basic waste collection | 64% of households | 75% hh by 2014 | | | DEA, COGTA, SALGA, Municipalities | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Full cost accounting by municipalities; Development of waste services standards Financing mechanism: Review of fiscal mechanism for the the funding of waste services Analysis of institutional within local governments |
| | 3.3.2 % of permitted landfill sites | 20% | 80% by 2015 | | | DEA, COGTA, SALGA, Municipalities | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Financing mechanism: Review of fiscal mechanism for the the funding of waste services. Capacity building for municipalities. |
| | 3.3.3 % of municipal waste diverted from landfills for recycling | Not monitored | 25% by 2012 | | | DEA, COGTA, SALGA, Municipalities | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Targets for waste minimisation and standards set by end 2010 Enhance energy potential of waste by harnessing gasses from landfill sites for energy generation |
| Sub-output 3.4 Management of environmental impacts from mining and related activities | | | | | | | |
| | 3.4.1 # of derelict and ownerless mines rehabilitated and closed in line with environmental best practice | 9 derelict and ownerless mine sites rehabilitated during the 6 year period of the implementation of the MPRDA | 1 per financial year pending availability of resources | | | DMR, DEA, Provinces, | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Rehabilitation and remediation of land Management of health impacts of radio active waste material Vegetation restoration |
| | 3.4.2 Areas identified for restricted mineral development | Proclaimed protected areas in terms of NEMPAA | National areas negotiated and published by 2015 | | | DMR, DWA, DEA, Provinces | |
| | 3.4.3 Integrated and coordinated regulatory system for environmental management of mining | Not in place | System in place by 2012 | | | DMR, DEA, Provinces, | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Monitoring and enforcement of mining activities |



APPENDIX A: RESULTS CHAIN (continued)

| Results chain | Indicator | Baseline | Target | Monitoring Mechanisms (Means of verification, sources of information) | Assumptions/Risks | Responsibility | Key Activities |
|---|---|--|---|--|---|--|---|
| Sub-output 3.5 Sustainable land use management | | | | | | | |
| | 3.5.1 # of Rural Municipalities with credible SDFs; # of District Municipalities/Metro's where Spatial Development Frameworks (SDF) are informed by approved strategic environmental assessments (SEA) or similar instruments | Not measured. Environmental Management Frameworks for 2 District Municipalities approved by Minister | 4 Municipalities per annum; 25 Rural Municipalities per annum | Approval/Concurrence granted for EMFs/SEAs by Minister of Environmental Affairs for which MOUs also been signed by the relevant Mayor; Land use plans, IDPs, Bioregional Plans | Assumptions: Data on all relevant environmental issues integrated in EMF. Outcome can be agreed to (negotiated). Mechanisms for conflict management succeed. Risks: (a) Inability to obtain access to geological and mineral deposit data (legally protected). (b) EMFs not integrated into SDFs/ Integrated into SDFs but not enforced through Land Use regulatory processes; Local government buy-in, Resources | DEA, provincial environmental authorities, municipalities, DRDLR, Human Settlements, COGTA | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Strengthen sustainability principles in land-use planning and growth as well as development plans at all levels Develop, integrate and enforce spatial plans Develop 3 Integrated open space management plans per year New Land Use Management Act finalised and aligned with environmental planning |
| | 3.5.2 % of greenfield land in above municipalities transformed through land uses supported by an approved SEA/EMF | Not measured. | 90% of land transformed/ developed in 5 years following approval of EMF | GIS (EMF) and satellite imagery (EMF monitoring system under development) | Assumptions: Monitoring system developed in time to measure 1st five year cycle. Risk: Sector regulators not adhering to EMF/SEA/ SDF (mineral development, infrastructure development, energy industries, agriculture). (b) Inability to control illegal land uses | DEA, provincial environmental authorities, municipalities | |
| OUTPUT 4: BIODIVERSITY PROTECTED | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Skills (capacity): people with right skills (specialists), outsourced other duties (consider more salaries and attract specialists and include forest in the OSD list, Train more people Capacity constraints (threat of running out of specialist) Funding aspects Effective management aspects Fence and protect land without fencing Accountability responsibility for Implementation – Synergy Establishment of coordinated Governance and reporting arrangements | | |



APPENDIX A: RESULTS CHAIN (continued)

| Results chain | Indicator | Baseline | Target | Monitoring Mechanisms (Means of verification, sources of information) | Assumptions/Risks | Responsibility | Key Activities |
|--|---|--|--|--|---|---|--|
| Sub-output 4.1 Expansion of the conservation estate | | | | | | | |
| | 4.1.1 % Land mass under conservation Formal: - Number of WHS nominated/proclaimed - Number national botanical gardens declared - Conservation estate (incl. indigenous forests) Informal: - Number of interventions on the Biodiversity Stewardship programme mainstreamed and implemented - Number of biosphere reserves nominated/proclaimed | 6.1% 7 WHS 9 Botanical gardens 18 stewardship sites 6 Biosphere reserves | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 9% • 3 additional WHS sites plus 5 WHS proclamations • 2 additional national botanical gardens • 7 additional stewardship sites including 10 land reform sites • 4 Biosphere reserves nominated/proclaimed | NEMPAA, Register, Gazette Notice, Stewardship Contracts | Receive funding from National Treasury, funds for land acquisition maintained annually, corporation from landowners, competing land uses e.g mining | DEA, MTPA, DAC | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Proceed with the declaration of priority areas for expansion of protected areas – already identified total area of 122 782 km² (12 278 200 ha – 20 years target) and 42 priority areas • Establish and strengthen provincial stewardship programmes • Strengthen programmes that support the informal conservation are system • Develop and implement a national botanical gardens expansion strategy |
| | 4.1.2 % of coastline with partial protection | 12% | 14% | Marine Living Resources Act (MLRA), NEMPAA and NEMBA | Climate Change, Over utilisation of marine resources, Pollution, Poaching | DEA | Proceed with the declaration of priority areas for expansion of protected areas – already identified total area of 122 782 km ² (12 278 200 ha- 20 years target) and 42 priority areas; *Marine Living Resources (MLR) Act compliance and enforcement |
| Sub-output 4.2 Reduced climate change impacts on biodiversity | | | | | | | |
| | # of climate change adaptation frameworks for major biomes & aquatic ecosystems (desert, succulent karoo, fynbos, nama karoo, grassland, savanna, forest and Albany thicket) & aquatic (freshwater, estuaries, marine and coastal ecosystems) | 0 | 9 biomes | Adaptation frameworks, Long Term Mitigation Strategy(LTMS) ,Climate Change Response Strategy | Cooperation from major stakeholders, | DEA, MTPA, DAFF, DWA, Provincial conservation authorities, Local government DST; WRC, SANBI, SANPARKS, SAAIB, IUCN, EWT, Natural history museums, WWF, Universities, SATS-SA, SAEON | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Identification of climate change impacts on marine and terrestrial biodiversity and development of adaptation plans • Development of a science, technology and innovation plan to support the output |
| Sub-output 4.3 Protected ecosystem& species | | | | | | | |
| | 4.3.1 % of coastline prohibiting fishing or any form of harvesting or extraction | 9% | Maintained | Inspection Registers; Vessel Monitoring System (VMS) | Cooperation from major stakeholders; Adequate compliance & enforcement | DAFF and DEA | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Marine Living Resources (MLR) Act compliance and enforcement; • Provision of scientific information and data in the identification of protection areas; Fisheries Patrol Vehicles (FPV) patrols in protected areas; Oil spill preparedness & mobilisation; Fisheries catch and effort data provided to spatial conservation planning processes; • Research survey data provided to spatial conservation planning processes |



APPENDIX A: RESULTS CHAIN (continued)

| Results chain | Indicator | Baseline | Target | Monitoring Mechanisms (Means of verification, sources of information) | Assumptions/Risks | Responsibility | Key Activities |
|---|---|--------------------------------------|---|---|--|---|---|
| Sub-output 4.3 Protected ecosystem & species | | | | | | | |
| | 4.3.2 % increase of total area (about 1 million km ²) of the Exclusive Economic Zone (EEZ) in which fishing and any form of harvesting that are detrimental to the benthic environment are prohibited | Less than 1% offshore | Less than 3% offshore | Inspection Registers; Vessel Monitoring System (VMS) | Cooperation from major stakeholders | DAFF and DEA | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Marine Living Resources (MLR) Act compliance and enforcement; Provision of scientific information and data in the identification of protection areas |
| | 4.3.3 # of species under formal protection - IUCN Red Data Lists - TOPS lists | Not determined | Increasing | NEMBA, Norms & Standards for management of species, management plans, Regulations | Cooperation from major stakeholders, Resources | DEA, Provinces | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Restore, maintain, or reduce the decline of populations of species of selected taxonomic groups. Development and implementation of Biodiversity Management plans. Areas of particular importance to biodiversity protected. |
| | 4.3.4 Proportion of species threatened with extinction | 6.5% | Reduced number of species included in the red data list | NEMBA, IUCN Red List and Regulations | Climate Change, Land use change, AIS, Pollution, | DEA, DAC, DAFF, DWA, SANBI and MTPA | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Consideration of protection of species through Land use planning, Development and implementation of interventions to manage overexploitation of species. Targeted protection of priority habitats Development of research base on species and biological control agents. Pathways for major potential alien invasive species controlled. Management plans in place for major alien species that threaten ecosystems habitats or species. |
| | 4.3.5 Recovery of key fisheries (hake, abalone & rock lobster stocks) | Current stock estimates per resource | 10% increase on 2010 levels by 2014 | Stock assessment surveys; State of the Resources Reports; Annual TAC/TAE recommendations. | Reduction in poaching levels; Inherent ability of the resources to recover; High levels of resource variability; current levels of abalone poaching can be reduced by 15% and 15% reduction levels maintained. | DAFF | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Expansion of fresh water and marine aquaculture (link to outcome 4) Implementation of resource specific recovery plans (link to outcome 4) Implementation of the Abalone Recovery Strategy Implementation of the Integrated National Fisheries Development Plans; Finalisation and implementation of Integrated Fisheries Security Strategy; • Conduct surveys and stock assessment; Marine Living Resources (MLR) Act compliance and enforcement; Development of a science and technology plan to support the output |
| | 4.3.6 # number of wetlands of national and international importance with management plans in place | 20 designated Ramsar sites | 5 per year | NEMBA, RAMSAR Convention | Cooperation from stakeholders, Management plans not implemented, Resources | DEA, Provinces | |
| | 4.3.7 No of estuaries with full protection/partial protection | 0 | Minimum 20% by 2015 | Marine Living Resources Act (MLRA), NEMPAA and NEMBA | Cooperation from stakeholders, Management plans not implemented, Resources | SANBI, DEA, DAFF, DWA, Provincial conservation authorities and Local Government | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> CapeNature WC plans to develop 5 estuary management plans per year subject to funding from Oceans and Coast. These will identify areas of conservation potential and management interventions will be developed accordingly in partnership with all government role-players. Structures will be set up to formally protect these areas where appropriate. |



APPENDIX A: RESULTS CHAIN (continued)

| Results chain | Indicator | Baseline | Target | Monitoring Mechanisms (Means of verification, sources of information) | Assumptions/Risks | Responsibility | Key Activities |
|---|--|--|---|---|--------------------------------|--|--|
| Sub-output 4.4 Valuing the ecosystem services | | | | | | | |
| | 4.4.1 Environmental costs related to the provision of resource-based services (a) Number of tools developed for the economic valuing of biodiversity and ecosystem services | Environmental cost not determined (a) 2 | Determine Environmental cost by 2014 (a) | NBF, TEEB Report | Resources, Technical Expertise | Treasury, DEA, SANBI, SANParks, Provincial conservation authorities, Local government, Indalo Yethu & Stats SA | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Encourage investment on ecological infrastructure. Improve investment in Protected Areas. Implement programmes for rehabilitation and restoration of degraded ecosystems. Improve investment in ecological infrastructure support jobs. Quantify the economic value of biodiversity and ecosystem services. Promote incentives for conservation and improved ecosystem protection. |
| Sub-output 4.5 Protection of agricultural land | | | | | | | |
| | 4.5.1 Protection of high potential agricultural land | 3% of our land considered high potential | Start measuring and ensure protection of 81% by 2014 | | | DAFF | |
| OUTCOME 10 CROSS-CUTTING SUB-OUTPUTS | | | | | | | |
| Sub-output 1: Environmental legislation compliance and enforcement | | | | | | | |
| | # of dedicated Environmental Courts | No dedicated time for environmental crimes in mainstream courts | Environmental crimes allocated dedicated time in 4 mainstream courts by May 2011 | | | DEA, DWA, DOJ&CD, DAFF, NDPP | Launch of the dedicated time for environmental crimes |
| | Roll out of the Environmental Management Inspectorate to Local Authority | No designated Environmental Management Inspectors | 150 Environmental Management Inspectorate designated by 2011 | | | DEA, 9 Provincial Environmental Departments, COGTA, SALGA | Conduct training of Environmental Management Inspectors |
| Sub-output 2: Environmental Sustainability | | | | | | | |
| | Implementation of National Strategy for Sustainable Development and Action plan | NFSD Approved by Cabinet, NSSD gazetted for comment | NSSD strategy approved by 2011 | | | DEA | Finalisation and implementation of NSSD and Action Plan |
| | Scaling up environmental education, awareness and voluntary activism | Environment Sector Skills Plan (ESSP) in place | ESSP fully implemented by 2014 | | | DEA, DHD, DBE, DST | Implement Human Capital Development Strategy; Environmental Sector Skills Plan (ESSP) finalised and implemented – Engage with NSDS III and SETA systems change, Address scarce and critical skills gap; |
| | Scaling up expansion and implementation of environmental sector EPWP (Land Care; Working for Water; Working for Wetlands; Working on Fire; Working on Waste; Working on Energy; Working for Fisheries; Working for Woodlands); | 156 000 EPWP work opportunities and 41 739 FTEs (Full Time Equivalents) in 2010/11 | 1 156 00 EPWP work opportunities and 325 652 FTEs (Full Time Equivalents) by 2014 | | | DEA, DPW, DRDLR, DWA, DAFF, DAC, Tourism, provincial and local government | |
| | Greening of municipalities or Ecotowns programme in ten municipalities | | 2830 decent jobs by June 2012 | | | Indalo Yethu | Ensure greening of municipalities or Ecotowns |



APPENDIX A: RESULTS CHAIN (continued)

| Results chain | Indicator | Baseline | Target | Monitoring Mechanisms (Means of verification, sources of information) | Assumptions/Risks | Responsibility | Key Activities |
|--|---|---|---|---|---------------------|---|--|
| Contribution to Outcome 4 (Decent Employment through Inclusive Economic Growth)-Output 2, Suboutput 5 : Green Economy | | | | | | | |
| | Green growth contribution to economic growth and employment, while preventing environmental degradation & pollution, biodiversity loss and unsustainable natural resource use (A just transition towards a resource efficient, low carbon and pro-employment growth path) | Draft Green Economy plan; May 2010 Green Economy Summit Statement of Conclusion | Green economy plan approved by 2011 | National Green Economy implementation report | Financial Resources | DEA,DTI,DST,EDD, DPW, Treasury, DRDLR, COGTA, SALGA, Cities Network | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Market based instruments (MBIs) to complement regulatory measures and incentivise the use and production of cost effective cleaner and low carbon products – Mobilise financial resources, domestic and international, from both private and development finance institutions – Information and awareness raising initiatives including recognition of the national eco-labelling system which could play a supplementary role to MBIs and regulatory policy measures – Greater localisation of job-intensive green industries and those in which South Africa has a comparative advantage – Increase new knowledge and skills towards development, deployment and commercialisation of innovative science and technology solutions aimed at advancing a green economy – Integration of the different work streams and programmes to maximise benefits from investment and finance opportunities arising from the shared efforts between the public and private sectors – Define the job creation and job protection potential of a green economy growth path per sector and small business development and participation – Direct the implementation of the Industrial Policy Action Plan towards supporting and growing green industries – Scale up and expand the implementation of environmental sector of the Expanded Public Works Programme |
| Sector-specific Elements | | | | | | | |
| | Sustainable consumption and production | | | | | DTI, DEA, DST,EDD, DRDLR, INDALO YETHUI | Formulate and adopt sustainable development performance monitoring to guide the integration of economic growth, social equity, and environmental protection including measures for institutional triple bottom line accounting, Finalisation of the National Eco-label. |
| | Green Buildings and the Built Environment | | % reduction in water use in commercial and public building sector | | | DEA,DTI,DST,EDD, DRDLR, COGTA | Develop and implement Green building regulatory, enforcement program, awareness and capacity building programmes at local level of governance |
| | Sustainable Transport | | 9% reduction by 2015 of energy used for transport | | | DOT,DEA,DTI,DST, EDD, COGTA, | Reduce transport sector carbon footprint through cost effective interventions, including shifting freight from road to rail as well as passengers towards public and non-motorised transport, shifting from inefficient and internal combustion engine vehicles to efficient, hybrid and electric vehicles. |



APPENDIX A: RESULTS CHAIN (continued)

| Results chain | Indicator | Baseline | Target | Monitoring Mechanisms (Means of verification, sources of information) | Assumptions/Risks | Responsibility | Key Activities |
|---|--|----------|--|---|-------------------|--|--|
| Sector-specific Elements (continued) | | | | | | | |
| | Clean energy and energy efficiency | | Reduction of energy demand by 15% in 2015 Carbon Tax by 2011 | | | DOE, DPE, DEA, DTI, DST,EDD | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Diversify energy sources and implement energy efficiency programmes that are crucial for ensuring green growth, as contained in the Integrated Resource Plan (IRP). Encourage investment in renewable energy on a scale sufficiently large to justify localisation of competitive technologies, along with active support for local renewable technology manufacturing presents an opportunity for sustainable economic development and has significant job creation potential. |
| | Green Cities and Towns | | | | | DEA, DHS, COGTA,EDD | Implementation of the green economy interventions by the local government and these include communication, education and regulation that will be necessary to ensure local green growth and job creation. Intervention to further include urban infrastructure, sustainable land use management, spatial planning and the efficient use of natural resources. |
| | Resource Conservation and management | | | | | DEA, DOE, DAFF, Provinces, Conservation Agencies (national & provincial) | Implement programs to ensure the conservation, sustainable management and rehabilitation of natural and ecosystem services and assets (such as fresh water, marine environments, grasslands, landscapes) as well as work to improve reductions in energy and water use |
| | Sustainable Waste Management Practices | | | | | DEA,DST, DOE, COGTA | Implement the waste hierarchy and the ambition to minimise waste and where unavoidable, recycle and reuse waste or turn it into energy. |
| | Agriculture, Food Production | | | | | DAFF,DWA,DEA, DRDLR, ARC, WRC | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Integrated Water and Land Resources Management should be adopted as a model framework for the sound and equitable allocation of water as a public good among all users to be implemented in a sustainable way at all levels, including catchments. Support programmes to ensure protection of agricultural land, sustained food security and local economic development. |
| | Water Management | | | | | DWA | Implement activities defined in output 1 |



APPENDIX B: HIGH LEVEL IMPLEMENTATION PLAN BY SUB-OUTPUT

OUTCOME 10: PROTECTED AND ENHANCED ENVIRONMENTAL ASSETS AND NATURAL RESOURCES OUTPUT 1: QUALITY AND QUANTITY OF WATER RESOURCES ENHANCED

| TARGET/INDICATOR | ACTIVITIES | MILESTONES/DELIVERABLES | | | | TIME (COMPLETED BY) | RESOURCE REQUIREMENTS | | ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES |
|--|---|--|---|--|---|---------------------|--|---|----------------------------------|
| | | YEAR 1 | YEAR 2 | YEAR 3 | YEAR 4 | | HUMAN RESOURCES | FINANCIAL RESOURCES | |
| Sub-output 1.1: Water demand | | | | | | | | | |
| Curtail water losses at water resource level to an average % reduction (saving) and demands determined for 7 large water supply systems (water services) (15% in 2014) | Assess water losses in 14 big irrigation schemes/water user associations (WUAs) | 1 irrigation scheme | 3 irrigation schemes | 5 irrigation schemes | 5 irrigation schemes | Mar-14 | | Funded | DWA |
| Set selected water efficiency targets for different sectors | Verify and validate unlawful water use and reduce the volume by 15 % | Initiate verification and validation process | Water use reduced by 5% | Water use reduced by 5% | Water use reduced by 5% | Mar-14 | | Funded | DWA |
| Reduction (saving) of demand as determined in the Reconciliation Strategies for 7 large water supply systems by 15% in 2014 | Assessment of water requirements and water monitoring systems implemented | 2 water resource management systems assessed and monitored | 3 water resource management system assessed and monitored | 1 resource management and systems assessed and monitored | 1 resource management system assessed and monitored | Mar-14 | | Funded | DWA |
| Diversification (a) Increase ground water use (from 25% to 30% by 2014) | Re-use strategy developed | Commence development of re-use strategy | Re-use strategy completed | Potential increase of ground water use by 2% | Potential increase of ground water use by 3% | 3/31/14 | TBD | Funded | DWA |
| (b) Desalination and recycling strategies developed (Strategies developed in 2011) | Desalination strategy developed | Desalination strategy complete | feasibility studies completed in support of 5 desalination projects | TBD | TBD | | | | DWA, DST (Technology/Innovation) |
| (c) # of town/cities supported on desalination projects (from 9 to 12 towns by 2014) | 12 towns supported on desalination projects by 2014 | support 9 towns on desalination projects | support 10 towns on desalination projects | support 11 towns on desalination projects | support 12 towns on desalination projects | Mar-14 | | | DWA, Local government |
| Sub-output 1.2 Water resource protection | | | | | | | | | |
| # number of wetlands rehabilitated per year (100 per year) | Implementation of working for wetlands programme through the rehabilitation of priority wetlands, development of rehabilitation plans | 95 wetlands rehabilitated | 100 wetlands rehabilitated | 110 wetlands rehabilitated | 120 wetlands rehabilitated | Mar-14 | Rehabilitation teams in provinces, scientists and Project Managers | SANBI additional operating R15 million pa | SANBI – implementation |



APPENDIX B: HIGH LEVEL IMPLEMENTATION PLAN BY SUB-OUTPUT (continued)

| TARGET/INDICATOR | ACTIVITIES | MILESTONES/DELIVERABLES | | | | TIME (COMPLETED BY) | RESOURCE REQUIREMENTS | | ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES |
|---|---|--|--|---|--|---------------------|--|---|---|
| | | YEAR 1 | YEAR 2 | YEAR 3 | YEAR 4 | | HUMAN RESOURCES | FINANCIAL RESOURCES | |
| # wetlands under formal protection (4 by 2014) | Identify wetlands of national importance and develop management plan | Criteria for listing of wetlands developed | Assessment of priority wetlands against criteria developed | Assessment of priority wetlands against criteria developed | Develop and implement management plans | 3/1/14 | Scientists, planners, conservation manager | Funded | Conservation Authorities – implement plans, SANBI -scientific criteria |
| | Management plans for wetlands of international importance developed | Management plans for five RAMSAR sites developed | Management plans for five RAMSAR sites develop | Assessment of priority wetlands against criteria developed | Develop and implement management plans | 3/1/14 | | Funded | Management authorities – develop & implement plans, DEA – oversight |
| | Construction of gabions to control water flow flow and soil erosion and collection and delivery of waste to the buyback centres | 2 Wetlands rehabilitated, 2 dongas prevented from expanding, domestic waste collected at two, local municipalities, 5 835 Work Opportunities and 2 918 Full Time Equivalents created | 2 Wetlands rehabilitated. 2 dongas prevented from expanding, domestic waste collected at two local municipalities, 6 279 Work Opportunities and 3 139 FTEs created | 1 wetland rehabilitated, domestic waste collected at two local municipalities, 6 181 work Opportunities and 3 090 Full Time Equivalents created | 2 wetlands rehabilitated, domestic waste collected at three local municipalities, 4 888 work opportunities and 2 444 Full time Equivalents created | 9/1/13 | No additional human resources required | Additional financial resources required | DEA to appoint project implementers and monitor their performance, SANBI to implement |
| 16 major rivers with healthy ecosystem by 2014 | Eco-classification of water resource systems | 1 major river system meeting resource quality objectives | 1 major river system meeting resource quality objectives | 1 major river system meeting resource quality objectives | 1 major river system meeting resource quality objectives | 3/1/14 | | | DWA, DEA |
| | | Establish assessment plan for riverhealth ecosystem monitoring | Assessment of priority river ecosystems against riverhealth criteria | Assessment of priority river ecosystems against riverhealth criteria | Develop and implement management plans | 3/1/14 | Scientists, planners, land users/ owners | R500 000/a | Conservation Authorities – implement plans |
| Estuarine water quality assessment (30 by 2014) | Development and implementation of estuarine monitoring programme | Inception report and implementation plan completed | Implementation in 10 selected estuaries | Implementation in 10 selected estuaries | Implementation in 10 selected estuaries | 3/1/14 | | | DEA, DWA, SANBI to implement wetland rehabilitation projects |
| Sub-output 1.3 Regulation of water quality | | | | | | | | | |
| # of water treatment works complying with enforcement measures to meet drinking water quality standards | 99% of water treatment works complying with enforcement measures to meet drinking water quality standards by 2014 | 97% | 98% | 99% | 99% | Mar-14 | | Funded | |
| # of water treatment works assessed | 810 water water treatment works to be assessed by 2014 in order to meet effluent standards | 792 plants assessed | 797 plants assessed | 803 plants assessed | 810 plants assessed | 3/1/14 | | Funded | DWA and Local government |
| % of waste water treatment works complying with enforcement measures to meet effluent standards | 80% of waste water treatment works to comply with enforcement measures to meet effluent standards | 40% | 55% | 75% | 80% | 3/1/14 | | | |



APPENDIX B: HIGH LEVEL IMPLEMENTATION PLAN BY SUB-OUTPUT (continued)

| TARGET/INDICATOR | ACTIVITIES | MILESTONES/DELIVERABLES | | | | TIME (COMPLETED BY) | RESOURCE REQUIREMENTS | | ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES |
|---|---|---|---|--|--|---------------------|-----------------------|---|---|
| | | YEAR 1 | YEAR 2 | YEAR 3 | YEAR 4 | | HUMAN RESOURCES | FINANCIAL RESOURCES | |
| # of mines monitored for non-compliance in accordance with water license conditions | 125 mines monitored for non-compliance by 2014. | 62 | 90 | 110 | 125 | | | Additional financial resources required | |
| % of mines complying with enforcement measures | 80% of mines to comply with enforcement measures by 2014 | 14% | 39% | 64% | 80% | 3/1/14 | | Funded | DWA, DEA, DMR |
| OUTPUT 2: REDUCED GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS, CLIMATE CHANGE & IMPROVED AIR/ATMOSPHERIC QUALITY | | | | | | | | | |
| Sub-output 2.1: Reduced CO2 emission | | | | | | | | | |
| Reduced total emissions of CO ₂ by 34% reduction; of "Business As Usual" by 2020 and 42% by 2025 80% of government owned monitoring stations reporting to SAAQIS | Agreement on GHG mitigation targets for key sectors in line with Climate Change Policy | Finalise White paper on Climate Change | Integrated Energy Plan and Integrated Resource Plan (IRP) for electricity production that supports a peak, plateau and decline emission trajectory by end 2010 | Related fiscal, legislative and regulatory package to be implemented by 2012, including GHG deviation from baseline numbers; The South African Air Quality Information System (SAAQIS) phase II completed by mid-2012 | GHGs identified as "Priority Pollutants" in terms of the Air Quality Act and mitigation plans; submitted by end 2013 | Mar-14 | | | DEA, DTI, DST (science, technology & innovation), Provinces, local government, Energy |
| Sub-output 2.2: Atmospheric pollutants | | | | | | | | | |
| 100% country-wide compliance with national Ambient Air Quality Standards by 2020 | The efficient and effective identification, development and implementation of Air Quality Management Plans for National Priority Areas (Vaal Triangle Air-shed, Highveld and Waterberg) | (i) Vaal Triangle Air-shed Priority Area Air Quality Management Plan under full implementation, (ii) draft plan for the Highveld Priority Area developed and published for public comment and (iii) Minister's intention to declare the Waterberg Priority Area published | (i) Progress and review report compiled and published for the Vaal Triangle Air-shed Priority Area, (ii) Highveld Priority Area Air Quality Management Plan under Plan promulgated and (iii) Waterberg Priority Area problem analysis completed | (i) Vaal Triangle Air-shed Priority Area Air Quality management Plan updated, (ii) Highveld Priority Area Air Quality full implementation and (iii) Waterberg Priority Area Air Quality Management Plan published for public comment | | On-going | | Provinces | DEA Local government |
| | Global atmospheric watch-monitoring of trace gases | | | | | | | | SAWS |



APPENDIX B: HIGH LEVEL IMPLEMENTATION PLAN BY SUB-OUTPUT (continued)

| TARGET/INDICATOR | ACTIVITIES | MILESTONES/DELIVERABLES | | | | TIME (COMPLETED BY) | RESOURCE REQUIREMENTS | | ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES |
|------------------|---|--|--|---|---|--|--|---|--|
| | | YEAR 1 | YEAR 2 | YEAR 3 | YEAR 4 | | HUMAN RESOURCES | FINANCIAL RESOURCES | |
| | The development and rollout of a strategy to address air pollution in dense, low-income communities, including air pollution from the burning of dirty fuels (e.g. coal, paraffin and wood) | Integrated residential air pollution control strategy that coordinates and consolidates all Relevant national department, provincial and municipal interventions submitted to Cabinet for approval | Interdepartmental pilot project/s launched within a key problem area within one of the National air pollution Priority Areas | Residential air pollution control case studies and associated municipal implementation guidelines published | Interdepartmental pilot project/s progress and review report compiled and published | 12/1/2012 The impact of coordinated and integrated interdepartmental efforts fully analysed and reported by October 2013 | Use of existing human resources air pollution offset project | Use of current budget and the mobilisation of funds through appropriate industrial offset projects; | DEA – overall coordination, ambient air quality monitoring and reporting and negotiation of industrial air pollution DoH – Indoor air quality improvement interventions and air quality monitoring; DOE-Coordination of electrification interventions and the implementation of cleaner fuels and/or basic energy interventions; DHS – Energy and/or thermal efficiency improvement interventions & DMR – Cleaner fuels (e.g. anthracite) interventions; DMR-Cleaner fuels (e.g Anthracite) interventions; Pilot municipalities – prioritisation of appropriate service supply interventions (e.g. electrification, road-surfacing, tree planting) |
| | The review, revision and implementation of the National Vehicle Emission Control Strategy | | | | | Mar-14 | | | DEA, Provinces, Local Government |
| | The efficient and effective implementation of the new Atmospheric Emission Licensing system by the new Licensing Authorities (Provinces; Metros and District Municipalities) | | | | | Mar-14 | | | DEA, Provinces, Local Government |
| | Growing and developing the National Ambient Air Quality Monitoring Network and the South African Air Quality Information System (SAAQIS) | | | | | Mar-14 | | | DEA, Provinces, Local Government |
| | The development and rollout of strategies and action plans to address air pollution from non-industrial and/or non-point sources (e.g. veld fires, construction activities, un-surfaced haul roads, etc.) | | | | | Mar-14 | | | DEA, Provinces, Local Government |



APPENDIX B: HIGH LEVEL IMPLEMENTATION PLAN BY SUB-OUTPUT (continued)

| TARGET/INDICATOR | ACTIVITIES | MILESTONES/DELIVERABLES | | | | TIME (COMPLETED BY) | RESOURCE REQUIREMENTS | | ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES |
|--|---|--|--|--|---|---------------------|-----------------------|---|----------------------------------|
| | | YEAR 1 | YEAR 2 | YEAR 3 | YEAR 4 | | HUMAN RESOURCES | FINANCIAL RESOURCES | |
| Mine Dust: Zero exposure to silicon at all mine occupational level by 2014 | Implement the minimum frequency of monitoring | | | | | On-going | | Additional financial resources required | DMR, DEA |
| | Health impacts of mining tailing dumps on communities proximal to mine operations are being quantified through a research project approved | | | | | On-going | | Additional financial resources required | DMR, DEA |
| | Finalising the strategy for rehabilitation of derelict and ownerless mines, continuing research to accurately delineate the quantum of the environmental challenge and its inherent liability | | | | | On-going | | Additional financial resources required | DMR, DEA |
| Sub-output 2.3 Renewable energy deployed | | | | | | | | | |
| 10 000 Gw/hours renewable energy production by 2014 Policy Adjusted Plan | Alignment and implementation of Integrated Resource Plan | Approval of IRP2; Promulgate the IRP to indicate the emissions trajectory attributed to electricity sector investments | Implement the investments, including renewable energy, energy efficiency projects in line with the IRP | | | Mar-14 | | | Department of Energy |
| | Implementation of Industrial Policy Action Plan and green economy plan and strategy development | Adoption of the green economy strategy | Feasibility to be completed | | | Mar-14 | | | Department of Trade and Industry |
| | Implementation of Renewable Energy Feed-In Tariff (REFIT) | Finalise the establishment of the independent systems market operator for the procurement of RE under the REFIT incentive scheme | Procure RE in line with the targets set under IRP2010 | Procure RE in line with the targets set under RP2010 | Procure RE in line with the targets set under IRP2010 | Mar-14 | | | Department of Energy |
| | Finalisation of Renewable Energy White Paper Review | Review completed by closing of financial year | | | | Mar-14 | | | Department of Energy |



APPENDIX B: HIGH LEVEL IMPLEMENTATION PLAN BY SUB-OUTPUT (continued)

| TARGET/INDICATOR | ACTIVITIES | MILESTONES/DELIVERABLES | | | | TIME (COMPLETED BY) | RESOURCE REQUIREMENTS | | ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES |
|--|---|--|--|---|--|---------------------|---|---|--|
| | | YEAR 1 | YEAR 2 | YEAR 3 | YEAR 4 | | HUMAN RESOURCES | FINANCIAL RESOURCES | |
| Sub-output 2.4 Identified climate change and adaptation framework | | | | | | | | | |
| Climate change impacts identified and adaptation frameworks integrated into 12 national sectoral plans by 2012 | Climate adaptation sectors plans in place by 2012 | 4 (Biodiversity, Forestry, Water, Coastal Management) | 4 (Agriculture, Health, Tourism, Land & Rural development) | 4 (Local government, Fisheries, Human settlements, Business/ Insurance) | | Mar-14 | Socio-economists, natural resource scientists | Additional financial resources required | DEA and DWA, DAFF, Human Settlements, Tourism, DST, RDLR |
| | Climate change adaptation plans rolled out to provincial and municipal sphere of government | | | | | Mar-14 | | | Provinces, Local Government |
| Sub-output 2.5 Efficient energy use | | | | | | | | | |
| 12% Energy Efficiency improvement by 2015 | Promulgate IRP2010 to indicate the energy efficiency target set over a 20-year window | Implement the energy efficiency interventions for commercial buildings, households, industry etc. in line with the promulgated IRP; Introduce the incentive schemes to support the interventions | Implement the energy efficiency interventions for commercial buildings, households, industry etc. in line with the promulgated IRP | | | Mar-14 | | | Department of Energy |
| OUTPUT 3: SUSTAINABLE ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT | | | | | | | | | |
| Sub-output 3.1 Degraded ecosystems rehabilitated & restored | | | | | | | | | |
| 3.2 mha by 2014 of and rehabilitated land 160 rural development sites by 2014 | Implement land care and habitat rehabilitation programmes | 800 000ha | 800 000ha | 800 000ha | 800 000ha | | | R60m/yr (EPWP) | DAFF |
| | | Ensure land rehabilitation through enforcement of CARA (89963Ha) | Ensure land rehabilitation through enforcement of CARA (118186Ha) | Ensure land rehabilitation through enforcement of CARA (125793Ha) | Ensure land rehabilitation through enforcement of CARA (132083Ha) | Mar-14 | | | |
| | | Control of weeds and Invader plants (18501Ha) of land where weeds and invader plants are under control | (25650Ha) of land where weeds and invader plants are under control | (32700Ha) of land where weeds and invader plants are under control | (46200Ha) of land where weeds and invader plants are under control | Mar-14 | | | |
| | | (18501Ha) of land where weeds and invader plants are under control | (25650Ha) of land where weeds and invader plants are under control | (32700Ha) of land where weeds and invader plants are under control | (46200Ha) of land where weeds and invader plants are under control | Mar-14 | | | |



APPENDIX B: HIGH LEVEL IMPLEMENTATION PLAN BY SUB-OUTPUT (continued)

| TARGET/INDICATOR | ACTIVITIES | MILESTONES/DELIVERABLES | | | | TIME (COMPLETED BY) | RESOURCE REQUIREMENTS | | ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES |
|------------------|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|---------------------------------------|
| | | YEAR 1 | YEAR 2 | YEAR 3 | YEAR 4 | | HUMAN RESOURCES | FINANCIAL RESOURCES | |
| | | Conservation Agriculture (120Ha) Implementation of no till projects and demos | (550Ha) Implementation of no till projects and demos | (852Ha) Implementation of no till projects and demos | (1300Ha) Implementation of no till projects and demos | | | | |
| | | Rangeland Management (79154 Ha) of natural rangeland rehabilitated or protected | (85700 Ha) of natural rangeland rehabilitated or protected | (91400 Ha) of natural rangeland rehabilitated or protected | (135235Ha) of natural rangeland rehabilitated or protected | Mar-14 | | | |
| | | x number of ha including rehabilitation on 25 CRDP Sites (wards) | x number including rehabilitation on 37 CRDP Sites (wards) | x number Including rehabilitation on 44 CRDP Sites (wards) | x number Including rehabilitation on 33 CRDP Sites (wards) | 31/03/2014 | HR Capacity already exist | All member departments of the CRDP Council of Stakeholders to commit funds towards the rehabilitation of land in rural areas | DRDLR, Relevant Provincial Government |
| | | 800 000ha | 800 000ha | 800 000ha | 4 yrs | 31/03/2014 | 320 million required (R80 million required/annum) | DAFF: DLUSM, LandCare & Land and Monitoring | DAFF, DEA |
| | Woodlands conservation programme | Woodlands conservation programme | Conservation targets for woodlands determined at type level (Floristic studies) | Conservation targets for woodlands determined at type level (Floristic studies) | Irreplacibility and gap analyses for selected woodlands at type level | High conservation value for selected woodlands identified | 1x Assistant Director; 2X Forestry Scientists; 2X Forestry Technicians | Required but not available: R8 000 000 per annum calculated at R10 000/ha | DAFF |
| | Woodlands and indigenous forests rehabilitation programme | 800ha | 800ha | 800ha | 800ha | Annual targets (this is long term work – restoration requires follow-up) | As above plus casual labor (±250 person days per year) | Required but not available: R8 000 000 per annum calculated at R10 000/ha | DAFF |
| | | Identify woodlands types with unique features in Northern Cape (1 woodland type) and Limpopo (1 woodland type) | Declare the identified woodlands type as controlled area as per Section 17 of NFA | Stakeholder consultation to declare the identified woodland types as protected woodlands | Gazette the woodlands types as protected woodlands as Section 3 of NFA | Mar-14 | | 3 million required but not available | DAFF |
| | Rehabilitation of ecosystems to address increasing rates of deforestation, land degradation and dry land expansion and soil erosion | Soil erosion control (1576 Ha) of cultivated land rehabilitated and or protected | (35000 Ha) of cultivated land rehabilitated and or protected | (415000Ha) of cultivated land rehabilitated and or protected | (45200 Ha)of cultivated land rehabilitated and or protected | Mar-14 | | | DAFF, DEA |



APPENDIX B: HIGH LEVEL IMPLEMENTATION PLAN BY SUB-OUTPUT (continued)

| TARGET/INDICATOR | ACTIVITIES | MILESTONES/DELIVERABLES | | | | TIME (COMPLETED BY) | RESOURCE REQUIREMENTS | | ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES |
|---|--|--|--|--|---|---------------------|---|---|--|
| | | YEAR 1 | YEAR 2 | YEAR 3 | YEAR 4 | | HUMAN RESOURCES | FINANCIAL RESOURCES | |
| Sub-output 3.2 Deforestation & improved forest management | | | | | | | | | |
| Net deforestation not by more than 5 woodlands by 2020 | Alien plants elimination and use as energy source (working for energy) and water preservation | | | | | Mar-14 | | | DEA, Energy, DPW, DWA |
| | Deforestation – Enhance energy services in rural areas and thereby reduce rate of deforestation | Project planning and assessment | Undertake Forest Assessment in Mpumalanga and Limpopo and finalise conservation planning | Undertake forest assessment in Western Cape and Northern Cape and finalise conservation planning | Undertake forests assessment in KZN and finalise conservation | Mar-15 | 10 000 sample plots will need about 4000 people. | R84 million | DAFF, DEA, SANBI, NGO, DEFENCE, ESKOM |
| | Develop plan to ensure environmental issues are integrated into land use planning and incorporated into national, provincial and municipal plans | Implement integrated fire management activities | | | | | | R17 Million | DEA, DRLR, SANBI & Provincial government |
| | Community based natural resource management | Ensure the development of Natural Resource Management (NRM) strategies by all provinces. | Ensure Implementation of NRM strategies by all provinces. | Implementation of NRM strategies by all provinces | Implementation of NRM strategies by all provinces | Mar-14 | | | DAFF |
| 50% of indigenous forest assets transferred from DAFF to appropriate government conservation agencies | Transfer of indigenous forest as nature reserves | 1 Province Indigenous forest as nature reserves | 2 Provinces Project planning | Conservation targets for selected forests determined at type level (Floristic studies) | Irreplacibility and gap analyses for selected natural forests at type level Conservation targets for selected forests; Further floristic studies for other selected forests | Mar-14 3/31/14 | 1x Assistant Director; 2X Forestry Scientists; 2X Forestry Technicians | Salaries: R1 000 000 Operational: R450 000 Total R1 450 000 required but not available | DAFF |
| Conduct National Forest Resources Assessment | Project planning and Institutional preparation | assessment done in 2 Provinces | Assessment done in 3 Provinces | Assessment done in 4 Provinces | Year 5 | | 1x Project Manager 3X Assistant Directors; 9X Forestry Scientists; 18X Forestry Technicians; 2X Data Typers; 1X Data Analyst; 1X Admin Officer; plus Casual labour (1 200 person days/year) | R20m/yr required but not available | DAFF |
| Reforestation (trees for carbon storage)-connect with climate change area | Plant 1 million trees | Plant 1 million trees | Plant 1 million trees | Plant 1 million trees | | Mar-14 | R1m/yr available | | DEA, DPW, DWA, Provincial government |



APPENDIX B: HIGH LEVEL IMPLEMENTATION PLAN BY SUB-OUTPUT (continued)

| TARGET/INDICATOR | ACTIVITIES | MILESTONES/DELIVERABLES | | | | TIME (COMPLETED BY) | RESOURCE REQUIREMENTS | | ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES |
|---|--|--|---|--|-----------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|---|---|
| | | YEAR 1 | YEAR 2 | YEAR 3 | YEAR 4 | | HUMAN RESOURCES | FINANCIAL RESOURCES | |
| Sub-output 3.3 Less waste that is better managed | | | | | | | | | |
| 75% house holds with basic waste collection by 2014 | Analysis of institutional within local governments; Full cost accounting by municipalities | Targets for waste minimisation and standards set by end 2010 | Financing mechanism: Review of fiscal mechanism for the funding of waste services; Capacity building for municipalities | Enhance energy potential of waste by harnessing gasses from landfill sites for energy generation | | Mar-14 | | Additional financial resources required | COGTA, National Treasury, Local government, DEA, Provinces, Local government, Provinces, Department of Energy |
| 80% by 2015 of permitted landfill sites | | | | | | | | | |
| 25% by 2012 municipal waste diverted from landfills for recycling | | | | | | | | | |
| Sub-output 3.4 Management of environmental impacts from mining and related activities | | | | | | | | | |
| # of derelict and ownerless mines rehabilitated and closed in line with environmental best practice | Rehabilitation and remediation of land; vegetation restoration | 25 wards | 37 wards | 44 Wards | 33 Wards | Mar-14 | | All member departments of the CRDP Council of Stakeholders to commit funds towards the rehabilitation of land | DRDLR, Relevant Provincial Government, DMR |
| | Management of health impacts of radio active waste material | | | | | On-going | | | DMR, Health |
| National areas negotiated and published by 2015 identified for restricted mineral development | Monitoring and enforcement of mining activities | | | | | On-going | | | DMR, DEA |
| | Comparison of “environmentally sensitive areas” and “mineral development priority areas | Mapping of environmentally sensitive areas | Mapping of “mineral development priority areas” | Negotiation between DMR and DEA to agree on “restriction areas” completed | | Dec-12 | | | DEA & Provinces map environmental sensitive areas. Planning Commission manage negotiation process |
| | Public & stakeholder consultation | | | Public consultation process | Public consultation process | Jun-13 | | | DMR |
| | Gazette restricted mineral development areas in terms of section 49 of the MPRDA | | | | Gazette | Apr-14 | | | DMR |
| | Joint proposal on coordination and integration approved by both Ministers | Joint DEA, DWA and DMR task team develop proposal | Proposal approved by Ministers | | | Jun-11 | | | DEA & DMR task team negotiate and draft joint proposal |



APPENDIX B: HIGH LEVEL IMPLEMENTATION PLAN BY SUB-OUTPUT (continued)

| TARGET/INDICATOR | ACTIVITIES | MILESTONES/DELIVERABLES | | | | TIME (COMPLETED BY) | RESOURCE REQUIREMENTS | | ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES |
|---|--|---|---|---|---|---------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|--|
| | | YEAR 1 | YEAR 2 | YEAR 3 | YEAR 4 | | HUMAN RESOURCES | FINANCIAL RESOURCES | |
| | Law reform process | | Drafting amendment Bills and table in Parliament | Bills enacted. | | Jan-12 | | | DEA amend NEMA & SEMAs, DMR amend MPRDA & MHSA, DMR-DEA task team manage development of implementation plan. |
| Integrated and coordinated regulatory system for environmental management of mining in place by 2012 | Implementation of integrated system | | Implementation plan developed | Implementation of new system | | Jun-12 | | | DMR, DEA and provinces as per implementation plan |
| Sub-output 3.5 Sustainable land use management | | | | | | | | | |
| Rural Municipalities with credible SDFs that are informed by approved strategic environmental assessments (SEA) or similar instruments: 4 Municipalities per annum; 25 Rural Municipalities per annum AND 90% of greenfield land in these municipalities transformed through land uses supported by an approved SEA/EMF | Development of SDF for Rural Municipalities | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | Mar-14 | HR capacity exist | Funded | DRDLR and Relevant Provinces |
| | Environmental Planning integrated into NEW Spatial Planning and Land Use Management Act | Participation in law reform process | Participation in law reform process/effect agreed amendments to environmental legislation | | | 1/1/12 | | | DRDLR, COGTA and Planning commission develop legislation |
| | | Land Use management Bill presented to Parliament General Assembly | Land Use management Bill presented to Parliament National Council of Provinces | Bill passed in to an Act | Implementation | Mar-13 | HR capacity exist | Funded | DRDLR, COGTA and Planning commission develop legislation |
| | Environmental Management Framework/Strategic Environmental Assessment/ other strategic environmental planning projects initiated | (1) Finalise EMF/SEA strategy to prioritise municipalities for which EMF/SEA would be funded by environmental authority. (2) Initiate EMFs/SEAs for 4 municipalities | (1) Initiate EMFs/SEAs for 4 municipalities in accordance with strategy | (1) Initiate EMFs/SEAs for 4 municipalities in accordance with strategy | (1) Initiate EMFs/SEAs for 4 municipalities in accordance with strategy | Annually | | R4 000 000 per annum | (1) DEA & provinces – manage EMF/SEA process & manage MOUs with Municipalities. (2) Municipalities: Participate in EMF/SEA process |
| | Environmental Management Framework/Strategic Environmental Assessment/ other strategic environmental planning projects finalised/ approved by MEC/Minister and relevant Mayor(s) | Finalise EMFs initiated for 4 municipalities | Finalise EMFs initiated for 4 municipalities | Finalise EMFs initiated for 4 municipalities | Finalise EMFs initiated for 4 municipalities | Annually | | R4 000 000 per annum | (1) DEA & provinces – initiate EMFs & enter into MOUs with Municipalities. (2) Municipalities: Participate in EMF/SEA process |



APPENDIX B: HIGH LEVEL IMPLEMENTATION PLAN BY SUB-OUTPUT (continued)

| TARGET/INDICATOR | ACTIVITIES | MILESTONES/DELIVERABLES | | | | TIME (COMPLETED BY) | RESOURCE REQUIREMENTS | | ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES |
|------------------|--|--|--|--|--|---------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|--|
| | | YEAR 1 | YEAR 2 | YEAR 3 | YEAR 4 | | HUMAN RESOURCES | FINANCIAL RESOURCES | |
| | EMF/SEA/Other integrated into Municipal SDF and SDF adopted by Minister/MEC | Integration of the following EMFs in municipal SDFs (Rustenburg, Tlokwe City Council (Potchefstroom), Siyanda, Emakhazeni, Nelson Mandela Bay) development of SDF guidelines | Finalise 4 SDF integration | Finalise 4 SDF integration | Finalise 4 SDF integration | | Annually | | COGTA/DRDLR facilitate integration process; municipalities adopt EMFs, Minister/MEC environment adopt SDF as "environmentally informed spatial instrument" |
| | Participate in SDF, IDP and EMF formulation processes i.t.o. Municipal systems Act and Environmental Acts (NEMBA & NEMBA) in protection of agricultural land | Participate in SDF, IDP and EMF formulation processes i.t.o. Municipal systems Act and Environmental Acts (NEMBA & NEMBA) in protection of agricultural land | Participate in SDF, IDP and EMF formulation processes i.t.o. Municipal systems Act and Environmental Acts (NEMBA & NEMBA) in protection of agricultural land | Participate in SDF, IDP And EMF formulation processes i.t.o. Municipal systems Act and Environmental Acts (NEMBA & NEMBA) in protection of agricultural land | | | | | DRDLR, COGTA |
| | SDF Guidelines with relevant sector consideration developed (MoU Signed by DGs) | Alignment of the DRDLR draft SDF guidelines and COGTA's SDF Toolkit for adoption | Draft Consolidated SDF Guidelines submitted to relevant IGR structures | SDF Guidelines implemented | SDF Guidelines implemented | Mar-12 | HR capacity exist | Funded | DRDLR, COGTA |
| | Develop monitoring system | | Development of system | Development of system | | Dec-12 | | | Joint task team to develop monitoring system (DEA, Outcome 10 MINTECH, COGTA, DRDLR and Planning Commission) |
| | Pilot test of monitoring system | | | | Pilot test for Waterberg District Municipality | Apr-14 | | | Local government |



APPENDIX B: HIGH LEVEL IMPLEMENTATION PLAN BY SUB-OUTPUT (continued)

| TARGET/INDICATOR | ACTIVITIES | MILESTONES/DELIVERABLES | | | | TIME (COMPLETED BY) | RESOURCE REQUIREMENTS | | ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES |
|--|--|---|---|---|---|---------------------|---|---|--|
| | | YEAR 1 | YEAR 2 | YEAR 3 | YEAR 4 | | HUMAN RESOURCES | FINANCIAL RESOURCES | |
| OUTPUT 4: BIODIVERSITY PROTECTED | | | | | | | | | |
| Sub-output 4.1 % Land mass under conservation | | | | | | | | | |
| 9% Land mass under conservation by 2014 | Nomination and proclamation of World Heritage Sites (WHS) | 1 WHS (proclaimed) – 30 000ha | 1 WHS (listed and proclaimed) | 1 WHS (nominated) | 1 WHS (listed and proclaimed) | Mar-14 | Cultural heritage experts, negotiators, planners | R2m/a | DAC-Assist with the identification of priority Heritage sites. DEA-Identify, nominate, proclaim and ensure effective management |
| | Declaration of priority areas for expansion of protected areas network (National) | 13 465 ha | 13 000 ha | 13 000 ha | 13 000 ha | Mar-14 | Scientists, scenario planning and modelling experts, contract managers, GIS experts SANBI 1 x conservation planner (Level 11) 1 x junior planner (level 9) | 2010/11 – R 22 261 000 2011/12 – R31 269 000 2012/13 – R39 912 000 | SANParks – identify, acquire and declare sites, DEA-Coordination SANBI technical planning support and monitoring and review of expansion plans against national targets. |
| | | Eastern Cape – 98 000, Free State – 99 000, Gauteng – 9 500, KZN – 52 800, Limpopo – 43 000, Mpumalanga – 40 000, North West – 62 000, Northern Cape 208 000, Western Cape 63 000 | Eastern Cape – 98 000, Free State – 99 000, Gauteng – 9 500, KZN – 52 800, Limpopo – 43 000, Mpumalanga – 40 000, North West – 62 000, Northern Cape 208 000, Western Cape 63 000 | Eastern Cape – 98 000, Free State – 99 000, Gauteng – 9 500, KZN – 52 800, Limpopo – 43 000, Mpumalanga – 40 000, North West – 62 000, Northern Cape 208 000, Western Cape 63 000 | Eastern Cape – 98 000, Free State – 99 000, Gauteng – 9 500, KZN – 52 800, Limpopo – 43 000, Mpumalanga – 40 000, North West – 62 000, Northern Cape 208 000, Western Cape 63 000 | Mar-14 | Scientists, scenario planning and modelling experts, contract managers, GIS experts SANBI 1 x conservation planner (Level 11) x junior planner (level 9) | Significantly underfunded | Provincial environmental departments and agencies to identify, acquire and declare priority areas for expansion SANBI technical planning support and monitoring and review of expansion plans against national targets. |
| | Declaration of priority areas for expansion of protected areas network (Provincial) – Including biodiversity stewardship | 10 000 ha per province | 10 000 ha per province | 10 000 ha per province | 10 000 ha per province | Mar-14 | Scientists, scenario planning and modelling experts, contract managers, GIS experts (Human resources current within Mpu comprises 2 posts and requires six posts over four years (therefore only 33% of HR resources met) | Provincial Environmental Departments and agencies to determine budgetary allocations (Partly funded. Additional finances required over Years 1 to 4: R40 000 000 (for land purchase 20% of four year target and biodiversity stewardship 80% of four year target) | SANBI-Identification and acquisition of the land. SANBI management of the gardens. *DEA Proclamation of the land |



APPENDIX B: HIGH LEVEL IMPLEMENTATION PLAN BY SUB-OUTPUT (continued)

| TARGET/INDICATOR | ACTIVITIES | MILESTONES/DELIVERABLES | | | | TIME (COMPLETED BY) | RESOURCE REQUIREMENTS | | ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES |
|--|---|--|--|--|---|---------------------|---|--|---|
| | | YEAR 1 | YEAR 2 | YEAR 3 | YEAR 4 | | HUMAN RESOURCES | FINANCIAL RESOURCES | |
| | Declaration of two botanical gardens | Potential site in Eastern Cape identified | Acquisition and proclamation | Potential site in Limpopo identified | Acquisition and proclamation | Mar-14 | SANBI Staff for operating the gardens: total personel budget of R3,5 million (includes eg 1 x Curator (level 11), horticulturalists (2 x level 9), admin (2x level 7); foreman (2 x level 5) groundsmen (10 x level 3)) GIS Specialists, Scientists, horticulturalists. | SANBI Personnel R3,5 million Operating R2 million pa | SANBI-Identication and acquisition of the land. *DEA Proclamation of the land |
| | Improvement in management effectiveness for protected areas | 50% of protected areas with 68% management effectiveness | 60% of protected areas with 68% management effectiveness | 70% of protected areas with 68% management effectiveness | 80% of protected areas with 68% management effectiveness | Mar-14 | Managers, scientists, social ecologists, planners | Partially funded | Management authorities – develop management plans, manage, assess effectiveness. DEA monitor |
| | Implement the Biodiversity Stewardship Programme to contribute to the land mass under conservation in the form of Nature reserves and Protected Environment | | | | | | SANBI land reform stewardship coordinator (level 11) | SANBI operating budget for the land reform stewardship initiative R800 000 pa) | SANBI: support pilot projects; host the Land reform and Biodiversity Stewardship learning network. |
| 14 % of coastline with partial protection | Proceed with the declaration of priority areas for expansion of protected areas – already identified total area of 122 782 km ² (12 278 200 ha- 20 years target) and 42 priority areas | | | | | | | | DEA,DAFF |
| | Marine Living Resources (MLR) Act compliance and enforcement | | | | | | | | DEA, DAFF, DWA, DOJ&CD |
| Sub-output 4.2 Reduced climate change impacts on biodiversity | | | | | | | | | |
| 9 major biomes climate change adaptation frameworks developed | Identification of climate change impacts on marine and terrestrial biodiversity and development of adaptation plans | Framework document for biodiversity and climate change completed | Vulnerability assessment for the nine biomes completed | Response measures for the nine biomes identified. | Climate change adaptation plans for nine biomes completed (Marine biodiversity adaptation planning initiated) | March 2014 | Scientists, scenario planning and modelling SANBI 2 x spatial modelling (level 11) 1 x GIS planner (level 11) 1 x project manager (level 12) 2 x technician (level 8) | SANBI Operating R1 million pa | DEA SANBI Spatial modelling and vulnerability assessments and technical input into development of adaptation frameworks |



APPENDIX B: HIGH LEVEL IMPLEMENTATION PLAN BY SUB-OUTPUT (continued)

| TARGET/INDICATOR | ACTIVITIES | MILESTONES/DELIVERABLES | | | | TIME (COMPLETED BY) | RESOURCE REQUIREMENTS | | ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES |
|---|--|---|--|---|--------------------------------------|---|--|--|---|
| | | YEAR 1 | YEAR 2 | YEAR 3 | YEAR 4 | | HUMAN RESOURCES | FINANCIAL RESOURCES | |
| | Framework for biodiversity and climate change response completed | Vulnerability assessment for the 9 biomes completed | Response measures for 9 biomes identified | Climate change adaptation plans for 9 biomes completed | 14-Mar | Scientist, scenario planners & modelling and conservation experts | Unfunded | SANBI – scientific inputs, Provinces – implementation, DEA – co-ordination | DEA,RDLR, SANBI |
| Sub-output 4.3 Protected ecosystems and species | | | | | | | | | |
| Maintain % of coastline prohibiting fishing or any form of harvesting or extraction | Marine Living Resources (MLR) Act compliance and enforcement; * Provision of scientific information and data in the identification of protection areas; Fisheries Patrol Vehicles (FPV) patrols in protected areas; Oil spill preparedness & mobilisation; Fisheries catch and effort data provided to spatial conservation planning processes; • Research survey data provided to spatial conservation planning processes | Marine spatial biodiversity plan completed and published as part of National Biodiversity Assessment. | Data collection and fine scale coastal planning initiated | | | | SANBI 1 x marine conservation planner (Level 11); 2 x marine researchers (level 9); 1 x marine species monitoring officer (level 10) | SANBI Operating R550 000pa | DAFF, DEA SANBI technical planning support and monitoring and review of expansion plans against national targets; species monitoring and marine biodiversity research. |
| Increase from less than 1% to less than 3% offshore total area (about 1 million km ²) of the Exclusive Economic Zone (EEZ) in which fishing and any form of harvesting that are detrimental to the benthic environment are prohibited | Marine Living Resources (MLR) Act compliance and enforcement; * Provision of scientific information and data in the identification of protection areas | Intensification and monitoring compliance patrols in MPA (9% of 3 000 km coastline) | Intensification and monitoring compliance patrols in MPA (9% of 3000 km coastline) | Intensification and monitoring compliance patrols in MPA (9% of 3 000 km coastline) | Increase from 9% to 11% | 2014 | DAFF SANBI 1 x offshore protected area planner (level 11) | R1 million | SANBI Provision of scientific information and data in the identification of protection areas |
| Increasing number of species under formal protection | Developing Biodiversity management plans for species | 2 species management plans developed | 2 species management plans developed | 2 species management plans developed | 2 species management plans developed | Mar-14 | Species specialists SANBI 2 x threatened animal species specialists (level 10) | Funded SANBI operating R250 000 pa | DEA – evaluation & approval, SANBI – scientific authority SANBI technical support for development of management plans |



APPENDIX B: HIGH LEVEL IMPLEMENTATION PLAN BY SUB-OUTPUT (continued)

| TARGET/INDICATOR | ACTIVITIES | MILESTONES/DELIVERABLES | | | | TIME (COMPLETED BY) | RESOURCE REQUIREMENTS | | ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES |
|------------------|---|---|--|--|---|---------------------|---|--|--|
| | | YEAR 1 | YEAR 2 | YEAR 3 | YEAR 4 | | HUMAN RESOURCES | FINANCIAL RESOURCES | |
| | Amending TOPS lists based on specific criteria | Criteria for listing developed Non-detriment findings for trade General operations of the scientific Authority Compliance with CITES processes Improved implementation of TOPS Improved animal taxomony for threatened species | "Draft list compiled and published for public comment Non-detriment findings for trade General operations of the Scientific Authority Compliance with CITES processes Improved implementation of TOPS. Improved animal taxomony for threatened species | List finalised and published Non-detriment findings for trade General operations of the Scientific Authority Compliance with CITES processes Improved implementation of TOPS Improved animal taxomony for threatened species | Amend Regulations to ensure protection of listed species Non-detriment findings for trade General operations of the Scientific Authority Compliance with CITES processes Improved implementation of TOPS Improved animal taxomony for threatened species | Mar-14 | Species specialists; Scientists; Taxonomists; Conservation specialists; ecologist SANBI 4 x animal taxonomists (level 8) 1 x conservation biologists (level 9) 1 x Administrator (secretariat) (level 8, requires BSc) 1 x Scientist - animals (level 9) 1 x Population biologist (level 10) | Funded SANBI operating R450 000pa | DEA – Development of lists & regulations, SANBI – taxonomy, scientific criteria & listing, Provinces – implementation |
| | Develop and implement regulations and tools to prevent, control or eradicate Alien and Invasive Species | Alien and Invasive Species regulations finalised | Alien and Invasive Species Risk Assessment Framework finalised; Draft Guidelines for the preparation of monitoring and control plan for alien and invasive species | "Draft national Strategy and Action Plan for alien and invasive species published for public participation; Guidelines for the preparation of monitoring and control plans implemented SANBI: Database of invasives and risk assessments Risk assessments of invasives (plants & animals) Scientific support for listing Monitoring system | National Strategy and Action Plan for alien and invasive species finalised and approved; Development of monitoring and control plans by organs of state initiated; Development of species management programmes initiated SANBI: Database of invasives and risk assessments Risk assessments of invasives (plants & animals) Scientific support for listing Monitoring system | Mar-14 | AIS specialists; Risk assessment specialists; EMIs SANBI requirement Risk analysis specialist (level 10) Data manager (level 9) Invasive species scientist (level 10) Monitoring co-ordinator (level 12) | Current funding for implementation inadequate SANBI operating requirement R700 000 p.a. (risk assessment and targeted research) R1 500 000 p.a. (monitoring) | DEA – Development of strategy & regulations, SANBI – scientific criteria & support for listing, data management, risk assessments, monitoring; DWA DAFF & Provinces – implementation |
| | Habitat loss reduced through protection of threatened or protected ecosystems | Criteria for listing of aquatic ecosystems developed Draft threatened river ecosystems identified draft threatened estuary ecosystems identified | List of threatened or protected ecosystems Draft threatened marine ecosystems identified | Threatening processes/activities in ecosystems identified and regulated in terms of NEMA Identification of terrestrial ecosystems under criterion B Threatened river, estuary and marine ecosystems gazetted for public comment | Enforcement of NEMA Threatened river, estuary and marine ecosystems gazetted | 3/1/14 | Ecosystem specialists – especially in terms of the functioning of ecosystems (dynamics of ecosystems) and threatening processes affecting ecosystems Enforcement resources SANBI 1 x threatened ecosystems ecologist (level 10) 1 x marine threatened ecosystem ecologist (level 11) | Funded SANBI operating R250 000pa | SANBI – scientific authority, development of criteria and draft lists, monitoring DEA & Provinces – implementation & NEMA enforcement" |



APPENDIX B: HIGH LEVEL IMPLEMENTATION PLAN BY SUB-OUTPUT (continued)

| TARGET/INDICATOR | ACTIVITIES | MILESTONES/DELIVERABLES | | | | TIME (COMPLETED BY) | RESOURCE REQUIREMENTS | | ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|---------------------|---|---|--|
| | | YEAR 1 | YEAR 2 | YEAR 3 | YEAR 4 | | HUMAN RESOURCES | FINANCIAL RESOURCES | |
| Reduced number of species included in the red data list: Proportion of species threatened with extinction | Consideration of protection of species through Land use planning | Establish programme to promote integration of biodiversity and ecosystem-based approaches to climate change adaptation into land use planning Distribute biodiversity information to all municipalities | Provide capacity development opportunities for municipalities | Provide capacity development opportunities for municipalities | Provide capacity development opportunities for municipalities | 2014 | SANBI 10 x Biodiversity advisors (level 10); 1 x programme coordinator (level 11) | SANBI operating R1 million pa | DEA, SANBI distribute biodiversity information and provide capacity development support |
| | Development and implementation of interventions to manage overexploitation of species | Management plans for species requiring interventions developed | Management plans for species requiring interventions developed | Management plans for species requiring interventions developed | Management plans for species requiring interventions developed | 2014 | SANBI requirement 2 X population ecologists (level 10) | SANBI operating requirement R700,000 p.a. | DEA, SANBI technical support on development of management plans |
| | Targeted protection of priority habitats | 4 draft bioregional plans (NM moss; Gert Sibande; Gauteng metros; Namakwa) | 2 additional bioregional & 2 additional biodiversity sector plans | 2 additional bioregional & 2 additional biodiversity sector plans | 2 additional bioregional & 2 additional biodiversity sector plans | 2014 | SANBI 1 x bioregional planner (level 11) | SANBI operating R300 000pa | DEA, SANBI support development of bioregional and biodiversity sector plans; convening of bioregional review panel |
| | Development of research base on species and biological control agents | Implement research programmes | Implement research programmes | Implement research programmes | Implement research programmes | 2014 | | | DEA, DST, ARC |
| | Management plans in place for major alien species that threaten ecosystems habitats or species AND Pathways for major potential alien invasive species controlled | | | Draft framework for management plans developed | Framework for management plans finalised | 2014 | SANBI Monitoring co-ordinator (level 12); Invasive species scientist (level 10) | SANBI operating requirement R700,000 p.a. | DEA, SANBI research and drafting of management plans |
| 10% increase on 2010 levels for recovery of key fisheries (hake, abalone & rock lobster stocks) by 2014 | Expansion of fresh water and marine aquaculture (link to outcome 4) | Implementation of the Aquaculture Development Plan | Implementation of the Aquaculture Development Plan | Implementation of the Aquaculture Development Plan | 10% increase on 2010 stock levels for hake, abalone and rock lobster | 2014 | | | DAFF, DTI |
| | Implementation of resource specific recovery plans (link to outcome 4) | Conduct annual surveys stocks in 3 fisheries (hake, abalone and rock lobsters) | Conduct annual surveys stocks in 3 fisheries (hake, abalone and rock lobsters) | Conduct annual surveys stocks in 3 fisheries (hake, abalone and rock lobsters) | 10% increase on 2010 stock levels for hake, abalone and rock lobster | 2014 | | | DAFF, DTI |
| | Implementation of the Abalone Recovery Strategy | Reduction of poaching by 15% | Reduction of poaching by 15% | Reduction of poaching by 15% | Reduction of poaching by 15% | 2025 | | | DAFF |



APPENDIX B: HIGH LEVEL IMPLEMENTATION PLAN BY SUB-OUTPUT (continued)

| TARGET/INDICATOR | ACTIVITIES | MILESTONES/DELIVERABLES | | | | TIME (COMPLETED BY) | RESOURCE REQUIREMENTS | | ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | YEAR 1 | YEAR 2 | YEAR 3 | YEAR 4 | | HUMAN RESOURCES | FINANCIAL RESOURCES | |
| | Implementation of the Integrated National Fisheries Development Plans | Implement and monitor progress | Implement and monitor progress | Implement and monitor progress | Implement and monitor progress | 2014 | | | DAFF |
| | Finalisation and implementation of Integrated Fisheries Security Strategy | Enhancement of partnership with law enforcement agencies, 40% of all landings monitored; 10% of rights holders investigated; 15% of vessels inspected in these fisheries sectors | Enhancement of partnership with law enforcement agencies, 40% of all landings monitored; 10% of rights holders investigated; 15% of vessels inspected in these fisheries sectors | Enhancement of partnership with law enforcement agencies, 40% of all landings monitored; 10% of rights holders investigated; 15% of vessels inspected in these fisheries sectors | Enhancement of partnership with law enforcement agencies, 40% of all landings monitored; 10% of rights holders investigated; 15% of vessels inspected in these fisheries sectors | 2014 | | R100 Million p/a | DAFF |
| | Conduct surveys and stock assessment | Implement and monitor progress | Implement and monitor progress | Implement and monitor progress | Implement and monitor progress | On-going | | | DAFF, DEA, DST |
| | Provision of scientific information and data in identification and monitoring of protection areas | Conduct annual stocks surveys catch monitoring, data collection in 22 fishing sectors | Conduct annual stocks surveys catch monitoring, data collection in 22 fishing sectors | Conduct annual stocks surveys catch monitoring, data collection in 22 fishing sectors | Less than 3% offshore | 2014 | | R16 million per annum (excluding ship costs) | DAFF, DEA, DST |
| | Marine Living Resources (MLR) Act compliance and enforcement | Implement and monitor progress | Implement and monitor progress | Implement and monitor progress | Implement and monitor progress | 2014 | | | DAFF, DEA, DOJ&CD |
| | Development of a science and technology plan to support the recovery of key fisheries | Plan completed | Implement research programmes | Implement research programmes | Implement research programmes | 2014 | | | DST |
| 5 wetlands per year of national and international importance with management plans in place | Criteria for listing of wetlands developed | Assessment of priority wetlands against criteria developed | Assessment of priority wetlands against criteria developed | Develop and implement management plans | 3/1/14 | Scientists, planners, conservation manager | Funded SANBI 1 x wetlands planner (level 10) | Conservation Authorities – implement plans | DEA, SANBI development of criteria and draft lists, Working for Wetlands |
| | Management plans for wetlands of international importance developed | Management plans for five (5) RAMSAR sites developed | Management plans for five (5) RAMSAR sites developed | Management plans for five (5) RAMSAR sites developed | Management plans for five (5) RAMSAR sites developed | Mar-14 | Wetlands Specialists | R400 000 per annum | DEA, Conservation Authorities |
| | Management plans for five RAMSAR sites developed | Management plans for five RAMSAR sites developed | Management plans for five RAMSAR sites develop | Assessment of priority wetlands against criteria developed | Develop and implement management plans | 3/1/14 | | Funded | Management authorities – develop & implement plans, DEA – oversight |
| Minimum 20% of estuaries with full protection/partial protection by 2015 | Priority estuaries identified and declared in terms of NEMPA | Priority estuaries identified through the National Biodiversity Assessment | | | | | | Priority setting funded | SANBI identification of priorities, DEA, DAFF, DWA, Provincial conservation authorities and Local Government |



APPENDIX B: HIGH LEVEL IMPLEMENTATION PLAN BY SUB-OUTPUT (continued)

| TARGET/INDICATOR | ACTIVITIES | MILESTONES/DELIVERABLES | | | | TIME (COMPLETED BY) | RESOURCE REQUIREMENTS | | ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES |
|---|---|--|--|---|---|---------------------|---|--|---|
| | | YEAR 1 | YEAR 2 | YEAR 3 | YEAR 4 | | HUMAN RESOURCES | FINANCIAL RESOURCES | |
| Sub-output 4.4 Valuing the ecosystem services | | | | | | | | | |
| Environmental benefits related to the provision of resource-based services better understood, communicated and incentivised | Baseline for biodiversity & ecosystem services determined | Baseline for biodiversity & ecosystem services determined | Feasibility study conducted Develop ecosystem services monitoring and research strategy | Stakeholder consultation Implement monitoring and research strategy to determine baseline | Valuation completed Monitor ecosystem services | 3/1/14 | Scientists, resource economists, project manager SANBI 1 x resource economist (level 12); 2 x ecosystem services researchers (Level 10) | Funded SANBI operating R1 million | DEA, SANBI monitoring and research, Conservation authorities, National Treasury |
| | Promote incentives for conservation and improved ecosystem protection. | Initiate process Review effectiveness of existing fiscal incentives | Finalise making the case for the value of biodiversity Develop proposals for modifications to fiscal incentives based on review | Roll out Implement modifications to fiscal incentives in tax legislation | Roll out Promote implementation of incentives through biodiversity stewardship programme | 3/1/14 | Resource economist; Ecologists; Scientists; Economists; Media & marketing SANBI 1 x Incentives specialist (level 11) already funded | R250 000 per annum SANBI R300 000 for tax consultants | DEA, SANBI review of effectiveness of existing fiscal incentives and drafting of proposals for modification to tax legislation, Conservation authorities, National Treasury |
| | Establish a national payment for ecosystem services programme to improve ecosystem protection and human wellbeing | Initiate 3 pilot projects demonstrating benefits and institutional options | Continue with 3 pilot projects Develop institutional and financial mechanisms for the national PES programme | Initiate additional 3 pilot projects Establish institutional arrangements for national PES | Implement PES programme | 2014 | SANBI 1 x PES specialist (level 12); 1 x PES project officer (1 x level 10) | 2 pilot projects already funded additional operating R2 million pa | DEA, SANBI catalyse 2 pilot projects, technical input on institutional and financial options, DWA, DBSA, conservation authorities |
| | Make a business case for biodiversity | Development of communication strategy and messages initiated | Business case for biodiversity finalised Rollout implementation of communications strategy | Rollout implementation of communications strategy | Rollout implementation of communications strategy | 14-Mar | Resource economists, scientists, media, communications & marketing professionals | Rollout implementation unfunded R2 million pa | DEA & SANBI – development, Provinces – implementation |
| Start measuring and ensure protection of 81% by 2014 | | Preserve high potential and suitable land for agricultural production by developing land capability zoning maps for 2 provinces. | “Develop capability maps and zoning of agricultural land for 2 provinces | Develop capability maps and zoning of agricultural land for 2 provinces | Develop capability maps and zoning of agricultural land for the remained and finalise the national maps and zones | 3/31/14 | | R12 000 000 | DAFF |
| | | Develop policy and review legislations to ensure protection of agricultural land | Develop policy and review legislations to ensure protection of agricultural land. | Provide green and white discussion document. | Facilitate stakeholders workshop for review of national norms and standards | 3/31/14 | | R35 000 000 | DAFF |
| | | 200 000 ha to be protected through administration of Act 70 of 1970 | 200 000 ha to be protected through administration of Act 70 of 1970 | 200 000 ha to be protected through administration of Act 70 of 1970 | 200 000 ha to be protected through administration of Act 70 of 1970 | 3/31/14 | | R40 000 000 | DAFF |



APPENDIX B: HIGH LEVEL IMPLEMENTATION PLAN BY SUB-OUTPUT (continued)

| TARGET/INDICATOR | ACTIVITIES | MILESTONES/DELIVERABLES | | | | TIME (COMPLETED BY) | RESOURCE REQUIREMENTS | | ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|---------------------|---|---|--|
| | | YEAR 1 | YEAR 2 | YEAR 3 | YEAR 4 | | HUMAN RESOURCES | FINANCIAL RESOURCES | |
| OUTCOME 10 CROSS-CUTTING SUB-OUTPUTS | | | | | | | | | |
| Sub-output 1: Environmental legislation compliance and enforcement | | | | | | | | | |
| Environmental crimes allocated dedicated time in 4 mainstream courts by May 2011 | Launch of the dedicated time for environmental crimes | Launch in Mpumalanga in September 2010 as a pilot project. The project to be reviewed after a year. | Assessment of the pilot project and possible extension to the remaining regional courts/ district courts in other provinces | Environmental crimes allocated time and handled in all mainstream regional/districts courts in the country | | Mar-14 | Trained criminal investigators; Dedicated prosecutors | | DWA;DEA AND DOJ&CD |
| 150 Environmental Management Inspectorate designated by 2011 | Conduct training of Environmental Management Inspectors | Designation of 150 trained Local government officials as EMI by MEC | NEMA to be amended to provide for Designation of EMIs by local authorities | Designation of EMIs functions carried out at local government level | | Mar-14 | | | DEA; COGTA;SALGA; local government; provinces |
| Sub-output 2: Environmental Sustainability | | | | | | | | | |
| NSSD strategy approved by 2011 | Finalisation and implementation of NSSD and Action Plan | Cabinet approved NSSD and Action plan | Develop sustainable development indicators | Monitoring and evaluation on the implementation | Monitoring | Mar-14 | | Funded | DEA |
| Scaling up environmental education, awareness and voluntary activism: Environmental Sector Skills Plan(ESSP) fully implemented by 2014 | Implement Human Capital Development Strategy; Environmental Sector Skills Plan (ESSP) finalised and implemented- Engage with NSDS III and SETA systems change, Address scarce and critical skills gap; | Environmental driver integrated into the National Skills Development Strategy (NSDS III) and the SETA Skills Plans | Increased access to occupationally directed Programmes in scarce and critical areas | A comprehensive career guidance, vocational information dissemination and collection system in place | A standardised framework developed for the assessment of skills shortages (projected supply and demand) for environmental skills | Mar-14 | | | DEA, DST, Higher Education, Indalo Yethu |
| 1 156 00 EPWP work opportunities and 325 652 FTEs (Full Time Equivalents) by 2014 | Scale-up environment and culture EPWP | Implement and monitor progress | Implement and monitor progress | Implement and monitor progress | Implement and monitor progress | 3/1/14 | | Additional financial resources required | Department of Public Works, Department of Environmental Affairs; Department of Tourism; Department of Agriculture Forestry and Fisheries; Department of Water Affairs; Department of Arts and Culture; Provinces |
| | Implement coast care programme at all South African beaches – Litter picking and scrubbing of beach facilities | 19 beaches cleaned on a daily basis, 830 km of coast line and 27 beach facilities cleaned everyday, 7 747 work opportunities and 3 874 Full Time Equivalents created | 19 beaches cleaned on a daily basis, 830 km of coast line and 27 beach facilities cleaned everyday, 6 872 work opportunities and 3 436 Full Time Equivalents created | 19 beaches cleaned on a daily basis, 830 km of coast line and 27 beach facilities cleaned everyday, 5 916 work opportunities and 2 958 Full Time Equivalents created | 19 beaches cleaned on a daily basis, 830 km of coast line and 27 beach facilities cleaned everyday, 6 916 work opportunities and 3 458 Full Time Equivalents created | 3/1/13 | No additional human resources required | Additional financial resources required | DEA |



APPENDIX B: HIGH LEVEL IMPLEMENTATION PLAN BY SUB-OUTPUT (continued)

| TARGET/INDICATOR | ACTIVITIES | MILESTONES/DELIVERABLES | | | | TIME (COMPLETED BY) | RESOURCE REQUIREMENTS | | ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|---------------------|--|---|--|
| | | YEAR 1 | YEAR 2 | YEAR 3 | YEAR 4 | | HUMAN RESOURCES | FINANCIAL RESOURCES | |
| | Implement greening projects (planting indigenous trees) and street cleaning in the 10 selected municipalities | 18 276 indigenous trees planted, 10 cities' streets cleaned everyday, 3 650 Work opportunities and 1 825 Full Time Equivalents created. | 23 055 indigenous trees planted, 10 cities' streets cleaned everyday, 3 285 Work Opportunities and 1 642 Full Time Equivalents created | 24 407 indigenous trees; 10 cities' streets cleaned everyday, 3 249 Work Opportunities and 1 124 Full Time Equivalents created | 25 220 indigenous trees planted; 10 cities' streets cleaned everyday, 3 690 work opportunities created and 1 845 Full Time Equivalents created | 3/1/13 | No additional human resources required | Additional financial resources required | DEA, Indalo Yethu |
| OUTCOME 4: Decent Employment through Inclusive Economic Growth OUTPUT 2: MORE LABOUR ABSORBING GROWTH Sub-output 5: Green Economy | | | | | | | | | |
| Regulatory framework: New Growth Path, National Green Economy Strategy & National Climate Change Policy by January 2011 | Developmental growth path approved by Cabinet | Implementation and assess impact | Implementation and assess impact | Implementation and assess impact | Implementation and assess impact | Jan-11 | Existing capacity within EDD, DTI and NT | Policy options will define the required resources. | EDD, DTI, National Treasury |
| | Finalisation and implementation of the National Green Economy Strategy | Strategy completed and Cabinet Approved | Implementation and roll-out programmes to support growth of green jobs and industries over short-term | Commence with policy interventions to support medium to long term actions | Implementation and assess impact | Mar-14 | Existing capacity within EDD, DEA, DST, DTI and NT will be utilised to implement the project | Funding required to support key flagship projects that contributes to green economy | DEA will finalise the coordination of national green economy strategy with support from EDD, NT, DTI, DST, DPW |
| | Finalisation of the national Climate Change Policy | Policy consulted and Cabinet Approved | Implementation and assess impact | Implementation and assess impact | Implementation and assess impact | Jan-11 | Existing capacity within DEA | Policy options will define the required resources. | DEA |
| Market-based instruments: Carbon Tax by 2011 | Finalisation of Carbon Tax | Instrument consulted and Cabinet Approved | Implementation and assess impact | Implementation and assess impact | Implementation and assess impact | 3/1/14 | Existing capacity within National Treasury | Policy options will define the required resources. | National Treasury |
| Greater localisation of manufacturing: 10% solar, nuclear and wind by 2014; 60% of installed Solar Water Heaters by 2014 | Finalise the Integrated Resource Plan | Complete IRP and cabinet approved | Implement and monitor progress | Implement and monitor progress approved | Implement and monitor progress | 3/1/14 | Existing capacity within Department of Energy | Policy options will define the required resources. | Department of Energy |
| | Implement regulatory instruments to stimulate the EGS sector (for example, requirement for all new geysers to be SWH) | Develop regulations to enhance green industries to support industrial policy objectives and developmental growth path, Develop targets to measure effectiveness of the regulations | Implement regulations and monitor impact on targets | Implement regulations and monitor impact on targets | Implement regulations and monitor impact on targets | 3/1/14 | Existing capacity within Department of Energy, DTI, DPW | Policy options will define the required resources. | Department of Energy, DTI, DPW |
| | Review import duties structure to ensure highest level of local component manufacturing whilst maintaining competitive pricing | Review and assessment completed | Programme to support the growth of local green industries sectors developed and approved | Implement and monitor progress | Implement and monitor progress | 3/1/14 | Existing capacity with DTI | Policy options will define the required resources | DTI |



APPENDIX B: HIGH LEVEL IMPLEMENTATION PLAN BY SUB-OUTPUT (continued)

| TARGET/INDICATOR | ACTIVITIES | MILESTONES/DELIVERABLES | | | | TIME (COMPLETED BY) | RESOURCE REQUIREMENTS | | ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES |
|--|---|---|---|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------|--|--|--|
| | | YEAR 1 | YEAR 2 | YEAR 3 | YEAR 4 | | HUMAN RESOURCES | FINANCIAL RESOURCES | |
| Innovation, science and technology: Calculate % spent on Research, Development & Innovation for green industries development by May 2011; Human Capital Development: Increase number of Master and PhDs in green industries related sectors from current baseline to 20% by 2014; Increase percentage of patents registered in the green industries sectors (waste, water, energy, environmental monitoring & management) from current baseline to 5 % by 2014 | Increase green industries support to stimulate R&D expenditure | Centre of Competence introduced to support green industries, identification and development of plans to address barriers for increased private sector R&D funding, mechanisms to assess progress on R&D expenditure on the annual basis | Centres of Competence fully operational to support green industries, enhanced R&D incentives introduced to particularly support innovation in small enterprises | Implement and monitor progress | Implement and monitor progress | 3/1/14 | Existing capacity within DST | Funded | DST, TIA, NRF |
| Investment, finance opportunities and financing instruments: 4 programmes supported by the clean technology fund to meet renewable energy targets by 2014; R11,7 billion provided by IDC for investment over the next five years in green industries; Assessment of the potential of a green development bond by 2014 | Implement Clean Technology Fund business plan | Finalise support mechanism for 2 projects | Implement projects | | | | | | DEA |
| | Green industries investment | Screening and decision on project support | Implement and monitor progress | Implement and monitor progress | Implement and monitor progress | 3/1/14 | Existing capacity within IDC | Funded | EDD, IDC |
| | | Initiate the study on green development bond potential | | Approved green development bond | Implement and monitor progress | 3/1/14 | Existing capacity within EDD and National Treasury | Policy options will define the required resources. | EDD, National Treasury |
| Job creation and job protection: 5% share of non-public works employment as a percentage of total employment | Engagement with private sector and social partners on green jobs creation and protection potential on the Developmental Path policy package | Identify policy intervention and secure contributions by social partners to green jobs | Implement and monitor progress | Implement and monitor progress | Implement and monitor progress | 3/1/14 | Existing capacity within DTI, EDD, DBSA and IDC | Policy options will define the required resources. | DTI, EDD, DBSA, IDC |
| Industrial Policy Action Plan: 3% share of GDP of the Environmental Goods and Services (EGS) industry by 2014; A higher growth rate in the waste recycling industry to R6,500 million industry in 2014 | Support intervention to stimulate growth | | Implement and monitor progress | Implement and monitor progress | Implement and monitor progress | 3/1/14 | Existing capacity within DTI | Policy options will define the required resources | DTI |
| Expanded Public Works Programme: scale up and expansion of Green Jobs opportunities through EPWP II to 1 156 00 EPWP work opportunities and 325 652 FTEs (Full Time Equivalents) by 2014 | Scale-up environment and culture EPWP | Implement and monitor progress | Implement and monitor progress | Implement and monitor progress | Implement and monitor progress | 3/1/14 | Existing capacity within departments | Additional financial resources required | Department of Public Works, Department of Environmental Affairs; Department of Tourism; Department of Agriculture Forestry and Fisheries; Department of Water Affairs; Department of Arts and Culture; Provinces |



APPENDIX C: PROVINCIAL DELIVERABLES

| TARGET/INDICATOR | ACTIVITIES | MILESTONES/DELIVERABLES | | | | TIME (COMPLETED BY) | RESOURCE REQUIREMENTS | | ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|---------------------|--|---------------------|---|
| | | YEAR 1 | YEAR 2 | YEAR 3 | YEAR 4 | | HUMAN RESOURCES | FINANCIAL RESOURCES | |
| OUTCOME 10: PROTECTED AND ENHANCED ENVIRONMENTAL ASSETS AND NATURAL RESOURCES - KWAZULU-NATAL DEA RD | | | | | | | | | |
| OUTPUT 1: QUALITY AND QUANTITY OF WATER RESOURCES ENHANCED | | | | | | | | | |
| Sub-output 1.1: Water demand | | | | | | | | | |
| Sub-output 1.2: Water resource protection | | | | | | | | | |
| # wetlands under formal protection (4 by 2014) | Identify wetlands of national importance and develop management plan | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 3/1/14 | | | KZN DAERD, water affairs, COGTA, DEA and EKZNW Conservation Authorities – implement plans |
| | Management plans for wetlands of international importance developed | Management plans for five RAMSAR sites develop | Management plans for five RAMSAR sites developed | Assessment of priority wetlands against criteria developed | Develop and implement management plans | 3/1/14 | Managers, Field Rangers and General assistants | R400 000/plan | DEA, Provinces |
| OUTPUT 2: REDUCED GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS, CLIMATE CHANGE & IMPROVED AIR/ATMOSPHERIC QUALITY | | | | | | | | | |
| Sub-output 2.1: Reduced CO2 emission | | | | | | | | | |
| Reduced total emissions of CO2 by 34% reduction of “Business As Usual” by 2020 and 42% by 2025; 80% of government owned monitoring stations reporting to SAAQIS | GHGs identified as “Priority Pollutants” in terms of the Air Quality Act and mitigation plans submitted by end 2013; | | | | | Mar-14 | | | KZN DEARD, DEA, Provinces, Local Government, Water Affairs, National centre for carbon capture, National Energy Efficiency Agency |
| Sub-output 2.2: Atmospheric pollutants | | | | | | | | | |
| 100% country-wide compliance with national Ambient Air Quality Standards by 2020 | Atmospheric pollutants reduced | 100% compliance with ambient air quality standards | 100% compliance with ambient air quality standards | 100% compliance with ambient air quality standards | 100% compliance with ambient air quality standards | Mar-14 | | | KZN DEARD, DEA, Provinces, Local Government, Mineral resources, COGTA, Public Works, DST, SALGA |
| Sub-output 2.4 Identified climate change and adaptation framework | | | | | | | | | |
| Climate change impacts identified and adaptation frameworks integrated into 12 national sectoral plans by 2012 | Climate change adaptation plans rolled out to provincial and municipal sphere of government; | | 10 sectors by 2012 | | | Mar-14 | | | DEA, KZN DEARD, Provinces, Local Government, Water Affairs, National centre for carbon capture, National Energy Efficiency Agency |
| OUTPUT 3: SUSTAINABLE ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT | | | | | | | | | |
| Sub-output 3.1 Degraded ecosystems rehabilitated & restored | | | | | | | | | |
| 3.2 mha by 2014 of land rehabilitated and 160 rural development sites by 2014 | Degraded ecosystems rehabilitated & restored | 100 000 ha | 100 000 ha | 100 000 ha | 100 000 ha | Mar-14 | | | DAERD |



APPENDIX C: PROVINCIAL DELIVERABLES (continued)

| TARGET/INDICATOR | ACTIVITIES | MILESTONES/DELIVERABLES | | | | TIME (COMPLETED BY) | RESOURCE REQUIREMENTS | | ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES |
|--|--|--|---|---|---|---------------------|--|--|--|
| | | YEAR 1 | YEAR 2 | YEAR 3 | YEAR 4 | | HUMAN RESOURCES | FINANCIAL RESOURCES | |
| Sub-output 3.3 Less waste that is better managed | | | | | | | | | |
| 80% by 2015 of permitted landfill sites | Financing mechanism: Review of fiscal mechanism for the funding of waste services | | | | 80% | Mar-14 | | | National Treasury, COGTA, Provinces, Local Government, DEA |
| % of municipal waste diverted from landfills for recycling | Capacity building for municipalities | | 25% | | | Mar-14 | | | DEARD, COGTA, SALGA, Municipalities |
| Sub-output 3.5 Sustainable land use management | | | | | | | | | |
| Environmental Management Framework/Strategic Environmental Assessment/other strategic environmental planning tools | Environmental Management Framework/Strategic Environmental Assessment/ other strategic environmental planning projects initiated | (1) Finalise EMF/SEA strategy to prioritise municipalities for which EMF/SEA would be under by environmental authority. (2) Initiate EMFs/ SEAs for 4 municipalities | (1) Initiate EMFs/SEAs for 4 municipalities in accordance with strategy | (1) Initiate EMFs/SEAs for 4 municipalities in accordance with strategy | (1) Initiate EMFs/SEAs for 4 municipalities in accordance with strategy | Annually | | R4 000 000 per annum | (1) DEA & provinces – manage EMF/SEA process & manage MOUs with Municipalities. (2) Municipalities: Participate in EMF/SEA process |
| | Environmental Management Framework/Strategic Environmental Assessment/ other strategic environmental planning projects finalised/ approved by MEC/Minister and relevant Mayor(s) | Finalise EMFs initiated for 4 municipalities | Finalise EMFs initiated for 4 municipalities | Finalise EMFs initiated for 4 municipalities | Finalise EMFs initiated for 4 municipalities | Annually | | R4 000 000 per annum | (1) DEA & provinces – initiate EMFs & enter into MOUs with Municipalities. (2) Municipalities: Participate in EMF/ SEA process |
| OUTPUT 4: BIODIVERSITY PROTECTED | | | | | | | | | |
| Sub-output 4.1 % Land mass under conservation | | | | | | | | | |
| 9% Land mass under conservation by 2014 | Declaration of priority areas for expansion of protected areas network (Provincial) – Including biodiversity stewardship | 10 000 ha per province | 10 000ha per province | 10 000 ha per province | 10 000ha per province | Mar-14 | Scientists, scenario planning and modeling experts, contract managers, GIS experts | Provincial Environmental Departments and agencies to determine budgetary allocations | Provincial environmental departments and agencies to identify, acquire and declare priority areas for expansion |
| | Declaration of two botanical gardens | Potential site in Eastern Cape identified | Acquisition and proclamation | Potential site in Limpopo identified | Acquisition and proclamation | Mar-14 | GIS Specialists, Scientists | Provincial Environmental Departments and agencies to determine budgetary allocations | SANBI-Identification and acquisition of the land. *DEA Proclamation of the land |



APPENDIX C: PROVINCIAL DELIVERABLES (continued)

| TARGET/INDICATOR | ACTIVITIES | MILESTONES/DELIVERABLES | | | | TIME (COMPLETED BY) | RESOURCE REQUIREMENTS | | ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|---------------------|--|--|---|
| | | YEAR 1 | YEAR 2 | YEAR 3 | YEAR 4 | | HUMAN RESOURCES | FINANCIAL RESOURCES | |
| Minimum 20% of estuaries with full protection/partial protection by 2015 | | | | | | | | | SANBI, DEA, DAFF, DWA, Provincial conservation authorities and Local Government |
| Sub-output 4.4 Valuing the ecosystem services | | | | | | | | | |
| Environmental costs related to the provision of resource-based services (a) Number of tools developed for the economic valuing of biodiversity and ecosystem services | Quantify the economic value of biodiversity and ecosystem services. | | Initiate process to develop system | Develop and consult | Consultation and finalisation of system | 3/1/14 | Resource economist; Ecologists; Scientists; Economists | R500 000 per annum | DEA, SANBI, Conservation authorities, National Treasury |
| | Promote incentives for conservation and improved ecosystem protection. | Initiate process | Finalise making the case for The value of biodiversity | Roll out | Roll out | 3/1/14 | Resource economist; Ecologists; Scientists; Economists; | R250 000 per annum | DEA, SANBI, Conservation authorities, National Treasury |
| OUTCOME 10: PROTECTED AND ENHANCED ENVIRONMENTAL ASSETS AND NATURAL RESOURCES - FREE STATE DETEEA | | | | | | | | | |
| OUTPUT 4: BIODIVERSITY | | | | | | | | | |
| Sub-output 4.1 % Land mass under conservation | | | | | | | | | |
| 9% Land mass under conservation by 2014 | Nomination and proclamation of World Heritage Sites (WHS) | Proclamation of the Vredefort Dome WHS | | | Development of a management Plan | Mar-14 | | | FS DETEEA, DEA |
| | | 99 000 | 99 000 | Free State – 99 000 | Free State – 99 000 | Mar-14 | Scientists, scenario planning and modeling experts, contract managers, GIS experts | | Provincial environmental departments and agencies to identify, acquire and declare priority areas for expansion |
| | Declaration of priority areas for expansion of protected areas network (Provincial) – Including biodiversity stewardship | 10 000 ha per province | 10 000ha per province | 10 000 ha per province | 10 000ha per province | Mar-14 | Scientists, scenario planning and modeling experts, contract managers, GIS experts | Provincial Environmental Departments and agencies to determine budgetary allocations | Provincial environmental departments and agencies to identify, acquire and declare priority areas for expansion |
| | Declaration of two botanical gardens | Potential site in Eastern Cape identified | Acquisition and proclamation | Potential site in Limpopo identified | Acquisition and proclamation | Mar-14 | GIS Specialists, Scientists | Provincial Environmental Departments and agencies to determine budgetary allocations | FS DETEEA SANBI-Identification and acquisition of the land. *DEA Proclamation of the land |
| | Improvement in management effectiveness for protected areas | 50% of protected areas with 68% management effectiveness | 60% of protected areas with 68% management effectiveness | 70% of protected areas with 68% management effectiveness | 80% of protected areas with 68% management effectiveness | Mar-14 | Managers, scientists, social ecologists, planners | Partially funded | Management authorities – develop management plans, manage, assess effectiveness. DEA monitor. FS DETEEA |



APPENDIX C: PROVINCIAL DELIVERABLES (continued)

| TARGET/INDICATOR | ACTIVITIES | MILESTONES/DELIVERABLES | | | | TIME (COMPLETED BY) | RESOURCE REQUIREMENTS | | ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES |
|--|---|--|--|---|---|---------------------|---|---|---|
| | | YEAR 1 | YEAR 2 | YEAR 3 | YEAR 4 | | HUMAN RESOURCES | FINANCIAL RESOURCES | |
| | Implement the Biodiversity Stewardship Programme to contribute to the land mass under conservation in the form of Nature reserves and Protected Environment | | | | | | | | |
| Sub-output 4.2 Reduced climate change impacts on biodiversity | | | | | | | | | |
| 9 major biomes climate change adaptation frameworks developed | Identification of climate change impacts on marine and terrestrial biodiversity and development of adaptation plans | Framework document for biodiversity and climate change completed | Vulnerability assessment for the nine biomes completed | Response measures for the nine biomes identified. | Climate change adaptation plans for nine biomes completed | March 2014 | Scientists, scenario planning and modeling | | DEA |
| | Framework for biodiversity and climate change response completed | Vulnerability assessment for the 9 biomes completed | Response measures for 9 biomes identified | Climate change adaptation plans for 9 biomes completed | 14-Mar | | Scientist, scenario planners & modelling and conservation experts | Unfunded | SANBI – scientific inputs, Provinces – implementation, DEA – co-ordination |
| Sub-output 4.3 Protected ecosystems and species | | | | | | | | | |
| Increasing number of species under formal protection | Developing Biodiversity management plans for species | 2 species management plans developed | 2 species management plans developed | 2 species management plans developed | 2 species management plans developed | Mar-14 | Species specialists | Funded | DEA – evaluation & approval, SANBI – scientific authority |
| | Amending TOPS lists based on specific criteria | Criteria for listing developed | Draft list compiled and published for public comment | List finalised and published | Amend Regulations to ensure protection of listed species | Mar-14 | Species specialists; Scientists; Taxonomists; Conservation specialists; ecologist | Funded | DEA – Development of lists & regulations, SANBI – scientific criteria & listing, Provinces – implementation |
| | Develop and implement regulations and tools to prevent, control or eradicate Alien and Invasive Species | Alien and Invasive Species regulations finalised | Alien and Invasive Species Risk Assessment Framework finalised; Draft Guidelines for the preparation of monitoring and control plan for alien and invasive species | Draft national Strategy and Action Plan for alien and invasive species published for public participation; Guidelines for the preparation of monitoring and control plans implemented | National Strategy and Action Plan for alien and invasive species finalised and approved; Development of monitoring and control plans by organs of state initiated; Development of species management programmes initiated | Mar-14 | AIS specialists; Risk assessment specialists; EMIs | Current funding for implementation inadequate | DEA – Development of strategy & regulations, SANBI – scientific criteria & listing, DWA DAFF & Provinces – implementation |
| | Habitat loss reduced through protection of threatened or protected ecosystems | Criteria for listing of aquatic ecosystems developed | List of threatened or protected ecosystems | Threatening processes/ activities in ecosystems identified and regulated in terms of NEMA | Enforcement of NEMA | 3/1/14 | Ecosystem specialists – especially in terms of the functioning of ecosystems (dynamics of ecosystems) and threatening processes | Funded | SANBI – scientific authority, DEA & Provinces – implementation & NEMA enforcement |



APPENDIX C: PROVINCIAL DELIVERABLES (continued)

| TARGET/INDICATOR | ACTIVITIES | MILESTONES/DELIVERABLES | | | | TIME (COMPLETED BY) | RESOURCE REQUIREMENTS | | ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|---------------------|---|---------------------------------|---|---|
| | | YEAR 1 | YEAR 2 | YEAR 3 | YEAR 4 | | HUMAN RESOURCES | FINANCIAL RESOURCES | | |
| 5 wetlands per year of national and international importance with management plans in place | Criteria for listing of wetlands developed | Assessment of priority wetlands against criteria developed | Assessment of priority wetlands against criteria developed | Develop and implement management plans | | 3/1/14 | Scientists, planners, conservation manager | Funded | DEA, SANBI, Working for Wetlands, FS DETEEA, Conservation Authorities – implement plans | |
| | Management plans for wetlands of international importance developed | Management plans for five (5) RAMSAR sites developed | Management plans for five (5) RAMSAR sites developed | Management plans for five (5) RAMSAR sites developed | Management plans for five (5) RAMSAR sites developed | Mar-14 | Wetlands Specialists | R400 000 per annum | DEA, Conservation Authorities | |
| | Management plans for five RAMSAR sites developed | Management plans for five RAMSAR sites developed | Management plans for five RAMSAR sites develop | Assessment of priority wetlands against criteria developed | Develop and implement management plans | 3/1/14 | | Funded | Management authorities – develop & implement plans, DEA – oversight | |
| Minimum 20% of estuaries with full protection/partial protection by 2015 | | | | | | | | | SANBI, DEA, DAFF, DWA, Provincial conservation authorities and Local Government | |
| Sub-output 4.4 Valuing the ecosystem services | | | | | | | | | | |
| Environmental costs related to the provision of resource-based services (a)Number of tools developed for the economic valuing of biodiversity and ecosystem services | Baseline for biodiversity & ecosystem services determined | Feasibility study conducted | Stakeholder consultation | Valuation completed | | 3/1/14 | Scientists, resource economists, project manager | Funded | DEA & SANBI – conduct valuation | DEA, SANBI, Conservation authorities, National Treasury |
| | Promote incentives for conservation and improved ecosystem protection | Initiate process | Finalise making the case for the value of biodiversity | Roll out | Roll out | 3/1/14 | Resource economist; Ecologists; Scientists; Economists; Media & marketing | R250 000 per annum | DEA, SANBI, Conservation authorities, National Treasury | |
| | Make a business case for biodiversity | Development of communication strategy and messages initiated | Business case for biodiversity finalised | Rollout implementation | Rollout implementation | 14-Mar | Resource economists, scientists, media & marketing professionals | Rollout Implementation unfunded | DEA & SANBI – development, Provinces – implementation | |
| OUTCOME 10: PROTECTED AND ENHANCED ENVIRONMENTAL ASSETS AND NATURAL RESOURCES - WESTERN CAPE DEADP | | | | | | | | | | |
| OUTPUT 1.:QUALITY AND QUANTITY OF WATER RESOURCES ENHANCED | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sub-output 1.2 Water resource protection | | | | | | | | | | |
| # wetlands under formal protection | Management plans for wetlands of international importance developed | Management plans for one RAMSAR sites developed. | Management plans for one RAMSAR sites developed. | Management plans for one RAMSAR sites developed. | | 3/31/14 | Funded | Funded | CapeNature (Western Cape); Sanbi to implement wetland rehabilitation projects | |



APPENDIX C: PROVINCIAL DELIVERABLES (continued)

| TARGET/INDICATOR | ACTIVITIES | MILESTONES/DELIVERABLES | | | | TIME (COMPLETED BY) | RESOURCE REQUIREMENTS | | ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES |
|---|---|--|---|---|--|------------------------|--|---------------------------|---|
| | | YEAR 1 | YEAR 2 | YEAR 3 | YEAR 4 | | HUMAN RESOURCES | FINANCIAL RESOURCES | |
| OUTPUT 2: REDUCED GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS, CLIMATE CHANGE & IMPROVED AIR/ATMOSPHERIC QUALITY | | | | | | | | | |
| Sub-output 2.1: Reduced CO2 emission | | | | | | | | | |
| Reduced total emissions of CO2 by 34% reduction of "Business As Usual" by 2020 and 42% by 2025; 80% of government owned monitoring stations reporting to SAAQIS | The South African Air Quality Information System (SAAQIS) phase II completed by mid-2012; | Report all ambient air quality data to SAAQIS | Report all ambient air quality data to SAAQIS | Report all ambient air quality data to SAAQIS | Report all ambient air quality data to SAAQIS | Monday, March 31, 2014 | CEO Grade B x 1 Admin Officer x 1 | No cost | DEA&DP Western Cape (Dir. Pollution Management) |
| | GHGs identified as "Priority Pollutants" in terms of the Air Quality Act and mitigation plans submitted by end 2013; | Initiate development of GHG inventory | Complete the development of GHG inventory | Maintain GHG inventory | Maintain GHG inventory | Monday, March 31, 2014 | CEO Grade B x 1 CEO Grade A x 3 CEO Grade A-C x 3 | 750 000 | DEA&DP Western Cape (Dir. Pollution Management) |
| Sub-output 2.2: Atmospheric pollutants | | | | | | | | | |
| 100% country-wide compliance with national Ambient Air Quality Standards by 2020 | | Develop publish and distribute report on State of Air Quality in the Western Cape | Develop publish and distribute report on State of Air Quality in the Western Cape | Develop publish and distribute report on State of Air Quality in the Western Cape Investigate, identify and implement alternative forms of heating and cooking for informal areas, pending donor funding arrangements | Develop publish and distribute report on State of Air Quality in the Western Cape investigate, identify and implement alternative forms of heating and cooking for informal areas, pending donor funding arrangements. | Monday, March 31, 2014 | Director X1 CEO Grade B x 1 CEO Grade A x 3 CEO Grade A-C x 3 Service provider | 1 850 000 | DEA&DP Western Cape (Dir. Pollution Management) |
| | The development and rollout of a strategy to address air pollution in dense, low-income communities, including air pollution from the burning of dirty fuels (eg coal, paraffin and wood) | Investigate and report on a strategy to facilitate mass roll-out of solar geysers in the Western Cape. | Publicise and facilitate strategy on mass roll-out of solar water heater systems. | Implement Strategy | | 2012/2013 | Internal staff | Budgeted funding per year | DEA&DP Western Cape (Dir. Climate Change) |
| | The review, revision and implementation of the National Vehicle Emission Control Strategy | | | develop a methodology vehicle emission testing at roadsides and weighbridges initiate the process of setting standards and developing regulations and methodologies for emissions testing of all other modes of transport | develop a methodology on vehicle emission testing at roadsides and weighbridge continue with the process of setting standards and developing regulations and methodologies for emissions testing of all other modes of transport | Monday, March 31, 2014 | CEO Grade B x 2 CEO Grade A x 2 EO Grade A-C x 3 Service provider | 620 000 | DEA&DP Western Cape (Dir. Pollution Management) |



APPENDIX C: PROVINCIAL DELIVERABLES (continued)

| TARGET/INDICATOR | ACTIVITIES | MILESTONES/DELIVERABLES | | | | TIME (COMPLETED BY) | RESOURCE REQUIREMENTS | | ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES |
|------------------|--|---|---|---|---|------------------------|--|---------------------|---|
| | | YEAR 1 | YEAR 2 | YEAR 3 | YEAR 4 | | HUMAN RESOURCES | FINANCIAL RESOURCES | |
| | The efficient and effective implementation of the new Atmospheric Emission Licensing system by the new Licensing Authorities (Provinces; Metros and District Municipalities) | conduct 1 capacity building workshop with municipalities with regards to Atmospheric Emission licensing | conduct 1 capacity building workshop with municipalities with regards to Atmospheric Emission licensing | conduct 1 capacity building workshop with municipalities with regards to Atmospheric Emission licensing | conduct 1 capacity building workshop with municipalities with regards to Atmospheric Emission licensing | Monday, March 31, 2014 | CEO Grade B x 4 Admin Officer x1 | 70 000 | DEA&DP Western Cape (Dir. Pollution Management) |
| | Growing and developing the National Ambient Air Quality Monitoring Network and the South African Air Quality Information System (SAAQIS) | Monitor ambient air quality at 6 locations within the province | monitor ambient air quality at 7 locations within the province | monitor ambient air quality at 9 locations within the province | monitor ambient air quality at 11 locations within the province | 31 March 2010 | CEO Grade B x 2 CEO Grade A x 2 EO Grade A-C x 3 | 21 100 000 | DEA&DP Western Cape (Dir. Pollution Management) |
| | | | initiate the development of an air quality laboratory | establish an air quality laboratory | operate and maintain an air quality laboratory | | | | |
| | | | monitor ambient air quality at 7 locations within the province | monitor ambient air quality at 9 locations within the province | monitor ambient air quality at 11 locations within the province | | | | |
| | | | initiate the development of an air quality laboratory | establish an air quality laboratory | operate and maintain an air quality laboratory | | | | |
| | | | monitor ambient air quality at 7 locations within the province | monitor ambient air quality at 9 locations within the province | monitor ambient air quality at 11 locations within the province | | | | |
| | | | initiate the development of an air quality laboratory | establish an air quality laboratory | operate and maintain an air quality laboratory | | | | |
| | | | monitor ambient air quality at 7 locations within the province | monitor ambient air quality at 9 locations within the province | monitor ambient air quality at 11 locations within the province | | | | |
| | | | initiate the development of an air quality laboratory | establish an air quality laboratory | operate and maintain an air quality laboratory | | | | |
| | | | monitor ambient air quality at 7 locations within the province | monitor ambient air quality at 9 locations within the province | monitor ambient air quality at 11 locations within the province | | | | |



APPENDIX C: PROVINCIAL DELIVERABLES (continued)

| TARGET/INDICATOR | ACTIVITIES | MILESTONES/DELIVERABLES | | | | TIME (COMPLETED BY) | RESOURCE REQUIREMENTS | | ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES |
|--|---|---|---|--|--|------------------------|---|---------------------------|---|
| | | YEAR 1 | YEAR 2 | YEAR 3 | YEAR 4 | | HUMAN RESOURCES | FINANCIAL RESOURCES | |
| | Growing and developing the National Ambient Air Quality Monitoring Network and the South African Air Quality Information System (SAAQIS) | Monitor ambient air quality at 6 locations within the province | monitor ambient air quality at 7 locations within the province | monitor ambient air quality at 9 locations within the province | monitor ambient air quality at 11 locations within the province | 31 March 2010 | CEO Grade B x 2 CEO Grade A x 2 EO Grade A-C x 3 | 21 100 000 | DEA&DP Western Cape (Dir. Pollution Management) |
| | The development and rollout of strategies and action plans to address air pollution from non-industrial and/or non-point sources (eg veld fires, construction activities, un-surfaced haul roads, etc.) | | initiate the development of an air quality laboratory | establish an air quality laboratory | operate and maintain an air quality laboratory | Monday, March 31, 2014 | CEO Grade B x 1 CEO Grade A x 3 EO Grade A-C x 3 | 150 000 | DEA&DP Western Cape (Dir. Pollution Management) |
| 2.4 Identified climate change and adaptation framework | | | | | | | | | |
| Climate change impacts identified and adaptation frameworks integrated into 12 national sectoral plans by 2012 | Climate change adaptation plans rolled out to provincial and municipal sphere of government; | | Further roll out of "2Precious2Pollute" programme: Reduce ozone depleting substances, greenhouse gases and its associated impacts | Explore climate change co-benefits in Air Quality Management Further roll out of "2Precious2Pollute" programme: Reduce ozone depleting substances, greenhouse gases and its associated impacts | Explore climate change co-benefits in Air Quality Management Further roll out of "2Precious2Pollute" programme: Reduce ozone depleting substances, greenhouse gases and its associated impacts | Monday, March 31, 2014 | Director x 1 CEO Grade B x 2 CEO Grade A x 3 EO Grade A-C x 3 | 4 800 000 | DEA&DP Western Cape (Dir. Pollution Management) |
| | | Undertake annual monitoring of climate change response and refine climate change M&E systems. | Undertake annual monitoring of climate change response | | | | Internal staff | Budgeted funding per year | DEA&DP Western Cape (Dir. Climate Change) |
| | | Sea level rise scenario project for West Coast District Coast | Sea level rise scenario project for Agulhas Coast | Sea level rise scenario project for West Coast District Coast | Sea level rise scenario project for Agulhas Coast | | | | |
| | | Climate change awareness and education | | Climate change awareness and education | | | | | |



APPENDIX C: PROVINCIAL DELIVERABLES (continued)

| TARGET/INDICATOR | ACTIVITIES | MILESTONES/DELIVERABLES | | | | TIME (COMPLETED BY) | RESOURCE REQUIREMENTS | | ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES |
|---|---|---|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| | | YEAR 1 | YEAR 2 | YEAR 3 | YEAR 4 | | HUMAN RESOURCES | FINANCIAL RESOURCES | |
| OUTPUT 3: SUSTAINABLE ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT | | | | | | | | | |
| Sub-output 3.1 Degraded ecosystems rehabilitated & restored | | | | | | | | | |
| 3.2 mha by 2014 of land rehabilitated and 160 rural development sites by 2014 | Number of hectares cleared of invasive alien vegetation according to approved Working for Water Annual Plan of Operations. | Initial cleared: 40 000 hectares Follow-up cleared: 98 000 hectares | Initial cleared: 40 000 hectares Follow-up cleared: 98 000 hectares | Initial cleared: 40 000 hectares Follow-up cleared: 98 000 hectares | Initial cleared: 40 000 hectares Follow-up cleared: 98 000 hectares | 31/03/2014 | Programme Manager, Small Business Development, Manager Project Managers and Quality Controllers. | DWA – Working for Water funds R20M annually. | DWA – Working for Water – CapeNature (Western Cape) implementing Agent |
| Sub-output 3.2 Deforestation & improved forest management | | | | | | | | | |
| Net deforestation not by more than 5 woodlands by 2020 | Develop plan to ensure environmental issues are integrated into land use planning and incorporated into national, provincial and municipal plans. | Develop credible Spatial Development Frameworks (SDFs). | Develop credible Spatial Development Frameworks (SDFs). | Develop credible Spatial Development Frameworks (SDFs). | Develop credible Spatial Development Frameworks (SDFs). | Continuous | Internal staff | Budgeted funding per year | DEA&DP WC – Dir. Spatial Development |
| | | Evaluation of IDP's of municipalities. | Evaluation of IDP's of municipalities. | Evaluation of IDP's of municipalities | Evaluation of IDP's of municipalities | Evaluation of IDP's of municipalities. | | | DEA&DP Western Cape (Dir. Climate Change) |
| Sub-output 3.3 Less waste that is better managed | | | | | | | | | |
| 75% house holds with basic waste collection by 2014 | Full cost accounting by municipalities | | Facilitate Municipal IWMPs | Assess 2nd generation municipal IWMPs that includes Solid Waste Management budgets | | Assessment reports – March 2013 | 3 staff members in Waste Management Planning component of D: Waste Management | Compensation of 3 staff members | COGTA, Local government & DEA&DP Western Cape (Dir. Waste Management) |
| 80% by 2015 of permitted landfill sites | Financing mechanism: Review of fiscal mechanism for the funding of waste services | | Licensing Plan developed for Waste Management Sites | | | | | | DEA&DP Western Cape (Dir. Waste Management) |
| 25% by 2012 municipal waste diverted from landfills for recycling | Analysis of institutional within local governments | | Assess and draft assessment report on 2nd generation municipal IWMPs | Assess and draft assessment report on 2nd generation municipal IWMPs | Compile Monitoring & Evaluation (M&E)/Annual Performance report on implementation of 2nd generation IWMPs | Assessment reports – March 2013; M&E (Annual Performance) Report – June 2013 | 3 staff members in Waste Management Planning component in D: Waste Management | Compensation of 3 staff members | COGTA, Local government & DEA&DP Cape (Dir. Waste Management) |
| | Capacity building for municipalities | Capacity building sessions: 4 x Integrated Waste Management Forums (IWMF) with municipalities; 3 x Landfill Operators Training Workshops; 3 x Monitoring & Evaluation Training; 1 x Waste | Capacity building sessions: 2 IWMP Workshops; 4 x I WMFs; 1 x Landfill Airspace Assessment Training; 1 x Health Care Waste Management (HCWM) Training | Capacity Building sessions: 4 x IWMF; 1 x Licensing | | Annually | W. Cape DEA&DP Directorate Waste Management | As per MTEF | DEA&DP Western Cape (Dir. Waste Management) |



APPENDIX C: PROVINCIAL DELIVERABLES (continued)

| TARGET/INDICATOR | ACTIVITIES | MILESTONES/DELIVERABLES | | | | TIME (COMPLETED BY) | RESOURCE REQUIREMENTS | | ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES |
|--|--|--|--|---|---|---------------------|--|--|---|
| | | YEAR 1 | YEAR 2 | YEAR 3 | YEAR 4 | | HUMAN RESOURCES | FINANCIAL RESOURCES | |
| | Targets for waste minimisation and standards set by end 2010 | Capacity building sessions: 4 x Integrated Waste Management Forums (IWMF) with municipalities; 3 x Landfill Operators Training Workshops; 3 x Monitoring & Evaluation Training; 1 x Waste Information Training; 4 x Waste Act Training | Capacity building sessions: 2 IWMP Workshops; 4 x IWMFs; 1 x Landfill Airspace Assessment Training; 1 x Health Care Waste Management (HCWM) Training | Capacity Building sessions: 4 x IWMF; 1 x Licensing | | Annually | W. Cape DEA&DP Directorate Waste Management | As per MTEF | DEA DEA&DP Western Cape (Dir. Waste Management) |
| | Enhance energy potential of waste by harnessing gasses from landfill sites for energy generation | Without the necessary funding to conduct feasibility studies, DEA&DP cannot contribute towards this indicator. DEA&DP can however report on the total license applications received | | | | | | | DEA&DP Western Cape (Dir. Waste Management) |
| OUTPUT 4: BIODIVERSITY PROTECTED | | | | | | | | | |
| Sub-output 4.1 % Land mass under conservation | | | | | | | | | |
| 9% Land mass under conservation by 2014 | Declaration of priority areas for expansion of protected areas network (Provincial) – Including biodiversity stewardship | The maintenance of current 57 conservation stewardship sites (Contract Nature Reserves and Biodiversity Agreements) and 14 new stewardship sites. | The maintenance of current 71 conservation stewardship sites and 14 new stewardship sites. | The maintenance of current 85 conservation stewardship sites. | The maintenance of current 85 conservation stewardship sites. | 3/31/14 | The Biodiversity Stewardship Programme Manager and part-time secretary and the a portion of the time of the Corridor Programme manager contribute towards the Human Resources. Operational staff involved in Biodiversity – Stewardship in CapeNature (excluding the law and scientific support staff) amount to four staff members as full-time Stewardship negotiators, two contractual negotiators and another ten working on Stewardship in varying percentages of their full-time positions | These are the Salaries and Operational funding of the Biodiversity Stewardship and partly the Corridor Programmes. To continue negotiating new Stewardship sites beyond 2012 CapeNature needs R16 000 000 for additional negotiating and maintenance staff. All of this is outlined in the CapeNature Protected Area Expansion Strategy. | CapeNature (Western Cape) |



APPENDIX C: PROVINCIAL DELIVERABLES (continued)

| TARGET/INDICATOR | ACTIVITIES | MILESTONES/DELIVERABLES | | | | TIME (COMPLETED BY) | RESOURCE REQUIREMENTS | | ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES |
|--|---|--|---|---|---|---|--|--------------------------------|---|
| | | YEAR 1 | YEAR 2 | YEAR 3 | YEAR 4 | | HUMAN RESOURCES | FINANCIAL RESOURCES | |
| 5 wetlands per year of national and international importance with management plans in place | Management plans for wetlands of international importance developed. | Management plans for one RAMSAR sites developed. | Management plans for one RAMSAR sites developed. | Management plans for one RAMSAR sites developed. | | 3/31/14 | Funded | Funded | CapeNature (Western Cape) |
| CROSS-CUTTING SUB-OUTPUTS | | | | | | | | | |
| Sub-output 1: Environmental legislation compliance and enforcement | | | | | | | | | |
| | 150 Environmental Management Inspectorate designated by 2011 | Conduct training of Environmental Management Inspectors | Ten registered Environmental Management Inspectors in CapeNature. | Ten registered Environmental Management Inspectors in CapeNature. | Ten registered Environmental Management Inspectors in CapeNature. | Ten registered Environmental Management Inspectors in CapeNature. | 3/31/14 | | R20 000 per participant CapeNature does not currently have funding available. |
| Sub-output 2: Environmental Sustainability | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1 156 00 EPWP work opportunities and 325 652 FTEs (Full Time Equivalents) by 2014 | Number of person days work created through a range of projects (n) | A total of 262 500 person days work created per year. | A total of 200 000 person days work created per year. | A total of 200 000 person days work created per year. | A total of 200 000 person days work created per year. | 3/31/14 | Internal Staff | DWA, SANBI, DEADP |
| OUTCOME 4: DECENT EMPLOYMENT THROUGH INCLUSIVE ECONOMIC GROWTH | | | | | | | | | |
| OUTPUT 2 : MORE LABOUR ABSORBING GROWTH | | | | | | | | | |
| Sub-output 5: GREEN ECONOMY | | | | | | | | | |
| Expanded Public Works Programme: scale up and expansion of Green Jobs opportunities through EPWP II to 1 156 00 EPWP work opportunities and 325 652 FTEs (Full Time Equivalents) by 2014 | Implement environment sector programmes in Outcome 10: output 1, output 2, output 3, output 4 and cross-cutting | Participate in National programmes to implement EPWP. | | | | | | | DEA&DP (WC) |
| OUTCOME 10: PROTECTED AND ENHANCED ENVIRONMENTAL ASSETS AND NATURAL RESOURCES - MPUMALANGA ARD&LA | | | | | | | | | |
| OUTPUT 1: QUALITY AND QUANTITY OF WATER RESOURCES ENHANCED | | | | | | | | | |
| Sub-output 1.2 Water resource protection | | | | | | | | | |
| # number of wetlands rehabilitated per year (100 per year) | Implementation of working for wetlands programme through the rehabilitation of priority wetlands, development of rehabilitation plans | 75 wetlands rehabilitated | 85 wetlands rehabilitated | 100 wetlands rehabilitated | 120 wetlands rehabilitated | Mar-14 | Rehabilitation teams in provinces, scientists and Project Managers | Estimated R70million per annum | SANBI, DEA AND LOCAL MUNICIPALITIES |
| # wetlands under formal protection (4 by 2014) | Identify wetlands of national importance and develop management plan | Criteria for listing of wetlands developed | Assessment of priority wetlands against criteria developed | Assessment of priority wetlands against criteria developed | Develop and implement management plans | 3/1/14 | Scientists, planners, conservation manager | R500 000/a | Conservation Authorities – implement plans |



APPENDIX C: PROVINCIAL DELIVERABLES (continued)

| TARGET/INDICATOR | ACTIVITIES | MILESTONES/DELIVERABLES | | | | TIME (COMPLETED BY) | RESOURCE REQUIREMENTS | | ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES |
|--|---|---|--|---|---|---------------------|---|---|---|
| | | YEAR 1 | YEAR 2 | YEAR 3 | YEAR 4 | | HUMAN RESOURCES | FINANCIAL RESOURCES | |
| | Management plans for wetlands of international importance developed | Management plans for five RAMSAR sites developed | Management plans for five RAMSAR sites develop | Assessment of priority wetlands against criteria developed | Develop and implement management plans | 3/1/14 | | R400 000/plan | DEA, Provinces |
| | Construction of gabions to control water flow flow and soil erosion | 2 Wetlands rehabilitated, 2 dongas prevented from expanding, | 2 Wetlands rehabilitated. 2 dongas prevented from expanding, | 1 wetland rehabilitated | 2 wetlands rehabilitated, | 9/1/13 | No additional human resources required | Additional financial resources required | DEA to appoint project implementers and monitor their performance |
| 16 major rivers with healthy ecosystem by 2014 | Eco-classification of water resource systems | 1 major river system meeting resource quality objectives | 1 major river system meeting resource quality objectives | 1 major river system meeting resource quality objectives | 1 major river system meeting resource quality objectives | 3/1/14 | | | DWA, DEA |
| OUTPUT 2: REDUCED GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS, CLIMATE CHANGE & IMPROVED AIR/ATMOSPHERIC QUALITY | | | | | | | | | |
| Sub-output 2.1: Reduced CO2 emission | | | | | | | | | |
| Reduction of pollutants | The South African Air Quality Information System (SAAQIS) phase II completed by mid-2012; | 20% of government owned monitoring stations reporting to SAAQIS by 2014 | | 60% of government owned monitoring stations reporting to SAAQIS by 2014 | 80% of government owned monitoring stations reporting to SAAQIS by 2014 | Mar-14 | As per proposed structure (MPDEDET: Environmental Services) | Funding of proposed structure | DEA, MPDEDET |
| | The efficient and effective identification, development and implementation of Air Quality Management Plans for National Priority Areas (Highveld). | 1 Highveld Priority AQMP developed | Highveld Priority AQMP's implemented | Provincial AQMP Developed | 1 Provincial AQMP Implemented | 3/1/14 | As per proposed structure (MPDEDET: Environmental Services) | Funding of proposed structure | DEA, MPDEDET |
| Sub-output 2.4 Identified climate change and adaptation framework | | | | | | | | | |
| Climate change impacts identified and adaptation frameworks integrated into 12 national sectoral plans by 2012 | *Climate adaptation sectors plans in place by 2012; Climate change adaptation plans rolled out to municipal sphere of government; | Draft Discussion document on Climate Change Response Strategy compiled | climate change mitigation strategy | Climate Change Response Strategy developed | Climate Change Response Strategy Implemented | Mar-14 | As per proposed structure (MPDEDET: Environmental Services) | Additional financial resources required | DEA, MPDEDET |
| Sub-output 3.3 Less waste that is better managed | | | | | | | | | |
| 80% by 2014 of permitted landfill sites | Capacity building for municipalities. | 20% by 2011 of permitted landfill sites | 40% by 2011 of permitted landfill sites | 60% by 2011 of permitted landfill sites | 80% by 2011 of permitted landfill sites | Mar-14 | As per proposed structure (MPDEDET: Environmental Services) | Funding of proposed structure | National Treasury, COGTA, Provinces, Local Government, DEA |



APPENDIX C: PROVINCIAL DELIVERABLES (continued)

| TARGET/INDICATOR | ACTIVITIES | MILESTONES/DELIVERABLES | | | | TIME (COMPLETED BY) | RESOURCE REQUIREMENTS | | ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES |
|---|--|---|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------|---|--|--|
| | | YEAR 1 | YEAR 2 | YEAR 3 | YEAR 4 | | HUMAN RESOURCES | FINANCIAL RESOURCES | |
| 25% by 2012 municipal waste diverted from landfills for recycling | Targets for waste minimisation and standards set by end 2012 Enhance energy potential of waste by harnessing gasses from landfill sites for energy generation | 5% | 5% | 5% | 5% | Mar-14 | As per proposed structure (MPDEDET: Environmental Services) | Funding of proposed structure | COGTA, Local government |
| Sub-output 3.5 Sustainable land use management | | | | | | | | | |
| Land use management is guided by EIAs, EMFs and SDF | Strengthen sustainability principles in land-use planning and growth as well as development plans at all levels | 300 EIAs Evaluated and Authorised | 600 EIAs Evaluated and Authorised | 900 EIAs Evaluated and Authorised | 1200 EIAs Evaluated and Authorised | Mar-14 | As per proposed structure (MPDEDET: Environmental Services) | Funding of proposed structure | DRDLR and Relevant Provinces |
| | Environmental Management Framework/Strategic Environmental Assessment/ other strategic environmental planning projects initiated | 2 EMFs Developed: | 4 EMFs Developed: | 6 EMFs Developed: | 8 EMFs Developed: | Mar-14 | As per proposed structure (MPDEDET: Environmental Services) | Funding of proposed structure | (1) DEA & provinces – manage EMF/SEA process & manage MOUs with Municipalities. (2) Municipalities: Participate in EMF/SEA process |
| Sub-output 4.1 % Land mass under conservation | | | | | | | | | |
| 9% Land mass under conservation by 2014 | Declaration of priority areas for expansion of protected areas network (Provincial) – Including biodiversity stewardship | 10 000 ha per province | 10 000ha per province | 10 000 ha per province | 10 000ha per province | Mar-14 | Scientists, scenario planning and modeling experts, contract managers, GIS experts (Human resources current within Mpu comprises 2 posts and requires six posts over four years (therefore only 33% of HR resources met)) | Provincial Environmental Departments and agencies to determine budgetary allocations (Partly funded. Additional finances required over Years 1 to 4: R 40 000 000 (for land purchase 20% of four year target and biodiversity stewardship 80% of four year target) | Provincial environmental departments and agencies to identify, acquire and declare priority areas for expansion |
| | Declaration of two botanical gardens | Potential site in Eastern Cape identified | Acquisition and proclamation | Potential site in Limpopo identified | Acquisition and proclamation | Mar-14 | GIS Specialists, Scientists | Provincial Environmental Departments and agencies to determine budgetary allocations | SANBI-Identification and acquisition of the land. *DEA Proclamation of the land |



APPENDIX C: PROVINCIAL DELIVERABLES (continued)

| TARGET/INDICATOR | ACTIVITIES | MILESTONES/DELIVERABLES | | | | TIME (COMPLETED BY) | RESOURCE REQUIREMENTS | | ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|---------------------|---|---|---|
| | | YEAR 1 | YEAR 2 | YEAR 3 | YEAR 4 | | HUMAN RESOURCES | FINANCIAL RESOURCES | |
| 5 wetlands per year of national and international importance with management plans in place | Identify wetlands of national importance and develop management plans | Criteria for listing of wetlands developed | Assessment of priority wetlands against criteria developed | Assessment of priority wetlands against criteria developed | Develop and implement management plans | Mar-14 | Scientists; planners; conservation managers | R500 000 per annum | DEA, SANBI, Working for Wetlands |
| | Management plans for wetlands of international importance developed | Management plans for five (5) RAMSAR sites developed | Management plans for five (5) RAMSAR sites developed | Management plans for five (5) RAMSAR sites developed | Management plans for five (5) RAMSAR sites developed | Mar-14 | Wetlands Specialists | R400 000 per annum | DEA, Conservation Authorities |
| Sub-output 4.4 Valuing the ecosystem services | | | | | | | | | |
| Environmental costs related to the provision of resource-based services (a)Number of tools developed for the economic valuing of biodiversity and ecosystem services | Quantify the economic value of biodiversity and ecosystem services. | | Initiate process to develop system | Develop and consult | Consultation and finalisation of system | 3/1/14 | Resource economist; Ecologists; Scientists; Economists | R500 000 per annum | DEA, SANBI, Conservation authorities, National Treasury |
| | Promote incentives for conservation and improved ecosystem protection | Initiate process | Finalise making the case for the value of biodiversity | Roll out | Roll out | 3/1/14 | Resource economist; Ecologists; Scientists; | R250 000 per annum Economists; Media & marketing | DEA, SANBI, Conservation authorities, National Treasury |
| Sub-output 1: Environmental legislation compliance and enforcement | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 of dedicated Environmental Courts | Launch of the dedicated time for environmental crimes | Launch in Mpumalanga in September 2010 as a pilot project. The project to be reviewed after a year. | 4 Environment cases attended to | 8 Environment cases attended to | 12 Environment cases attended to | Mar-14 | As per proposed structure (MPDEDET: Environmental Services) | Funding of proposed structure | DEA, MPDEDET,DWA, DOJ&CD, DAFF,NDPP |
| 22 designated Environmental Management Inspectors | Conduct training of Environmental Management Inspectors | 16 designated Environmental Management Inspectors | 22 designated Environmental Management Inspectors | 22 designated Environmental Management Inspectors | 22 designated Environmental Management Inspectors | Mar-14 | As per proposed structure (MPDEDET: Environmental Services) | Funding of proposed structure | DEA, MPDEDET |



APPENDIX C: PROVINCIAL DELIVERABLES (continued)

| TARGET/INDICATOR | ACTIVITIES | MILESTONES/DELIVERABLES | | | | TIME (COMPLETED BY) | RESOURCE REQUIREMENTS | | ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES |
|---|--|--|---|---|---|---------------------|---|---|--|
| | | YEAR 1 | YEAR 2 | YEAR 3 | YEAR 4 | | HUMAN RESOURCES | FINANCIAL RESOURCES | |
| Sub-output 2: Environmental Sustainability | | | | | | | | | |
| Scaling up environmental education, awareness and voluntary activism | Climate change Awareness programme, Tree planting Programme, Greenest Municipality, Pre school water programme, environmental commemorative programme, Adopt a school yard programme, Adopt a Spot programme | 7 Environmental awareness and education programmes and projects annually | 7 Environmental awareness and education programmes and projects annually | 7 Environmental awareness and education programmes and projects annually | 7 Environmental awareness and education programmes and projects annually | Mar-14 | As per proposed structure (MPDEDET: Environmental Services) | Funding of proposed structure | DEA, DST, Higher education, |
| | Implement and review annual Provincial targets | Implement Waste, Water, and Greening targets annually: Decade of Education for Sustainable Development (DESD) Provincial forum | Implement and review Waste, Water, and Greening targets annually: Decade of Education for Sustainable Development (DESD) Provincial forum | Implement and review Waste, Water, and Greening targets annually: Decade of Education for Sustainable Development (DESD) Provincial forum | Implement and review Waste, Water, and Greening targets annually: Decade of Education for Sustainable Development (DESD) Provincial forum | Mar-14 | As per proposed structure (MPDEDET: Environmental Services) | Funding of proposed structure | DEA |
| OUTCOME 10: PROTECTED AND ENHANCED ENVIRONMENTAL ASSETS AND NATURAL RESOURCES - NORTHERN CAPE DE&NC | | | | | | | | | |
| OUTPUT 1: QUALITY AND QUANTITY OF WATER RESOURCES ENHANCED | | | | | | | | | |
| Sub-output 1.1: Water demand | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | DWA |
| Sub-output 1.2 Water resource protection | | | | | | | | | |
| # wetlands under formal protection (4 by 2014) | Identify wetlands of national importance and develop management plan | Criteria for listing of wetlands developed | Assessment of priority wetlands against criteria developed | Assessment of priority wetlands against criteria developed | Develop and implement management plans | 3/1/14 | Scientists, planners, conservation manager | R500 000/a | Conservation Authorities – implement plans |
| | Management plans for wetlands of international importance developed | Management plans for five RAMSAR sites developed | Management plans for five RAMSAR sites develop | Assessment of priority wetlands against criteria developed | Develop and implement management plans | 3/1/14 | Managers, Field Rangers and General assistants | R400 000/plan | DEA, Provinces |
| OUTPUT 2: REDUCED GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS, CLIMATE CHANGE & IMPROVED AIR/ATMOSPHERIC QUALITY | | | | | | | | | |
| Reduced total emissions of CO2 by 34% reduction of “Business As Usual” by 2020 and 42% by 2025; 80% of government owned monitoring stations reporting to SAAQIS | GHGs identified as “Priority Pollutants” in terms of the Air Quality Act and mitigation plans submitted by end 2013; | | | | | Mar-14 | | R800 000 – R900 000 per annum from year 4 (Northern Cape) | DEA, Provinces, Local Government |



APPENDIX C: PROVINCIAL DELIVERABLES (continued)

| TARGET/INDICATOR | ACTIVITIES | MILESTONES/DELIVERABLES | | | | TIME (COMPLETED BY) | RESOURCE REQUIREMENTS | | ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES |
|--|---|---|--|--|------------|---------------------------|--|--|-----------------------------|
| | | YEAR 1 | YEAR 2 | YEAR 3 | YEAR 4 | | HUMAN RESOURCES | FINANCIAL RESOURCES | |
| Sub-output 2.2: Atmospheric pollutants | | | | | | | | | |
| 100% country-wide compliance with national Ambient Air Quality Standards by 2020 | The efficient and effective identification, development and implementation of Air Quality Management Plans for National Priority Areas (Vaal Triangle Air-shed, Highveld and Waterberg) | (i) Vaal Triangle Air-shed Priority Area Air Quality Management Plan under full implementation, (ii) draft plan for the Highveld Priority Area developed and published for public comment and (iii) Minister's intention to declare the Waterberg Priority Area published | (i) Progress and review report compiled and published for the Vaal Triangle Air-shed Priority Area, (ii) Highveld Priority Area Air Quality Management Plan promulgated and (iii) Waterberg Priority Area problem analysis completed | (i) Vaal Triangle Air-shed Priority Area Air Quality management Plan updated, (ii) Highveld Priority Area Air Quality Management Plan under full implementation and (iii) Waterberg Priority Area Air Quality Management Plan published for public comment | | | Environmental officers | R800 000 – R900 000 per annum from year 4 (Northern Cape) | Provinces |
| The review, revision and implementation of the National Vehicle Emission Control Strategy | | | | | | | Environmental officers | DEA, Provinces, Local Government | |
| Growing and developing the National Ambient Air Quality Monitoring Network and the South African Air Quality Information System (SAAQIS) | | | | | | | Environmental officers | DEA, Provinces, Local Government | |
| Sub-output 2.3 Renewable energy deployed | | | | | | | | | |
| 10 000 Gw/hours renewable energy production by 2014 | Implementation of Industrial Policy Action Plan and green economy plan and strategy development | Adoption of the green economy strategy | Feasibility to be completed | | | | | Additional financial resources required (DENC Northern Cape) | Provinces |
| Sub-output 2.4 Identified climate change and adaptation framework | | | | | | | | | |
| Climate change impacts identified and adaptation frameworks integrated into 12 national sectoral plans by 2012 | Climate change adaptation plans rolled out to provincial and municipal sphere of government; | | | | | | Socio-economists, natural resource scientists | Additional financial resources required | Provinces, Local Government |
| OUTPUT 3: SUSTAINABLE ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT | | | | | | | | | |
| Sub-output 3.1 Degraded ecosystems rehabilitated & restored | | | | | | | | | |
| 3.2 mha by 2014 of land rehabilitated and 160 rural development sites by 2014 | x number of ha including rehabilitation on 25 CRDP Sites (wards) | x number including rehabilitation on 37 CRDP Sites (wards) | x number Including rehabilitation on 44 CRDP Sites (wards) | x number Including rehabilitation on 33 CRDP Sites (wards) | 31/03/2010 | HR Capacity already exist | All member departments of the CRDP Council of Stakeholders to commit funds towards the rehabilitation of land in rural areas | DRDLR, Relevant Provincial Government | |



APPENDIX C: PROVINCIAL DELIVERABLES (continued)

| TARGET/INDICATOR | ACTIVITIES | MILESTONES/DELIVERABLES | | | | TIME (COMPLETED BY) | RESOURCE REQUIREMENTS | | ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES |
|---|--|--|---|---|---|---------------------|-----------------------|--|--|
| | | YEAR 1 | YEAR 2 | YEAR 3 | YEAR 4 | | HUMAN RESOURCES | FINANCIAL RESOURCES | |
| Sub-output 3.3 Less waste that is better managed | | | | | | | | | |
| 80% by 2015 of permitted landfill sites | Financing mechanism: Review of fiscal mechanism for the funding of waste services | | | | | | | | National Treasury, COGTA, Provinces, Local Government, DEA |
| 25% by 2012 municipal waste diverted from landfills for recycling | Capacity building for municipalities | | | | | | | Additional funds required – R500 000 per annum | DEA, Provinces, Local government |
| Sub-output 3.4 Management of environmental impacts from mining and related activities | | | | | | | | | |
| # of derelict and ownerless mines rehabilitated and closed in line with environmental best practice | Rehabilitation and remediation of land | 25 wards | 37 wards | 44 Wards | 33 Wards | Mar-14 | | All member departments of the CRDP Council of Stakeholders to commit funds towards the rehabilitation of land. | DRDLR, Relevant Provincial Government |
| National areas negotiated and published by 2015 identified for restricted mineral development | Comparison of “environmentally sensitive areas” and “mineral development priority areas | Mapping of environmentally sensitive areas | Mapping of “mineral development priority areas” | Negotiation between DMR and DEA to agree on “restriction areas” completed | | Dec-12 | | | DEA & Provinces map environmental sensitive areas |
| Integrated and coordinated regulatory system for environmental management of mining in place by 2012 | Implementation of integrated system | | Implementation plan developed | Implementation of new system | | Jun-12 | | | DMR, DEA and provinces as per implementation plan |
| Sub-output 3.5 Sustainable land use management | | | | | | | | | |
| Rural Municipalities with credible SDFs that are informed by approved strategic environmental assessments (SEA) or similar instruments: 4 Municipalities per annum; 25 Rural Municipalities per annum | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | Mar-14 | HR capacity exist | Funded | DRDLR and Relevant Provinces | |
| | Environmental Management Framework/Strategic Environmental Assessment/ other strategic environmental planning projects initiated | (1) Finalise EMF/SEA strategy to prioritise municipalities for which EMF/SEA would be funded by environmental authority. (2) Initiate EMFs/SEAs for 4 municipalities | (1) Initiate EMFs/SEAs for 4 municipalities in accordance with strategy | (1) Initiate EMFs/SEAs for 4 municipalities in accordance with strategy | (1) Initiate EMFs/SEAs for 4 municipalities in accordance with strategy | Annually | | R4 000 000 per annum | (1) DEA & provinces – manage EMF/SEA process & manage MOUs with Municipalities. (2) Municipalities: Participate in EMF/SEA process |



APPENDIX C: PROVINCIAL DELIVERABLES (continued)

| TARGET/INDICATOR | ACTIVITIES | MILESTONES/DELIVERABLES | | | | TIME (COMPLETED BY) | RESOURCE REQUIREMENTS | | ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|---------------------|--|--|--|
| | | YEAR 1 | YEAR 2 | YEAR 3 | YEAR 4 | | HUMAN RESOURCES | FINANCIAL RESOURCES | |
| | Environmental Management Framework/Strategic Environmental Assessment/other strategic environmental planning projects finalised/approved by MEC/Minister and relevant Mayor(s) | Finalise EMFs initiated for 4 municipalities | Finalise EMFs initiated for 4 municipalities | Finalise EMFs initiated for 4 municipalities | Finalise EMFs initiated for 4 municipalities | Annually | | R4,000,000 per annum | (1) DEA & provinces – initiate EMFs & enter into MOUs with Municipalities. (2) Municipalities: Participate in EMF/SEA process |
| OUTPUT 4: BIODIVERSITY PROTECTED | | | | | | | | | |
| Sub-output 4.1 % Land mass under conservation | | | | | | | | | |
| 9% Land mass under conservation by 2014 | Declaration of priority areas for expansion of protected areas network (Provincial) – Including biodiversity stewardship | 10 000 ha per province | 10 000ha per province | 10 000 ha per province | 10 000ha per province | Mar-14 | Scientists, scenario planning and modeling experts, contract managers, GIS experts (Human resources current within Mpu comprises 2 posts and requires six posts over four years (therefore only 33% of HR resources met) | Provincial Environmental Departments and agencies to determine budgetary allocations (Partly funded. Additional finances required over Years 1 to 4: R 40 000 000 (for land purchase 20% of four year target and biodiversity stewardship 80% of four year target) | Provincial environmental departments and agencies to identify, acquire and declare priority areas for expansion |
| | Declaration of two botanical gardens | Potential site in Eastern Cape identified | Acquisition and proclamation | Potential site in Limpopo identified | Acquisition and proclamation | Mar-14 | GIS Specialists, Scientists | Provincial Environmental Departments and agencies to determine budgetary allocations | SANBI-Identification and acquisition of the land. *DEA Proclamation of the land |
| Minimum 20% of estuaries with full protection/partial protection by 2015 | | | | | | | | | SANBI, DEA, DAFF, DWA, Provincial conservation authorities and Local Government |
| Sub-output 4.4 Valuing the ecosystem services | | | | | | | | | |
| Environmental costs related to the provision of resource-based services (a)Number of tools developed for the economic valuing of biodiversity and ecosystem services | Quantify the economic value of biodiversity and ecosystem services. | | Initiate process to develop system | Develop and consult | Consultation and finalisation of system | 3/1/14 | Resource economist; Ecologists; Scientists; Economists | R500 000 per annum | DEA, SANBI, Conservation authorities, National Treasury |



APPENDIX C: PROVINCIAL DELIVERABLES (continued)

| TARGET/INDICATOR | ACTIVITIES | MILESTONES/DELIVERABLES | | | | TIME (COMPLETED BY) | RESOURCE REQUIREMENTS | | ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES |
|--|---|---|--|---|----------|---------------------|---|---|---|
| | | YEAR 1 | YEAR 2 | YEAR 3 | YEAR 4 | | HUMAN RESOURCES | FINANCIAL RESOURCES | |
| | Promote incentives for conservation and improved ecosystem protection | Initiate process | Finalise making the case for the value of biodiversity | Roll out | Roll out | 3/1/14 | Resource economist; Ecologists; Scientists; Economists; Media & marketing | R250 000 per annum | DEA, SANBI, Conservation authorities, National Treasury |
| OUTCOME 10 CROSS-CUTTING SUB-OUTPUTS | | | | | | | | | |
| Sub-output 1: Environmental legislation compliance and enforcement | | | | | | | | | |
| 150 Environmental Management Inspectorate designated by 2011 | Conduct training of Environmental Management Inspectors | Designation of 150 trained Local government officials as EMI by MEC | NEMA to be amended to provide for Designation of EMIs by local authorities | Designation of EMIs functions carried out at local government level | | | | | DEA; COGTA;SALGA; local government; provinces |
| Sub-output 2: Environmental Sustainability | | | | | | | | | |
| 1,156,00 EPWP work opportunities and 325 652 FTEs (Full Time Equivalents) by 2014 | | | | | | | | | Provinces |
| OUTCOME 4: Decent Employment through Inclusive Economic Growth | | | | | | | | | |
| OUTPUT:2 : MORE LABOUR ABSORBING GROWTH- SUBOUTPUT 5: GREEN ECONOMY | | | | | | | | | |
| Expanded Public Works Programme: scale up and expansion of Green Jobs opportunities through EPWP II to 1 156 00 EPWP work opportunities and 325 652 FTEs (Full Time Equivalents) by 2014 | | | | | | | | Financial resources required (R1 000 000 – DENC Northern Cape | Provinces |



APPENDIX C: PROVINCIAL DELIVERABLES (continued)

| ACTIVITIES | MILESTONES/DELIVERABLES | | | | TIME (COMPLETED BY) | RESOURCE REQUIREMENTS | | ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES |
|---|-----------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------|---|----------------------|--|
| | YEAR 1 | YEAR 2 | YEAR 3 | YEAR 4 | | HUMAN RESOURCES | FINANCIAL RESOURCES | |
| OUTCOME 10: PROTECTED AND ENHANCED ENVIRONMENTAL ASSETS AND NATURAL RESOURCES - LIMPOPO LEDET | | | | | | | | |
| OUTPUT 1: QUALITY AND QUANTITY OF WATER RESOURCES ENHANCED | | | | | | | | |
| Sub-output 1.1: Water demand | | | | | | | | |
| Millbank Groundwater assessment | 20% | 40% | 70% | 100% | 01/02/2014 | Geohydrologists, Hydrologists, | Funded | DWA-Limpopo |
| Matlala Recharge project | 30% | 30% | 90% | 100% | 01/02/2014 | Geohydrologists, Hydrologists, Scientists | Funded | DWA-Limpopo |
| N'wamitwa and Muyexe groundwater studies | 30% | 60% | 100% | | | | Funded | DWA-Limpopo |
| Groundwater resource assessment of the Melinda fault region | 25% | 50% | 70% | 100% | | | Funded | DWA-Limpopo |
| Groundwater resource assessment of the Klein Tshipise fault | 40% | 60% | 80% | 100% | | | Funded | DWA-Limpopo |
| Groundwater monitoring network for Kruger National Park | 50% | 50% | | | 2012 | | Funded at R1 500 000 | DWA-Limpopo |
| Sub-output 1.2 Water resource protection | | | | | | | | |
| River Health Programme | . | Sand, Mogalakwena and Nzhelele Rivers | Groot-Letaba, Middle Letaba Rivers | Luvuvhu, Xingwedzi and Mutale Rivers | 01/02/2010 | Scientific and support staff | R1 500 000 | DWA-Limpopo, LEDET |
| Sub-output 1.3 Regulation of water quality | | | | | | | | |
| *Subject all water service authorities (WSAs) to Blue & Green Drop Assessments and consultative audits • Facilitate the implementation of World Health Organisation (WHO) best practices such as Water Safety plan at municipal level • Implement the electronic Green Drop System (GDS) • Implement Waste Risk Abatement programme • Raise the profile and awareness of drinking water quality and waste water services through the Blue and Green Drop programmes | 4 water service authorities | 8 water service authorities | 16 water service authorities | 20 water service authorities | 01/02/2014 | Hydrologists, Scientists, engineers, planners | Funded | DWA Limpopo, Water Service Authorities |
| • Subject Water Services Authorities to consultative Green Drop audits. • Advice on required improvements • Raise the profile of Wastewater services through Green Drop Awareness. • Implement the electronic Green Drop System (GDS) • Implement Wastewater Risk Abatement programme" | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | Annually | Hydrologists, Scientists, engineers, planners | Funded | DWA Limpopo, Water Service Authorities |
| Compliance monitoring as per water use license conditions | 100% compliance monitoring | 100% compliance monitoring | 100% compliance monitoring | 100% compliance monitoring | Annually | Law enforcement officers | Funded | DWA Limpopo, Water Service Authorities |



APPENDIX C: PROVINCIAL DELIVERABLES (continued)

| ACTIVITIES | MILESTONES/DELIVERABLES | | | | TIME (COMPLETED BY) | RESOURCE REQUIREMENTS | | ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES |
|--|--|--|---|---|--|---|--|---|
| | YEAR 1 | YEAR 2 | YEAR 3 | YEAR 4 | | HUMAN RESOURCES | FINANCIAL RESOURCES | |
| OUTPUT 2: REDUCED GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS, CLIMATE CHANGE & IMPROVED AIR/ATMOSPHERIC QUALITY | | | | | | | | |
| Sub-output 2.1: Reduced CO₂ emission | | | | | | | | |
| Agreement on GHG mitigation targets for key sectors in line with Climate Change Policy; | Mitigation targets in the Limpopo Climate change response strategy being developed aligned with National Climate Change Policy. | Develop mitigation action plans against targets in the Agreement | Monitoring against mitigation targets in the Limpopo Climate Response Strategy being developed. | Monitoring against mitigation targets in the Limpopo Climate Response Strategy being developed | 01/02/2013 | Inadequate human resources | Funded | LEDET, District municipalities |
| Finalise White paper on Climate Change by the end of 2010, with related fiscal, legislative and regulatory package to be implemented by 2012, including GHG deviation from baseline numbers; | Participate in the DEA process | Participate in the DEA process | Implement Limpopo Climate Response Strategy developed in line with National Climate Change Policy | Implement Limpopo Climate Response Strategy developed in line with National Climate Change Policy | Strategy developed and completed by 2012 | Inadequate human resources | Funded | DEA, LEDET, Transport, Health |
| The South African Air Quality Information System (SAAQIS) phase II completed by mid-2012; | | | Report on the SAAQIS system | Report on the SAAQIS system | Annually | Environmental officers, Scientists | Funded | LEDET, District municipalities |
| GHGs identified as "Priority Pollutants" in terms of the Air Quality Act and mitigation plans submitted by end 2013; | | Priority pollutants identified in the air quality management plan of Limpopo | Implementation of the Limpopo Air Quality Management Plan | Implementation of the Limpopo Air Quality Management Plan | Air Quality plan finalised by 01/03/2013 | Service providers, environmental officers | Funded | DEA, LEDET, District municipalities |
| Sub-output 2.2: Atmospheric pollutants | | | | | | | | |
| The efficient and effective identification, development and implementation of Air Quality Management Plans for National Priority Areas (Vaal Triangle Airshed, Highveld and Waterberg) | Minister and MEC's intention to declare the Waterberg Priority Area published | Waterberg Priority Area problem analysis completed | Waterberg Priority Area Air Quality Management Plan published for public comment | Implementation of the Waterberg Priority Area Air Quality Management Plan | Waterberg Priority Area Air Quality Management Plan COMPLETED BY 2013 | Environmental officers, Scientists | Funded by DEA and LEDET | DEA, LEDET, Relevant Municipalities |
| The development and roll-out of a strategy to address air pollution in dense, low-income communities, including air pollution from the burning of dirty fuels (e.g. coal, paraffin and wood) | Integrated residential air pollution control strategy that coordinates and consolidates all relevant national department, provincial and municipal interventions submitted to Cabinet for approval | Interdepartmental pilot project/s launched within a key problem area within one of the National air pollution Priority Areas | Residential air pollution control case studies and associated municipal implementation guidelines published | Interdepartmental pilot project/s progress and review report compiled and published | 12/1/2012 The impact of coordinated and integrated interdepartmental efforts fully analysed and reported by October 2013 | Use of existing human resources | Use of current budget and the mobilisation of funds through appropriate industrial air pollution offset projects | DEA - overall coordination, ambient air quality monitoring and reporting and negotiation of industrial air pollution offset projects, provinces |
| The efficient and effective implementation of the new Atmospheric Emission Licensing system by the new Licensing Authorities (Provinces; and District Municipalities) | 100% atmospheric emission licenses processed | 100% atmospheric emission licenses processed. | 100% atmospheric emission licenses processed. | 100% atmospheric emission licenses processed. | Annually | Inadequate human resources | Funded | LEDET, District municipalities |
| Growing and developing the National Ambient Air Quality Monitoring Network and the South African Air Quality Information System (SAAQIS) | Participate in the DEA process and report on SAAQIS | Participate in the DEA process and report on SAAQIS | Participate in the DEA process and report on SAAQIS | Participate in the DEA process and report on SAAQIS | Annually | Inadequate human resources | Funded | LEDET, District municipalities |



APPENDIX C: PROVINCIAL DELIVERABLES (continued)

| ACTIVITIES | MILESTONES/DELIVERABLES | | | | TIME (COMPLETED BY) | RESOURCE REQUIREMENTS | | ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES |
|--|---|---|---|---|---------------------|---|---|--|
| | YEAR 1 | YEAR 2 | YEAR 3 | YEAR 4 | | HUMAN RESOURCES | FINANCIAL RESOURCES | |
| The development and roll-out of strategies and action plans to address air pollution from non-industrial and/or non-point sources (e.g. veld fires, construction activities, un-surfaced haul roads, etc.) | Develop an Air Quality management plan for Limpopo | Identify localities for ambient monitoring stations | Monitoring of ambient air quality | Monitoring of ambient air quality | Annually | Inadequate, to get service providers | | Inadequate funding LEDET, District Municipalities |
| Sub-output 2.3 Renewable energy deployed | | | | | | | | |
| Alignment and Implementation of Integrated Resource Plan | Approval of IRP2 | | | | | | | LEDET |
| Sub-output 2.4 Identified climate change and adaptation framework | | | | | | | | |
| Determine the ecological footprint on activities that impact on the natural environment | Analysis of ecological footprint of various activities. | Awareness raising on ecological footprint | Awareness raising on ecological footprint | Awareness raising on ecological footprint | 1-Mar | Scientists, scenario planning and modelling experts, contract managers. | R1m/pa | Provincial environmental departments and agencies. |
| Development and implementation of the climate change strategy | Development of first Climate Change Response Strategy | Implementation of Climate Change Response Strategy | Implementation of Climate Change Response Strategy | Implementation of Climate Change Response Strategy | 14-Mar | Scientists, scenario planning and modelling experts, contract managers, GIS experts | R1m/pa | Provincial environmental departments and agencies. |
| Sub-output 2.5 Efficient energy use | | | | | | | | |
| Development of solar panels as a project funded through the LEGDP and green economy strategy | Procurement of services | Environmental Impact assessments and feasibility studies undertaken | 30% Implementation of the project | 50% implementation of the project | 01/02/2016 | Service providers | Funded through the growth fund allocated to LEDET | LEDET, Premier's office, DTI, Department of Science and Technology, LIMDEV |
| OUTPUT 3: SUSTAINABLE ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT | | | | | | | | |
| Sub-output 3.1 Deforestation & improved forest management | | | | | | | | |
| Alien plants elimination and use as energy source (working for energy) and water preservation | Working for water projects implemented in 1 Nature Reserves | Working for water projects implemented in 2 Nature Reserves | Working for water projects implemented in 3 Nature Reserves | Working for water projects implemented in 4 Nature Reserves | Annually | Working for Water personnel | Inadequate funds | DEA, LEDET |
| Deforestation – Enhance energy services in rural areas and thereby reduce rate of deforestation | Project planning and assessment | assessment | Assessment | Implementation | Annually | Botanists, environmentalists | Funded | Department of Agriculture, LEDET |
| Reforestation (trees for carbon storage)-connect with climate change area | Launch of the Million Trees planting programme | Planting of a million trees per annum | Planting of a million trees per annum | Planting of a million trees per annum | Annually | Botanists, environmentalists | Not Indicated | Department of Agriculture, LEDET |
| Community based natural resource management | Implementation of the harvesting projects in communal Nature Reserves | Implementation of the harvesting projects in communal Nature Reserves | Implementation of the harvesting projects in communal Nature Reserves | Implementation of the harvesting projects in communal Nature Reserves | Annually | Environmental officers | Inadequate funding | LEDET, Kruger National Park |



APPENDIX C: PROVINCIAL DELIVERABLES (continued)

| ACTIVITIES | MILESTONES/DELIVERABLES | | | | TIME (COMPLETED BY) | RESOURCE REQUIREMENTS | | ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES |
|---|--|--|---|---|---|---|---------------------------|---|
| | YEAR 1 | YEAR 2 | YEAR 3 | YEAR 4 | | HUMAN RESOURCES | FINANCIAL RESOURCES | |
| Sub-output 3.2 Less waste that is better managed | | | | | | | | |
| Quantification and characterisation of waste from cradle to grave to initiate the implementation of the waste information system. | Development of terms of reference and initiation of procurement for service providers. | Data collection from all districts in Limpopo | Completion of the quantisation, characterisation and modelling | | 1/03/2013 | Waste management specialists, scientists, planners | Funded | LEDET, Local municipalities |
| Implementation of the Waste Information System | Capacity building on population of the waste information system | Establishment of a pilot project in Capricon on the population of the waste information system | Full implementation and population of the waste information system in all districts. | Full implementation and population of the waste information system in all districts. | Annually | Municipality environmental health officers | Inadequate funds | LEDET, Local municipalities |
| Capacity building for municipalities on waste minimisation and recycling | Development of a database on recycling facilities in Limpopo | Awareness workshops and supporting municipality with recycling. | Development of Resource materials on recycling and distribution. | 50% entrenched of recycling in the municipalities | 01/03/2015 | Municipality environmental health officers | Funded | DTI, Indalo Yethu. Local Government, Municipalities, LEDET |
| Support of municipalities through targeted waste projects. | Implementation of the Makhuduthamaga waste collection projects | Implementation of the Makhado waste collection project. | Facilitate municipal waste quantification. | | Annually | Scientists, Municipality environmental health officers | Inadequate funds | LEDET, Municipalities, service providers. |
| Development of a government consumption strategy | First Draft of the consumption strategy developed | Public participation and gazetting of the strategy and action plan. | Implementation of the strategy and action plan. | Implementation of the strategy and action plan. | Annual implementation of the strategy and action plan | Waste management specialists, scientists, planners | Funded Works, Agriculture | LEDET, Local municipalities, Health, Public |
| Sub-output 3.3 Management of environmental impacts from mining and related activities | | | | | | | | |
| Environmental Management Programmes (EMPs) approved | 100% of the received EMPs approved | 100% of the received EMPs approved | 100% of the received EMPs approved | 100% of the received EMPs approved | Annually | Scientists, planners, environmental officers, GIS specialists | Funded | DMR and LEDET |
| Mining inspections conducted | 200 mining inspection conducted | 200 mining inspection conducted | 200 mining inspection conducted | 200 mining inspection conducted | Annually | Scientists, planners, environmental officers, GIS specialists | Funded | DMR and LEDET |
| Sub-output 3.4 Sustainable land use management | | | | | | | | |
| Development of SDF for Rural Municipalities | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | | | Funded | DRDLR, COGTA and Planning commission develop legislation, LEDET |
| Environmental Planning integrated into NEW Spatial Planning and Land Use Management Act | Participation in law reform process | Participation in law reform process/effect agreed amendments to environmental legislation | Participation in law reform process/effect agreed amendments to environmental legislation | Participation in law reform process/effect agreed amendments to environmental legislation | 1/1/12 | | Not funded by LEDET | DRDLR, COGTA and Planning commission develop legislation, LEDET |



APPENDIX C: PROVINCIAL DELIVERABLES (continued)

| ACTIVITIES | MILESTONES/DELIVERABLES | | | | TIME (COMPLETED BY) | RESOURCE REQUIREMENTS | | ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES |
|--|--|--|--|--|-----------------------------------|---|---|---|
| | YEAR 1 | YEAR 2 | YEAR 3 | YEAR 4 | | HUMAN RESOURCES | FINANCIAL RESOURCES | |
| Environmental Management Framework/Strategic Environmental Assessment/ other strategic environmental planning projects initiated | EMF for Waterberg District finalises | EMF for Soutpansberg area initiated | EMF for Soutpansberg area completed & EMF for Vhembe District initiated | EMF for Vhembe District completed | Annually | Scientists, planners, environmental officers, GIS specialists | Funds not adequate. Relying on DEA for cofunding. R2 million per annum required. | LEDET, DEA and affected local authorities |
| EMF/SEA/Other integrated into Municipal SDF and SDF adopted by Minister/MEC | EMF for Olifants Letaba Rivers distributed to relevant local authorities | Integration of Olifants Letaba Rivers EMF into municipal SDFs | Integration of Waterberg EMF into municipal SDFs | Integration of Soutpansberg into municipal SDFs | 30/02.2013 | Scientists, planners, environmental officers, GIS specialists | Not indicated | LEDET and local authorities |
| Applications for environmental impact assessment (EIA) processed. | 100% of EIA applications processed. | 100% of EIA applications processed. | 100% of EIA applications processed. | 100% of EIA applications processed. | Annually | 50% vacancy rate currently. 54 officials of Scientists and planners | R8,7 million per annum | LEDET, DEA and affected local authorities |
| OUTPUT 4. BIODIVERSITY PROTECTED | | | | | | | | |
| Sub-output 4.1 % Land mass under conservation | | | | | | | | |
| Evaluate management effectiveness of nature reserves in the province | Evaluate management effectiveness of 12 nature reserves per year | Evaluate management effectiveness of 12 nature reserves per year | Evaluate management effectiveness of 12 nature reserves per year | Evaluate management effectiveness of 12 nature reserves per year | Evaluate management effectiveness | 3/1/14 and modelling experts, contract managers, GIS experts | Scientists, scenario planning | Funded - R300 000.00 LEDET |
| Implementation of stewardship programme and expansion in order to increase the protected areas network | Secure two stewardship sites per year and implement projects in areas identified for expansion | Secure two stewardship sites per year and implement projects in areas identified for expansion | Secure two stewardship sites per year and implement projects in areas identified for expansion | Secure two stewardship sites per year and implement projects in areas identified for expansion | 3/1/14 | Scientist, lawyers, negotiators, scenario planning, development economists | Current funding is inadequate. The following funds are required 2010 – 11: R1 554; 2011 – R12,2 mil; 2012 – R2,5 mil; 2013: R3 mil; 2014: R4 mil for master plans and basic infrastructure. | LEDET |
| Declaration of priority areas for expansion of protected areas network (Provincial) | Declare three protected areas | Declare three protected area | Declare three protected area | Declare three protected area | 3/1/14 | Scientists, scenario planning and modelling experts, contract managers, GIS experts | 2010 – 11: 250 000; 2011 – 300 000; 2012 – 400 000; 2013 – 500 000; 2014 for survey of protected areas and gazetting | LEDET |
| Update the register by adding information of 20 privately owned protected areas | Update the register by adding information of 20 privately owned protected areas | Update the register by adding information of 20 privately owned protected areas | Update the register by adding information of 20 privately owned protected areas | Update the register by adding information of 20 privately owned protected areas | 3/1/14 | GIS Specialists, Scientists | R200 000 per year for transport | LEDET |
| Secure funding for expansion projects | Secure funding for one expansion project | Secure funding for one expansion project | Secure funding for one expansion project | Secure funding for one expansion project | 3/1/14 | Project Manager, negotiators, extension staff, scientists, planners | R250 000 per year for meetings, transport and accommodation of officials | LEDET |



APPENDIX C: PROVINCIAL DELIVERABLES (continued)

| ACTIVITIES | MILESTONES/DELIVERABLES | | | | TIME (COMPLETED BY) | RESOURCE REQUIREMENTS | | ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES |
|---|---|---|--|---|---------------------|--|---|--|
| | YEAR 1 | YEAR 2 | YEAR 3 | YEAR 4 | | HUMAN RESOURCES | FINANCIAL RESOURCES | |
| Sub-output 4.2 Reduced Climate Change impacts on biodiversity | | | | | | | | |
| Determine the ecological footprint on activities that impact on the natural environment | Analysis of ecological footprint of various activities. | Awareness raising on ecological footprint | Awareness raising on ecological footprint | Awareness raising on ecological footprint | 1-Mar | Scientists, scenario planning and modelling experts, contract managers. | R1 million per annum | Provincial environmental departments and agencies. |
| Development and implementation of the climate change strategy | Development of first Climate Change Response Strategy | Implementation of Climate Change Response Strategy | Implementation of Climate Change Response Strategy | Implementation of Climate Change Response Strategy | 14-Mar | Scientists, scenario planning and modelling experts, contract managers, GIS experts | R1 million per annum | Provincial environmental departments and agencies. |
| Sub-output 4.3 Protected ecosystems and species | | | | | | | | |
| Developing Biodiversity management plans for species | 2 species biodiversity management plans developed and implemented | 2 species biodiversity management plans developed and implemented | 2 species biodiversity management plans developed and implemented | 2 species biodiversity management plans developed and implemented | Mar-14 | Species specialists | R200 000 per species plan | DEA, SANBI, LEDET |
| Amending TOPS lists based on specific criteria | Alien and Invasive Species (AIS) Regulations finalised | National strategy and action plan for AIS developed AIS Risk assessment Framework finalise | National strategy for AIS implemented | National strategy for AIS implemented | 3/1/14 | AIS specialists & Risk assessment specialists EMI | Current funding inadequate Estimated costs to be confirmed through costing of National Strategy | DEA, DAFF, DWA, SANBI, LEDET |
| Develop and implement regulations and tools to prevent, control or eradicate Alien and Invasive Species | Alien and Invasive Species (AIS) Regulations finalised | National strategy and action plan for AIS developed AIS Risk assessment Framework finalised | National strategy for AIS implemented | National strategy for AIS implemented | 3/1/14 | AIS specialists & Risk assessment specialists EMI | Current funding inadequate Estimated costs to be confirmed through costing of National Strategy | DEA, DAFF, DWA, SANBI,LEDET |
| Habitat loss reduced through protection of threatened or protected ecosystems | Criteria for listing of ecosystems developed | List of threatened or protected ecosystems | Threatening processes/activities in ecosystems identified and regulated in terms of NEMA | Enforcement of NEMA | 3/1/14 | Ecosystem specialists - especially in terms of the functioning of ecosystems (dynamics of ecosystems) and threatening processes affecting ecosystems Enforcement resources | | DEA, Provinces, SANBI |
| Identify wetlands of national importance and develop management plans | Criteria for listing of wetlands developed | Assessment of priority wetlands against criteria developed | Assessment of priority wetlands against criteria developed | Develop and implement management plans | 3/1/14 | Scientists, planners, conservation manager | R500 000/a | Conservation Authorities - implement plans |
| Management plans for wetlands of international importance developed | Management plans for five RAMSAR sites developed | Management plans for five RAMSAR sites develop | Assessment of priority wetlands against criteria developed | Develop and implement management plans | 3/1/14 | Scientists, planners, conservation manager | R400 000/plan | Conservation Authorities - implement plans |



APPENDIX C: PROVINCIAL DELIVERABLES (continued)

| ACTIVITIES | MILESTONES/DELIVERABLES | | | | TIME (COMPLETED BY) | RESOURCE REQUIREMENTS | | ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES |
|--|--|--|--|---|---------------------|---|---|--|
| | YEAR 1 | YEAR 2 | YEAR 3 | YEAR 4 | | HUMAN RESOURCES | FINANCIAL RESOURCES | |
| Regulate restricted activities pertaining to wildlife flora and fauna export, imports, hunting and research. | Authorise possession, translocation, hunting and research on listed species of wildlife flora and fauna | Authorise possession, translocation, hunting and research on listed species of wildlife flora and fauna | Authorise possession, translocation, hunting and research on listed species of wildlife flora and fauna | Authorise possession, translocation, hunting and research on listed species of wildlife flora and fauna | Annually | Scientists, planners, conservation manager | Funded | LEDET, DEA |
| Sub-output 4.4 Valuing the ecosystem services | | | | | | | | |
| Quantify the economic value of biodiversity and ecosystem services. | Identify scope of project, ToR and tools | Appoint service provider for development | Develop and consult | Consult and finalise | 3/1/14 | Scientists, resource economists, project manager | R500 000/a | Conservation Authorities - implement plans |
| CROSS-CUTTING SUB-OUTPUTS | | | | | | | | |
| Sub-output x1: Environmental legislation compliance and enforcement | | | | | | | | |
| Environmental crimes reduced | 100% of investigations of all reported complains and cases finalised | 100% of investigations of all reported complains and cases finalised | 100% of investigations of all reported complains and cases finalised | 100% of investigations of all reported complains and cases finalised | Annually | 70% vacancy for environmental management inspector. | Funded | LEDET |
| Environmental Management Inspectors training conducted | 50 Environmental Management Inspectors (EMIs) trained | 50 Environmental Management Inspectors (EMIs) trained | 50 Environmental Management Inspectors (EMIs) trained | 50 Environmental Management Inspectors (EMIs) trained | Annually | Existing personnel trained | Funded | LEDET |
| Construction of a Holding Facility for confiscated animals | Environmental Authorisation granted | Phase 1 of the facility completed | Phase 2 of the facility completed | Phase 3 of the facility completed | 31/03/2014 | Service providers in the construction industry to be Contractors to be appointed. | Estimated R40 000 000 required. Funds not available for this project. | LEDET |
| Sub-output x2: Environmental Sustainability | | | | | | | | |
| Development of the Green economy strategy | Research done on appropriate terms of reference and initiation of procurement processed for service providers. | Development of the Green economy strategy. | Development of an action plan and Sourcing of funds for the initiation of projects in the green economy strategy | Implementation of the Green Economy strategy | 31/03/2014 | Personnel in the Office of the premier | Funded by Office of the Premier | Office of the Premier, LEDET, Transport, Local Government and Housing, Agriculture |
| LISSOER competition and eco-schools implemented in 400 schools. | 80 | 160 | 260 | 400 | 31/03/2014 | Environmental empowerment officers, Scientists | Funded | LEDET |
| Municipalities registered for the Greening competition | All local municipalities participating in the Greening competition | All local municipalities participating in the Greening competition | All local municipalities participating in the Greening competition | All local municipalities participating in the Greening competition | Annually | Environmental empowerment officers, Scientists | Funded | LEDET |
| Implementation of the Unesco MaB programme registered Biosphere reserves facilitated | Implementation of the Kruger to Canyon and Waterberg Biosphere reserve management plans implemented and monitored for compliance | Implementation of the Kruger to Canyon and Waterberg Biosphere reserve management plans implemented and monitored for compliance | Implementation of the Kruger to Canyon and Waterberg Biosphere reserve management plans implemented and monitored for compliance | Identification and registration of a third Biosphere reserve in Limpopo. | 2014 March | Environmental empowerment officers, Scientists | Funded | LEDET, Kruger to Canyon Biosphere reserve, Waterberg Reserve, DEA |



APPENDIX C: PROVINCIAL DELIVERABLES (continued)

| ACTIVITIES | MILESTONES/DELIVERABLES | | | | TIME (COMPLETED BY) | RESOURCE REQUIREMENTS | | ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES |
|---|--|---|---|--|---------------------|--|----------------------|--|
| | YEAR 1 | YEAR 2 | YEAR 3 | YEAR 4 | | HUMAN RESOURCES | FINANCIAL RESOURCES | |
| Environmental Calender Days implemented | 5 Environmental calender days implemented. | 5 Environmental calender days implemented. | 5 Environmental calender days implemented. | 5 Environmental calender days implemented. | Annually | Environmental empowerment officers, Scientists | Funded | LEDET, Kruger to Canyon Biosphere reserve, Waterberg Reserve, DEA |
| OUTCOME 10: PROTECTED AND ENHANCED ENVIRONMENTAL ASSETS AND NATURAL RESOURCES - NORTH WEST DACERD OUTPUT 3: SUSTAINABLE ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT Sub-output 3.5 Sustainable land use management | | | | | | | | |
| EMF/SEA/Other integrated into Municipal SDF and SDF adopted by Minister/MEC | Integration of the following EMFs in municipal SDFs (Rustenburg, Tlokwe, Siyanda, Emakhazeni, Nelson Mandela Bay) development of SDF guidelines. | Finalise 4 SDF integration | Finalise 4 SDF integration | Finalise 4 SDF integration | Annually | | | COGTA/DRDLR facilitate integration process; municipalities adopt EMFs, Minister/MEC environment adopt SDF as "environmentally informed spatial instrument" |
| OUTCOME 4: DECENT EMPLOYMENT THROUGH INCLUSIVE ECONOMIC GROWTH OUTPUT:2 : MORE LABOUR ABSORBING GROWTH- SUB-OUTPUT 5: GREEN ECONOMY Sub-output X 2 Environmental sustainability | | | | | | | | |
| Scaling up expansion and implementation of environmental sector EPWP (land care, working for water, working for wetlands, working on fire, working on waste, working on energy, working for fisheries, working for woodlands) | Kwarriekraal | Kwarriekraal | Kwarriekraal | | | | R 500 000 (30 Jobs) | NW DACERD |
| | Kaakdonglaagte | Kaakdonglaagte | Kaakdonglaagte | | | | R200 000 (30 Jobs) | NW DACERD |
| | Uitlanderskraal Chemical Bush Control | Uitlanderskraal Chemical Bush Control | Uitlanderskraal Chemical Bush Control | | | | R800 000 (11 Jobs) | NW DACERD |
| | Tseoge Morafe Range Bush Control | Tseoge Morafe Range Bush Control | Tseoge Morafe Range Bush Control | | | | R1 250 000 (50 Jobs) | |
| | Tshidilamolomo-Logageng Weeds and Alien Invasive Plants Control Project | Tshidilamolomo-Logageng Weeds and Alien Invasive Plants Control Project | Tshidilamolomo-Logageng Weeds and Alien Invasive Plants Control Project | | | | R650 000 (61 Jobs) | |
| | Driehoek Landcare Project | Driehoek Landcare Project | Driehoek Landcare Project | | | | R600 000 (20 Jobs) | NW DACERD |
| | Barokologadi CPA | Barokologadi CPA | Barokologadi CPA | | | | R1 282 750 (30 Jobs) | NW DACERD |
| | Seleke Vegetables | Seleke Vegetables | Seleke Vegetables | | | | R450 000 (20 Jobs) | NW DACERD |
| | Bojanala Mechanisation | Bojanala Mechanisation | Bojanala Mechanisation | | | | R500 000 (120 Jobs) | NW DACERD |
| | Cokonyane Veld Improvement | Cokonyane Veld Improvement | Cokonyane Veld Improvement | | | | R1 959 048(30 Jobs) | NW DACERD |



APPENDIX C: PROVINCIAL DELIVERABLES (continued)

| ACTIVITIES | MILESTONES/DELIVERABLES | | | | TIME (COMPLETED BY) | RESOURCE REQUIREMENTS | | ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES |
|------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------|---------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|----------------------------|
| | YEAR 1 | YEAR 2 | YEAR 3 | YEAR 4 | | HUMAN RESOURCES | FINANCIAL RESOURCES | |
| | Kgomotso Veld Improvement | Kgomotso Veld Improvement | Kgomotso Veld Improvement | | | | R850 000 (15 Jobs) | NW DACERD |
| | Morokweng Veld Improvement | Morokweng Veld Improvement | Morokweng Veld Improvement | | | | R1 959 048 (25 Jobs) | NW DACERD |
| | Ghaapseberg South Veld Improvement | Ghaapseberg South Veld Improvement | Ghaapseberg South Veld Improvement | | | | R1 183 706 (20 Jobs) | NW DACERD |
| | Lower Majeakgoro Veld Improvement | Lower Majeakgoro Veld Improvement | Lower Majeakgoro Veld Improvement | Improvement | | | R850 000 (15 Jobs) | NW DACERD |
| | Rosenhof | Rosenhof | Rosenhof | | | | R1 500 000 (10 Jobs) | NW DACERD |
| | Stoffelshoek | Stoffelshoek | Stoffelshoek | | | | R1 500 000 (10 Jobs) | NW DACERD |
| | Livestock Water Program | Livestock Water Program | Livestock Water Program | | | | R5 000 000 (30 Jobs) | NW DACERD |
| | Seven Season CPA | Seven Season CPA | Seven Season CPA | | | | R800 000 (2 Jobs) | NW DACERD |
| | Oblate CPA Layers | Oblate CPA Layers | Oblate CPA Layers | | | | R550 000 (2 Jobs) | NW DACERD |
| | Boikhutso Water Reticulation | Boikhutso Water Reticulation | Boikhutso Water Reticulation | | | | R389 100 (10 Jobs) | NW DACERD |
| | Boikhutsong Water Reticulation | Boikhutsong Water Reticulation | Boikhutsong Water Reticulation | | | | R389 100 | NW DACERD |
| | Masibi Agricultural Cooperative | Masibi Agricultural Cooperative | Masibi Agricultural Cooperative | | | | R500 000 (10 Jobs) | NW DACERD |
| | Nkotswe Family | Nkotswe Family | Nkotswe Family | | | | R550 000 (9 Jobs) | NW DACERD |
| | Cokonyane Sekai Farm | Cokonyane Sekai Farm | Cokonyane Sekai Farm | | | | R700 000 (6 Jobs) | NW DACERD |
| | Open Area Development cc. | Open Area Development cc. | Open Area Development cc. | | | | R700 000 (12 jobs) | NW DACERD |

